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HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Hi. Good morning, everybody. Today is June 24, 2016. We are here on the matter of Johns Manville, Petitioner, versus Illinois Department of Transportation, Respondent, PCB 14-3. It's a citizen's enforcement.

This hearing is continued on record from yesterday, June 23, 2016. Yesterday, it was in Room 9-31. We continued on record to this room, 2-25. For those of you who don't know, this is the state's vaccination room. So I'm sure everything is sterile by now.

But in any event, I think
Mr. Gobelman is on the stand and he is under redirect by Ms. O'Laughlin, IDOT's attorney. If you just raise your hand and Lori will swear you in again. Thank you.

THE COURT REPORTER: Do you swear the testimony you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

MR. GOBELMAN: Yes.
(Witness sworn.)
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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1 WHEREUPON:
 surface eventually for Johns Manville.
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|  | Page 8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | in 1954. |
| 2 | Q. Okay. And do you refer to a 1954 |
| 3 | photograph in your report regarding your opinion |
| 4 | and the need for fill to raise the level? |
| 5 | A. I believe so. |
| 6 | Q. Can you turn to Pages 7 to 8 of your |
| 7 | report, Exhibit 8? |
| 8 | A. Okay. What page? |
| 9 | Q. The bottom of Page 7 to Page 8, |
| 10 | specifically the first full sentence on Page 8. |
| 11 | A. Page 8 of the report or Page 8 |
| 12 | of the exhibit? |
| 13 | Q. Oh, I'm sorry. Page 8 of the report. |
| 14 | A. Which is Page 10 of the exhibit. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. Thank you. |
| 16 | A. Okay. |
| 17 | Q. And so what is your opinion regarding |
| 18 | this area of the end fill? |
| 19 | A. I stated in my report that based on |
| 20 | the '54 aerial photo, the parking lot does not |
| 21 | exist. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. And yesterday in the |
| 23 | cross-examination, Johns Manville showed you a |
| 24 | picture of the parking lot that was a photograph |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, LIC 312-419-9292 |

1 from their Johns Manville office. 11 So sometime between '54 and' '61, a parking lot 12 had to be created.

13

```
                                Do you recall that?
```

A. Yes.
Q. And does that photo -- how does that photo affect your opinion?
A. It doesn't affect my opinion. I mean, at some point in time that area had to be raised for a parking lot. The only -- the next photo -- aerial photograph that $I$ had, I think, was ' 61 that showed it existing and being used.
Q. And so does it confirm your previous opinion?
A. It -- it doesn't change it. It still states that material had to be added to that area to raise that area up to create a parking lot.
Q. Thank you. In your opinion, in your report when you refer to an embankment, are you referring -- how do you use the term embankment? Is it in reference to sites 3 and 6 or in general to the project?
A. Well, the whole gist of the
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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1 rebuttal report was to rebut the original report 2 by Mr. Dorgan and the whole focus has always 3 been on Sites 3 and 6 . of economy, we won't read these again, but do you

1 say the term "engineer" used in these -- in this 2 spec book?
that right?
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC


1 ramp adjacent to the southwest site areas.

2
Q. If you could, turn to exhibit -Page 74-4.
A. Okay.
Q. What is contained on 74-4?
A. It's showing the location of the excavation providing the elevation of the shoulder -- the surface elevation of where the sample test pit was going to be done and then the sort of the proximate surface elevation of site 3 in that area.
Q. Okay. And then turning to Page 74-8, please.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see photograph five?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you describe that picture and interpret it for us?
A. It's an excavation that the description talks about viewing a piece of Transite pipe protruding from the silting clay soil.
Q. Is there a piece of pipe there or piece of concrete or a chunk there?
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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A. There's a piece of concrete sticking out of the wall of the excavation. Then there is a crushed soda battle on the surface by luck or put there by choice to sort of give a scale of the material.
Q. And now I'd like to pull out your demonstrative exhibit, Exhibit 202.
A. 164 ?
Q. 202. I don't know if I kept a copy here or not. No? Yes. Let's give you both, Exhibit 202 and 164.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. And so you prepared these demonstratives; is that right?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. We've gone over that. So can you go to where on the top left quadrant of this document where it says LFR excavation?
A. Yes.
Q. To your understanding is that -- what does that LFR excavation depict?
A. It's the boring location of where this excavation occurred.
Q. This excavation, could you be more
A. Well, in essence, it's showing
that the excavation is to the west of -- outside of Site 6 to --
Q. I'm sorry. And is that the photograph -- to your understanding, is that the photograph that you just talked about?
A. Yes. It's the location from the LFR excavation photograph that we were just previously talking about, the location of that.
Q. And is that excavation on Site 6?
A. No.
Q. And is that excavation on Site 3?
A. No.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: That's all we
have.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
you. Very good.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I get a gold star.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You do. Ms. Brice?

```
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MS. BRICE: Yes.
RECROSS-EXAMINATION by Ms. Brice
Q. Let's just stick with what you were looking at for a second, Mr. Gobelman.
A. Okay.
Q. You testified yesterday that you did not authenticate the boring locations on this Exhibit 202 or 164 ; isn't that correct?
A. I depicted some of the borings.
I didn't depict -- I didn't -- I didn't mark
all of the borings.
Q. Right. But you -- you -- I think my question is slightly different. You said you didn't authenticate where the borings were located. That's what your testimony was yesterday. Do you recall that?
A. I didn't authenticate the ones that were marked by Mr. Dorgan's figure that I used.
Q. Okay. And his figure included the LFR excavation; did it not?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So you didn't authenticate the exact location of that boring when you placed
```

```
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1
Q. And I asked you if you had reviewed this document before and you said, "Yes, I believe I have seen this."
"Question: Okay. I have a very simple question. Are you offering any opinion in this case with respect to this document?"
"Answer: I don't believe it's offering anything in regards to contradicting anything that's written in here."
"Question: I'm sorry. I didn't understand what you said."
"Answer: Well, it's referring to utility lines. It does somewhat deal with, you know, the utility lines were being maintained and excavated."
"Question: Okay. But are you -other than that, are you offering any opinions or rebutting this in any way?"
"Answer: I do not believe I'm specifically rebutting anything in here." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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|  | Page 19 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection. |
| 2 | That's not impeaching. We're using |
| 3 | the LFR document -- he's observing |
| 4 | and this is not impeaching his testimony. |
| 5 | MS. BRICE: He's using the |
| 6 | document -- he's discussing the document |
| 7 | and he's using the document to talk |
| 8 | about the location and to offer an |
| 9 | opinion about whether or not it's |
| 10 | on Site 3 or Site 6 . |
| 11 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: He's not |
| 12 | rebutting the LFR report. |
| 13 | HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Yes. |
| 14 | You know, I don't think he is rebutting |
| 15 | it and any kind of -- |
| 16 | MS. BRICE: Well, he has said |
| 17 | offering any -- |
| 18 | THE COURT REPORTER: One at a |
| 19 | time. |
| 20 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Excuse |
| 21 | me. I'm talking, Ms. Brice. |
| 22 | MS. BRICE: I apologize. |
| 23 | HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: I |
| 24 | think if anything, it could be an alleged |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 |

```
        inconsistency, but I don't think it's total
        impeachment. You can bring it up in
        your post-hearing brief, as I stated
        yesterday. Okay. Sustained.
BY MS. BRICE:
```

    Q. Okay. I'd like to talk a little
    bit about some of the documents you went through
yesterday.
Could you please turn to Document
32?
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Is
that Exhibit 32?
MS. BRICE: Yes.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. Okay.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Do you recall discussing this document
yesterday?
A. Vaguely, yes.
Q. All right. And this is the document
that you originally maintained contained a typo;
isn't that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So what I'm just trying to
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
312-419-9292
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1 understand -- and this document has two sides, 31-1
2 and 3I-2.

3

Since you have now said that this document does not contain a typo, that you were mistaken about that, are you relying on this document in any way, shape or form in offering your opinions?
A. I think I addressed that yesterday.
Q. I'm sorry. I -- yes or no, please.
A. Well, I would have to see what I said yesterday.
Q. I think you just read portions of the document.
A. Okay.
Q. I'm sorry. But you're sitting
here now and do you have an opinion? I would hope that you would be able to tell me whether or not you have an opinion on a document or if you are relying on it or not?
A. I have no opinion regarding this document.
Q. Okay. Are you relying on it in any way, shape or form?
A. I don't see that $I$ would be --

1
Q. Okay.
A. -- as I stated yesterday.
Q. Okay. And that's both Page 32-1 and 32-2?
A. Well, they are two separate change orders. I'm not sure why they are put together as one.
Q. Right. That's why I'm pointing you to the second page.
A. I don't believe that was utilized in any of my stuff in authorization 15.
Q. Okay. So you're not relying on 32-2 in any way, shape or form in this to organize your opinion?
A. As part of my report, I don't believe I utilized it.
Q. Okay. But as you sit here today, you're not relying on it?
A. I don't think I said anything about this.
Q. You did talk about it yesterday. You read portions of it so I'm trying to understand -HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could you keep your voice up, please, Ms. Brice. L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292

|  | Page 23 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MS. BRICE: Sure. |
| 2 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank |
| 3 | you. |
| 4 | MS. BRICE: Sure. |
| 5 | BY MS. BRICE: |
| 6 | Q. You read portions of it yesterday |
| 7 | so I'm trying to understand if you're relying on |
| 8 | it or not. |
| 9 | A. Well, I don't think I rendered any |
| 10 | opinion regarding it. I think I was just reading |
| 11 | what I was asked about. |
| 12 | Q. Understood. But I need to know if |
| 13 | you're relying on it because the document can be |
| 14 | used in post-hearing briefs so I'm trying to |
| 15 | understand if you're relying on it. |
| 16 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection. This |
| 17 | has been asked and answered several times |
| 18 | now. |
| 19 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Is |
| 20 | this outside of the scope of redirect? |
| 21 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: No, it's not. |
| 22 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: It's |
| 23 | still in? |
| 24 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes. |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 |



| 1 | Q. Okay. And that would also mean |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | that they still hadn't done all of the restoration |
| 3 | they needed to do to Site 3; isn't that correct? |
| 4 | A. I would assume that would be correct, |
| 5 | yes. |
| 6 | Q. Thank you. Exhibit 35, please. |
| 7 | A. Okay. |
| 8 | Q. If you could -- one moment. |
| 9 | This document appears to me to |
| 10 | be discussing the west end of the project. If |
| 11 | you know Mit talks about the west end of the |
| 12 | project I'm just trying to understand. Oh, |
| 13 | that's not 33. My bad. I'm sorry. I'll come |
| 14 | back to the very end. I'll figure that out. |
| 15 | Please go to Exhibit 56, please. |
| 16 | A. Okay. |
| 17 | Q. This document -- sorry. Let me know |
| 18 | when you are there. |
| 19 | A. I will. Okay. |
| 20 | Q. Thanks. This document up in the |
| 21 | subject line references "ISGS: 2308." |
| 22 | Do you see that? |
| 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | Q. Okay. And that's the same number |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 |

1 that was on the PESA report, isn't it?

2
3
4
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And why did you reference ISGS: 2308 here?
A. I referenced it so that Anne Erdmann with ISGS would have a point of reference so when I asked her for historical documents, I didn't have to draw a map showing her the area that I was looking for.
Q. And how did you know at that point in time in 2013 that this was ISGS: 2308?
A. Because I'm involved in that unit and I know what ISGS reports -- what PESA reports were written.
Q. Okay. And were you involved in that PESA report?
A. No.
Q. Well, what was your role with respect to it?
A. My role with respect to it that once -- once the PESA report was written, it's provided back to the districts to determine based upon -- the PESA -- let's go back. The PESA report is based upon
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292
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1 a corridor of a project that's in programming
2 that they may occur in a certain area along the
3 highway. So it addresses a larger area than may
4 necessarily be required.

8 have recognized environmental conditions. Then
9 they address that in the report.

11 to the districts. The districts then go through
12 and as a part of the programming and designing
13 process determine actually what properties are
14 going to need to be investigated for construction
15 purposes and the properties would be identified
16 by is there a property that is going to require
17 excavation in this construction project.
18
19 to require new right-of-way? Does this report,
20 you know, identify any other things that
21 utilities or something like that that may need
22 to be investigated?
So at that point they send
back to center office to the unit I'm in here

> L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
> $312-419-9292$

1 are all of the properties that we're going to 2 need to investigate for this particular project.

3 We then turn around and provide that to one of 4 our statewide consultants who does -- who do the 5 investigations and we provide them a copy of

6 the PESA report so they'll have a historical 7 record of the properties that they're going to 8 be investigating so that they can properly 9 prepare for health and safety purposes.
Q. Okay. Did you -- were you the person that obtained the consultants to do work with respect to this PESA?
A. Well, I don't obtain the consultants. We have consultants that are on -- that have multiple year contracts that get work orders based upon different projects.
Q. Okay. Were you the one that sent the work order with respect to this PESA?
A. I would have been the one that sent the work order to the consultant.
Q. And would you have been the one overviewing the work done by the consultant?
A. Yes.
Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 92,
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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1 please. I have the wrong exhibit this is referring to. I referred to this as 35 , but this is the one that $I$ was trying to locate.
A. You said 90 something?
Q. Exhibit 92, yes. Here, I can give you my copy if it's easier.
A. Then you won't have a copy.
Q. But $I$ know what it says.
A. Well, you never know.
Q. That's true.
A. Exhibit 92, yes.
Q. Okay. Here, you will note that

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. So all I'm trying to find out is if this document is somehow relevant to the part of the project that we are discussing in this case?
A. It refers to the western end of Greenwood Avenue, but that western end of Greenwood Avenue is outside of Site 3 and Site 6 .
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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1 for Mr. Schick, right? That was your primary responsibility?
A. If he asked me to find stuff for him, I went and tried to find stuff for him, yes.
Q. Is that your primary responsibility?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So you don't know what steps IDOT took to try to locate current or former employees with respect to information in responding to the $104(\mathrm{e})$, do you?
A. I was not involved in that aspect.
Q. And you don't know what steps IDOT took to speak with the folks from Bolander or former employees from Bolander?
A. I was not involved with that aspect.
Q. 104 (e) was issued in 2000, correct, and the response was in 2000, right?
A. The response was in 2000, yes.
Q. So if the project was over in 1974, 21 which is what you seem to be saying, then someone 22 who was 25 at that time would have been only 51 23 in 2000; isn't that true?

24
A. Okay.
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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| 1 | A. Correct. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MS. BRICE: Then no further |
| 3 | questions. |
| 4 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank |
| 5 | you, Ms. Brice. |
| 6 | Ms. O'Laughlin? |
| 7 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Nothing further. |
| 8 | HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Thank |
| 9 | you. You may step -- after what seven and |
| 10 | a half, eight hours, you may step down. |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: Seven and a half, |
| 12 | eight hours? |
| 13 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Four days. |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: It was four days. |
| 15 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, |
| 16 | the cross was seven and a half, eight hours, |
| 17 | but anyway, thank you so much. |
| 18 | (Witness excused.) |
| 19 | HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: What's |
| 20 | next, IDOT? |
| 21 | MR. McGINLEY: We would like to |
| 22 | go through the process of getting some of |
| 23 | our many exhibits into evidence. |
| 24 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Based |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 |


|  | Page 34 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | on my order? |
| 2 | MR. McGINLEY: Based on your |
| 3 | order, yes. But I will say -- I mean, I |
| 4 | think there's a couple that I will start |
| 5 | with we may actually be able to dispense |
| 6 | with. |
| 7 | We will more for, just as |
| 8 | a matter of clarification, the administrative |
| 9 | order on consent, which is at the -- which |
| 10 | is exhibit -- with JM/IDOT Exhibit 62. They |
| 11 | had objected to our using that without |
| 12 | being moved into evidence. We would simply |
| 13 | seek judicial notice of it. |
| 14 | It's a record of underlying |
| 15 | proceeding. I mean, it forms the whole |
| 16 | basis for this case from Johns Manville. |
| 17 | I mean, if there is a need to put testimony |
| 18 | on, we could, but it seems that that would |
| 19 | belabor -- |
| 20 | MS. BRICE: We're fine with that. |
| 21 | MR. McGINLEY: Okay. |
| 22 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 23 | So you are moving it in? |
| 24 | MR. McGINLEY: We're seeking to |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, LIC 312-419-9292 |

1 move that in, yes.

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Okay.
Exhibit 62 is in evidence.
(JM/IDOT Exhibit No. 62 was admitted into evidence.)

MR. McGINLEY: Okay. The next
of the two -- the next exhibit that we would ask judicial notice for would be Exhibit 44, which is the Highway Jurisdiction Guidelines for Highway and Street Systems dated March 2006.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm
sorry, Mr. McGinley. What was that?
MR. McGINLEY: This would be Exhibit 44.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
MR. McGINLEY: And this is the
IDOT March 2006 Highway Jurisdiction Guidelines.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
MR. McGINLEY: The basis for
doing that is that it's a publicly
available record on IDOT's website and we can cite cases if need be as to why
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|  | Page 37 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | our whole motion. |
| 2 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 3 | anything further? |
| 4 | MR. McGINLEY: I would say |
| 5 | that it articulates what the policies |
| 6 | are with respect to jurisdiction over |
| 7 | state highways. That is an issue in |
| 8 | the case. |
| 9 | This notion of jurisdiction |
| 10 | on one hand versus ownership on the other, |
| 11 | IDOT's 2006 guidelines on this speak to |
| 12 | that issue directly. |
| 13 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 14 | You know, Ms. Brice, your objection is |
| 15 | noted. I'm going to take it as an official |
| 16 | administrative notice and feel free to |
| 17 | respond if IDOT uses it in their brief. |
| 18 | You can respond in your reply. I |
| 19 | understand. Thank you. |
| 20 | MR. McGINLEY: Okay. |
| 21 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Hold |
| 22 | on a minute, please. |
| 23 | MR. McGINLEY: Okay. |
| 24 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, LIC 312-419-9292 |

1 Thank you.

MR. McGINLEY: We would next ask to have Mr. Ebihara called to the stand, please, just to go through certain exhibits with him.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry.

MR. McGINLEY: We would next ask to have Mr. Ebihara called to the stand, please.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes.
Please step up.
Lori will now swear you in
and we can proceed.
MR. EBIHARA: Okay.
THE COURT REPORTER: Would you please raise your right hand?

MR. EBIHARA: Yes.
THE COURT REPORTER: Do you swear the testimony you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

MR. EBIHARA: I do.
(Witness sworn.)
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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|  | Page 39 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You |
| 2 | may proceed. |
| 3 | WHEREUPON: |
| 4 | TATSUJI EBIHARA |
| 5 | called as an adverse witness herein, pursuant to |
| 6 | Section (4), Rule 611(c): 735 ILCS 5/2-1102 of the |
| 7 | Illinois Rules of Evidence, having been first duly |
| 8 | sworn, deposeth and saith as follows: |
| 9 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 10 | by Mr. McGinley |
| 11 | Q. Mr. Ebihara, if I could ask you |
| 12 | to turn your attention, please, to Exhibit 49. |
| 13 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm |
| 14 | sorry. Mr. McGinley, what exhibit again, |
| 15 | please? |
| 16 | MR. McGINLEY: Exhibit 49. |
| 17 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank |
| 18 | you. |
| 19 | BY MR. McGINLEY: |
| 20 | Q. Mr. Ebihara, do you have Exhibit 49 in |
| 21 | front of you, sir? |
| 22 | A. I do. |
| 23 | Q. Have you -- are you familiar with |
| 24 | this document, Exhibit 49? |
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\text { A. } \quad I \text { am. }
$$

Q. Okay. It's the access agreement entered into on February 12, 2008, between Johns Manville and the city of Waukegan, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. I'd like to turn your attention, please, to the -- let's turn your attention, please, to Paragraph 4 and this would be on 49-2. It's the portion that's headlined utilities. Could you read that, please?
A. "Utilities. JM agrees to contact or have its consultants or contractors contact on its behalf JULIE at telephone number (800) 892-0123 at least 72 hours in advance of any invasive work on the access areas so all utilities can be notified and located in advance of any work, construction, activities or excavation taking place."
Q. Thank you. So shortly after this was -- this access agreement was entered into, would it be correct in understanding that Johns Manville and you as your company, as environmental consultants, were doing work in the area that's covered by this access
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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```
1 agreement, correct?
agreement, correct?
    A. Correct.
    Q. Okay. And this was work that was
    done along the south side of Greenwood Avenue,
    correct?
    A. One of the locations.
    Q. One of the locations. Thank you.
                            And the other location was where,
    sir?
    A. For Site 3 and for the north side of
Greenwood Avenue also within Site 6.
    Q. Site 6. Okay. But you were doing --
    A. Work within --
    Q. Pursuant to this access agreement,
you were doing work on both Site 3 and Site 6; is
    that correct?
    A. That's correct.
    Q. And this is in agreement with the
city of Waukegan; is that correct?
    A. That's correct.
    Q. What coordination did you have to
        do with the city of Waukegan when actually doing
        the work pursuant to this access agreement?
            A. During the investigation activities?
```
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Yes. Thank you. Please. |
| 2 | A. I think there was just a notification |
| 3 | that work investigation activities would be |
| 4 | taking place. |
| 5 | Q. And were representatives of the |
| 6 | city of Waukegan out and present during work |
| 7 | on the site? |
| 8 | A. I don't recall in the initial |
| 9 | phases. We've had city of Waukegan representatives |
| 10 | on-site in other aspects of the project. |
| 11 | MS. BRICE: Mr. Halloran, may I |
| 12 | just ask a question? |
| 13 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, |
| 14 | you may. |
| 15 | MS. BRICE: I think this document |
| 16 | is already in evidence. |
| 17 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You |
| 18 | know, I can't find it on your sheet. You |
| 19 | didn't object to it. |
| 20 | MS. BRICE: Sure. But, I mean, |
| 21 | if we're using Mr. Ebihara just to admit |
| 22 | evidence -- document, I think it's in |
| 23 | evidence. |
| 24 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, |
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## 1 right.

MR. McGINLEY: But I will point out this was one of the exhibits that was objected to. So simply to address the correspondence that gave rise to Johns Manville's objections, we were just trying to get the record in.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, I think you made your objections right when they moved it into evidence.

MS. CAISMAN: It's in our recent motion about the exhibits, this Exhibit 49 was not on the list of exhibits that we objected to because I had, in my notes, and checked the transcript that I thought that IDOT used it at some point during the May hearings. So we didn't object to it for that reason.

MR. McGINLEY: But it also -- it also comes in with respect to issues about control over Greenwood Avenue.
This also has to do with
the issue of the right-of-way, which Johns Manville raised as well about who has
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | control over the right-of-way. |
| 2 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Go |
| 3 | ahead. |
| 4 | MS. BRICE: Sure. I thought |
| 5 | you represented in your briefs that you |
| 6 | were calling our witness just to establish |
| 7 | exhibits. |
| 8 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: That's |
| 9 | correct. That's what I understand. |
| 10 | MR. McGINLEY: Well, then we'll |
| 11 | let the exhibit stand as is and make such |
| 12 | arguments as we need to from it. |
| 13 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Very |
| 14 | well. |
| 15 | Ms. Caisman, I'm looking at |
| 16 | your list of trial exhibits moved into |
| 17 | evidence from May 23rd to May 25 th. I |
| 18 | don't see 49 or did IDOT move that in, |
| 19 | did you say? |
| 20 | MS. CAISMAN: So the list was |
| 21 | just the ones that we had moved in. |
| 22 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 23 | All right. So IDOT had already moved it |
| 24 | in? |
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (IDOT Exhibit No. } 49 \text { was } \\
& \text { admitted into evidence.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

```
BY MR. McGINLEY:
```

    Q. Mr. Ebihara, could I call your
    attention, please, to Exhibit 67?
                            HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: This
    one is in as well, correct?
    MS. BRICE: Yes, correct.
    MR. McGINLEY: If it's already
    in, that's fine. I assume there wouldn't
    be any objections from counsel if we make
    certain arguments based upon the contents
    of this document.
            HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: It's
    in.
            MR. McGINLEY: That's fine. We
    can dispense with that. Thank you.
                            HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
    Exhibit 67 has been admitted without
    objection.
    $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (IDOT Exhibit No. } 67 \text { was } \\
& \text { admitted into evidence.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

BY MR. McGINLEY:
Q. I would like to turn your attention,

Page 47
1 please, to Exhibit 160 -- I'm sorry -- Exhibit 120.
2 This exhibit in the white binder.

3

4

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry, Mr. McGinley?

MR. McGINLEY: I'm sorry. This
is Exhibit 120.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
you.
BY MR. McGINLEY:
Q. It's in the white binder.
A. Okay.
Q. If you could, take a moment to read through that and let me know once you've had a chance to familiarize yourself with it.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. Have you seen this document before?
A. I have.
Q. You have. Okay. And Bill Bow is who, sir? He is the addressee on the letter. This is on JM -- the first page of the exhibit, which is JM 001446. Mr. Bow is who, sir?
A. He was the primary contact for US EPA regarding the project at the time.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Okay. |
| 2 | A. At the time. |
| 3 | Q. And you worked with Mr. Bow; is that |
| 4 | correct? |
| 5 | A. Yep. |
| 6 | Q. And I would like to direct your |
| 7 | attention, please, to -- this would be Page 3 |
| 8 | of this. If you could turn to the last item on |
| 9 | the third page of this letter, JM 001448 , this |
| 10 | is item number ten regarding Figure 8. If you |
| 11 | could just read that testimony -- that text, |
| 12 | please, into the record for us? |
| 13 | A. "Figure 8, the occurrence of |
| 14 | asbestos at Site 3 is sporadic other than in |
| 15 | the area along Greenwood Avenue adjacent to |
| 16 | Site 6. Transite pipe was utilized as parking |
| 17 | space bumpers on the ground surface of Site 3 . |
| 18 | The history does not include information |
| 19 | regarding whether or not the bumpers were |
| 20 | removed or destroyed or if the material was |
| 21 | spread throughout the site. This should |
| 22 | also be clarified for Sites 4, 5 and 6." |
| 23 | Q. The question that's raised in |
| 24 | number ten, since the date of this letter, |
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1 which is February 3, 2010, are you aware of
2 any subsequent information that's come to
your attention regarding the history of whether
or not the bumpers were removed or destroyed or
if material was spread throughout the site?
A. Yeah. I'm not aware of any
additional information that was disclosed in
response to that request.
Q. And did you seek to obtain any
additional information from Johns Manville
regarding that issue?
A. My understanding was there was
not any information available from Johns Manville.
We worked closely with Johns Manville --
Q. Okay.
A. -- in the preparation of the reports.
Q. Okay. Thank you.
MR. McGINLEY: That's all we
have for this witness. Thank you.
MS. BRICE: I have just one
quick question. If we could do our one
quick thing of getting the document
in -- the numbers.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sure.
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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REDIRECTEXAMINATION by Ms. Brice
Q. Mr. Ebihara, I think we touched on this when you first testified, but, you know, sitting -- having sat through this trial and now having read Mr. Dorgan's report and the investigation that Mr. Dorgan did, you now have a better understanding of how the material might have been spread or disposed of -- the concrete Transite pipes; isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So when you were responding to that question, you weren't referring to the work Mr. Dorgan did?
A. No, at the time responding to this comment of this -- for this letter.
Q. Thank you. Now, I would just like to move to try to get into evidence the numbers we were working on last time during your direct.

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Well,
before -- I guess, Mr. McGinley, is this 120 -- this didn't get in evidence yet, right?

MR. McGINLEY: It is not, but we will be moving for it to be put into
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | evidence, yes. |
| 2 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: When? |
| 3 | MR. McGINLEY: We were going to |
| 4 | make one mass moving -- |
| 5 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 6 | MR. McGINLEY: -- of all of our |
| 7 | exhibits as soon as this is -- we have |
| 8 | completed with this exercise. |
| 9 | HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Okay. |
| 10 | Go ahead and proceed, Ms. Brice. We've |
| 11 | just moved in 49 and 67, but we'll hold |
| 12 | off on 120. |
| 13 | MR. McGINLEY: I mean, there |
| 14 | are other exhibits that have not yet |
| 15 | been moved and we just -- for the sake |
| 16 | of clarity, we figured we'd do it at |
| 17 | once. |
| 18 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 19 | All right. Ms. Brice, you may proceed. |
| 20 | BY MS. BRICE: |
| 21 | Q. Sure. Okay. Mr. Ebihara, during |
| 22 | your direct testimony, we were talking about some |
| 23 | calculations you had done with respect to amounts |
| 24 | of money JM paid and there were a couple of numbers |
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1 that we were trying to pin down. So I'm going
2 to ask you the following questions.

Do you know how much money
Johns Manville has paid your companies or other
contractors since July 8, 2013, with respect
to Site 3?
A. Yes.
Q. How much?
A. Approximately $\$ 391,000$.
Q. Okay. Same question with respect to Site 6.
A. With respect to the west portion of Site 6?
Q. Yes.
A. Approximately $\$ 105,000$.

MS. BRICE: Thank you very much.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you, Ms. Brice. Anything further?

MR. McGINLEY: Not for Mr. Ebihara.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. You may step down, sir. Thank you so much.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
(Witness excused.)
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1 WHEREUPON:

2
3

DOUGLAS
G. $\quad D \circ R G A N_{r} J R$. called as an adverse witness herein, pursuant to Section (4), Rule 611(c): 735 ILCS 5/2-1102 of the Illinois Rules of Evidence, having been first duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION by Mr. McGinley
Q. Mr. Dorgan, could you turn your attention, please, to Exhibit 65?
A. Okay.
Q. Mr. Dorgan, you're familiar with this document, $I$ take it, correct?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Could I ask you, please, under 65-1, this is under Roman I, Purpose, if you could just read the first -- I believe that's the first sentence underneath that paragraph for us, please?
A. "The purpose of this memorandum is to document the determination of an imminent and substantial threat to public health, welfare or the environment posed by contaminated soils at the southwestern site area (site) including
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1 Sites 3, 4, 5 and 6 in Waukegan, Lake County,
2 Illinois and document approval of the proposed 3 non-time critical removal action for the site."
Q. Now, if I could turn your attention, 14 please, to 65-3.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you recall previously when you
Q. Okay. Thank you. Briefly, do you have an understanding of why US EPA deemed this to be non-time critical with respect to the removal action?
A. I believe because they did not understand that there was an immediate threat to human health by the conditions of the site at the time that the enforcement action memorandum
were on cross-examination back on the very first
day of the hearing that we talked about Sites 4
and 5, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Could I just ask you, please, to go down to the second full paragraph? This is the paragraph beginning the EECA study. If I could have you just read the first couple of
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|  | Page 56 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | sentences in that paragraph, please? |
| 2 | A. The EECA study involved visual |
| 3 | ACM inspection and polarized light microscopy |
| 4 | (PLM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) |
| 5 | analyses of soil samples collected from test pits |
| 6 | within the expanded site $4 / 5$ investigation area, |
| 7 | which indicated the presence of a variable |
| 8 | thickness of ACM and/or asbestos fibers in |
| 9 | soil above 0.25 percent. See Figure 9-A of the |
| 10 | EECA. Visible ACM debris within the test pits |
| 11 | included, but is not limited to, Transite pipe, |
| 12 | roofing materials, fibrous process waste, wall |
| 13 | board, brake lines and flex board." |
| 14 | Q. Okay. That's fine. Thank you. |
| 15 | Do you have any reason sitting here today to |
| 16 | dispute the characterization and the summary |
| 17 | of the materials that have been discovered |
| 18 | in Sites 4 and 5 as it's described here on |
| 19 | Page 65-3? |
| 20 | A. No. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. And those are the same |
| 22 | types of materials that have also been found |
| 23 | at Site 3 and Site 6, correct? |
| 24 | A. Generally, I believe so. |
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1
2
3
4
Q. Okay. Fine. Thank you. If I could turn your attention, please, to Exhibit 80. I assume that you are familiar with this exhibit, Exhibit 80, correct?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And this is actually cited as one of the items in your bibliography in your expert report; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. I'd like you to turn, please, to Page 80-15. This is a portion of the -- I'll represent to you that this is a portion of the report that was done by the University of Illinois-Chicago concerning asbestos issues at Illinois Beach State Park, which is immediately adjacent to the Johns Manville site. You would agree with that representation, wouldn't you?
A. It's very proximal. Whether it's immediately adjacent, I'm not exactly sure where the property boundaries are and the boundaries for the state park are, but they're definitely adjacent to each other.
Q. And you would agree that Illinois Beach State Park is essentially due east of the

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { L.A. Court Reporters, LLC } \\
& 312-419-9292
\end{aligned}
$$

1 site of the former Johns Manville facility; is 2 that correct?
A. I believe that's a fair characterization.
Q. All right. And the processes that are described here are waves and literal transportation, which apparently means the movement of asbestos from offshore onto the shore via waves, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And also the other -- one of the other processes that's described here is beach and near shore ice. Ice forms along the Illinois beaches and near shore to varying degrees from winter to winter, correct?
A. Yes.
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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Q. And you'd agree with that statement, that that's also responsible for producing asbestos on the breach at Illinois Beach State Park, correct?
A. Yes, it can be.
Q. Okay. And finally, there's also the issue that's identified here of lake level changes. Lake Michigan water level vary annually, about a foot, due to the annual water levels of the lake, correct?
A. That's what it says.
Q. It's your contention that but for the activities of IDOT at Site 3 and Site 6 in the course of the construction project that the asbestos that's left at those sites could have been handled in much the same way as the asbestos at Illinois Beach State Park, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. But you would agree that the process by which the asbestos arrived at Illinois Beach State Park is not the same as what's taking place at Site 3 or Site 6, correct?
A. I agree with that.
Q. Okay. There is no wave action taking
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | place on Site 3 and Site 6, right? |
| 2 | A. Not today. |
| 3 | Q. Global warming might change that. |
| 4 | There is no lake level changes; correct? |
| 5 | A. No. |
| 6 | Q. Okay. And there is no beach and near |
| 7 | shore ice, correct? |
| 8 | A. No. |
| 9 | Q. And isn't it true that the Illinois |
| 10 | Beach State -- the UIC study also points out that |
| 11 | there are unknown sources for the asbestos that's |
| 12 | reaching Illinois Beach State Park, isn't that also |
| 13 | correct? |
| 14 | A. That's correct. |
| 15 | Q. And that's different from what's |
| 16 | taking place at Site 3 and Site 6, isn't that |
| 17 | correct? |
| 18 | A. Generally, yes. |
| 19 | MR. McGINLEY: Okay. No |
| 20 | further questions. Thank you. |
| 21 | MS. BRICE: Thanks. I have |
| 22 | a couple of questions. |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | RED I R E C T EXAMINATION |
| 2 | by Ms. Brice |
| 3 | Q. If you could look back at 65-3, |
| 4 | please. |
| 5 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: What |
| 5 | exhibit is that? |
| 7 | MS. BRICE: 65-3, please. |
| 8 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank |
| 9 | you. |
| 10 | BY MS. BRICE: |
| 11 | Q. Mr. Dorgan, Mr. McGinley asked you |
| 12 | about the paragraph that starts the EECA study. |
| 13 | Do you see that? |
| 14 | A. I do. |
| 15 | Q. And he had you identify a bunch of |
| 16 | different types of asbestos-containing materials. |
| 17 | Do you see that? |
| 18 | A. Yes, I do. |
| 19 | Q. Do you recall wallboard being present |
| 20 | on Site 3 or Site 6? |
| 21 | A. Not particularly. |
| 22 | Q. Do you remember flex board being |
| 23 | present on Site 3 or Site 6 . |
| 24 | A. Not particularly. |
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Q. Do you recall fibrous process waste being detected on site 3 or Site 6 ?
A. I believe fibrous waste, whether it was described as process waste, I'm not entirely sure, but there was some fibrous waste observed in borings on site 6 .
Q. I think it was called fibrous sludge; is that right?
A. That could be.
Q. Okay. Is that the same thing as fibrous process waste? I just don't know.
A. I don't know either.
Q. Okay. And brake liners, has brake liners been found on Site 3 or Site 6?
A. I believe there was a reference to brake shoe perhaps, but there was some reference to a brake piece in one of the locations, I believe, but I'm not sure if it was exactly referred to as a brake liner.
Q. Okay. But that was one boring out of all of the borings that have been done on Sites 3 and 6; isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

MS. BRICE: No further questions.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
you. That exhibit is already in evidence.
Mr. McGinley next?
MR. McGINLEY: The last exhibit we would ask to discuss with Mr. Dorgan would be Exhibit 102 and this is IDOT's own exhibit.

MS. BRICE: Okay. Mr. Halloran, we will lodge an objection for this, but, you know, if they want to ask questions, fine this document wasn't produced. There has been some confusion about this document and I think it's fair for Mr. McGinley to be able to ask some questions, but the document was never produced nor does it have anything to do with Site 3 or Site 6 . So I'm just making that -noting that for the record.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you.

MS. BRICE: And it's outside the scope of cross.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well,
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RECROSS-EXAMINATION by Mr. McGinley
Q. This is the second five-year report for the Johns Manville site in Waukegan, Illinois, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And this was one of the documents that you reviewed and relied upon apparently and included in the bibliography to your expert report, correct?
A. It was included in the bibliography. How much it was reviewed and relied upon, I don't really remember.
Q. Okay. But it's fair to say that everything that you looked at -- everything that's listed in the bibliography to your expert report is a document that you at least spent some amount of time looking at whether to a greater or lesser degree, subject to different conditions, but you listed things in your bibliography because you reviewed them and relied upon them; correct?
A. Yes. My bibliography would have listed those documents that had been reviewed, but the review of this particular report is
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1 one that I definitely don't recall spending much 2 time with.

21 would be within section three under background. So 22 if you can find that then tell me once you have had 23 a chance to look at it?
A. Okay.

June 29, 2016

1
Q. Under the section that says, "History of Contamination," could you just read that paragraph for us, please?
A. "The facility's wastewater treatment system operated on top of the 150-acre landfill area, approximately $\$ 3$ million cubic yards of off-specification products primarily containing asbestos and asbestos-containing sludge dredge from the wastewater treatment system were ultimately disposed in the landfill area. Other contaminants including lead, chrome, thorium, aniline were also disposed in the landfill area, but the primary contaminant of concern was asbestos. The asbestos-containing sludge at the site was located at the landfill surface and many areas and could easily become airborne."
Q. Okay. Thank you. You recall also as we've talked about Site 3 and Site 6, it's also been acknowledged by yourself there's evidence in the record that there is asbestos sludge that's found at Site 3 and Site 6, correct?
A. I'm aware of asbestos sludge being observed at Site 6. I don't recall specifically it being observed on Site 3 .
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```
                                    Page 70 you.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. Thank
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Ms. Brice?
MS. BRICE: Thank you.
```



``` by Ms. Brice
Q.
Just for clarification, you said in your bibliography it wasn't referenced in your report. What do you mean by that?
A. Well, in my report, this particular document wasn't specifically cited even though it was included on the bibliography.
Q. Okay. And do you know why it was included on your bibliography?
A. I'm not entirely sure why.
MS. BRICE: No further questions.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
(Witness excused.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Do you have anything further, Mr. McGinley?
MR. MCGINLEY: We would like to call Mr. Stoddard.
```
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Thank you, Mr. Dorgan.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: SO Mr. Stoddard as your witness or...

MR. McGINLEY: Well, we just -we simply want to -- we are calling Mr. Stoddard for the purpose of authenticating one of the documents which Johns Mansfield has objected to our using.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. Proceed.

THE COURT REPORTER: Raise your right hand.

Do you swear the testimony you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

MR. STODDARD: I do.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.

You may proceed.
MR. McGINLEY: Thank YOU.

```
                                    (Witness sworn.)
```
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | WHEREUPON: |
| 2 | K E T H S T O D A R D |
| 3 | called as a witness herein, having been first duly |
| 4 | sworn, deposeth and saith as follows: |
| 5 | DIRECTEXAMINATION |
| 6 | by Mr. McGinley |
| 7 | Q. Mr. Stoddard, if I could ask you |
| 8 | to pull out Exhibit 46, and it's in one of the |
| 9 | binders that's up here. |
| 10 | MS. CAISMAN: Exhibit 46? |
| 11 | MR. McGinley: Yes. |
| 12 | MS. CAISMAN: I will just |
| 13 | note that we actually moved this into |
| 14 | the evidence ourselves and didn't |
| 15 | object to it. |
| 16 | MR. McGINLEY: Okay. That's |
| 17 | fine. Then we will dispense with that. |
| 18 | BY MR. McGINLEY: |
| 19 | Q. Then if you could turn your attention, |
| 20 | please, to Exhibit 4L? |
| 21 | MR. McGINLEY: And Johns |
| 22 | Manville has objected to this. |
| 23 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank |
| 24 | you, Ms. Caisman. |
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    1 BY THE WITNESS:
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2
$$

$$
3
$$

4 BY MR. MCGINLEY:
that? 4 I.

BY MR. McGINLEY:
A. Okay.

```
A. Now, Exhibit 4L, where would I find
Q. It's in the binders there.
A. Here we go.
MS. CAISMAN: I think you mean
Q. It's 4I, yes. Mr. Stoddard, you're familiar with title examinations, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Could I turn your attention, please, to within Exhibit \(4 I\) that's in front of you, please, if \(I\) could direct your attention to Page \(4 I\) and this would be 286. Once you have that in front of you, let me know.
A. \(4 I 286\) or 289 ?
Q. 286 first.
Q. Let me just ask you some initial questions foundationally. In your work, you've worked for IDOT for how many years?
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1
A. Just under five years.
Q. What's the nature of your position at IDOT?
A. My position is I am Chief of Plats and Plans for District 1, Land Acquisition.
Q. Okay. And could you tell us a little bit about what you do as the Chief of Plats and Plans in Land Acquisition?
A. As Chief of Plats and Plans, I'm responsible for the preparation of plats of highway and legal descriptions that will be used in the acquisition process.
Q. In order to help prepare legal descriptions for these plats and plans, what types of materials do you rely upon to put those plats of highway together?
A. We use consultants for a majority of that, but the plats of highway are compiled by consultants and some internal surveying and we use -- title commitments are ordered for every parcel that we are going to be looking at to acquire property from.
We will use the -- title
companies will normally use the last deed of

1 record and additional research in that process.
2 We will use any archived documents that may
3 be used for right-of-way in that particular
4 area to define the existing right-of-way,
5 subdivision plats, anything of record we may rely
6 upon just for informational purposes only and tax
7 maps to
8 take a look at the area to see which titles
9 do need to be ordered.
10 That is the bulk of what
11 we use to begin the process of preparing the 12 plats.

13 Q. Okay. So I take it as a result
14 of all of the experience and all of the
15 information that you have just described that's
16 looked at in order to determine what's necessary
17 for plat of survey that it's fair to say that
18 you are familiar with how to read a title
19 commitment; is that correct?

20

24 Manville and let me ask you, sir, have you ever
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | to satisfy Johns Manville about the |
| 2 | documents that would be used and taken |
| 3 | into evidence in this case. |
| 4 | Mr. Stoddard, you know, |
| 5 | he is familiar with this. We've tried |
| 6 | to do this and to have an interpretation |
| 7 | and to get this title commitment brought |
| 8 | in through one of their other witnesses, |
| 9 | their witness demurred on being able |
| 10 | to say what this document actually was. |
| 11 | That was Ms. Giannelli last month. |
| 12 | So in order to satisfy the |
| 13 | objections that have been raised by Johns |
| 14 | Manville, we're presenting Mr. Stoddard |
| 15 | simply for the purpose of saying what |
| 16 | this document is and to described what |
| 17 | relevance it has to the issues that are |
| 18 | raised by this lawsuit. |
| 19 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes. |
| 20 | I think my order addresses this situation. |
| 21 | It is a public record. I can take official |
| 22 | notice and it goes to the weight and not |
| 23 | the admissibility. Overruled. |
| 24 | You may proceed. |
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MR. McGINLEY: Thank you.
BY MR. McGINLEY:
Q. Mr. Stoddard, if I could direct your attention, please, to Page 4I-289.

Before we do this, let me just ask you something basically about a title commitment. Generally speaking, what are the components that you would see or the information you would expect to see in a title commitment?
A. A title commitment is a -- in general is comprised of -- and composed of two different schedules. Schedule A, being the legal description of the property the title commitment was requested for and Schedule $B$.

In Schedule B there would be documents cited of public record that when the title company did their research, they discovered may have some impact on property and they will note that in Schedule B.
Q. Okay. Could I then direct your attention, please, to 4I-289 and this would be Item 5 at the top of the page.

Would this be -- so Item 5, you've just said that there was part of a title
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | commitment, the second part, identifies other |
| 2 | parcels, other recordations, I supposed would |
| 3 | be a way of putting it, that may affect title |
| 4 | with respect to the parcel of property for |
| 5 | which title commitment was contained; is that |
| 6 | correct? |
| 7 | A. That's correct. |
| 8 | Q. Okay. Could I ask you, please, |
| 9 | to read the first seven lines of item five? |
| 10 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: What |
| 11 | page are we on, Mr. McGinley? |
| 12 | MR. McGINLEY: 4I-289, sir. |
| 13 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank |
| 14 | you. |
| 15 | BY THE WITNESS: |
| 16 | A. Item five? |
| 17 | BY MR. MCGINLEY: |
| 18 | Q. Yes. |
| 19 | A. Just the legal description or starting |
| 20 | with the heading? |
| 21 | Q. You can start with the heading as |
| 22 | well, please. Thank you. |
| 23 | A. "The land referred to in this |
| 24 | commitment is described as follows: Parcel 1A |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 |

1 (north part-west half northwest quarter). That 2 part of the west half of the northwest quarter 3 of Section 15, Township 45 north, Range 12 east 4 of the third principal meridian, lying south of 5 the north 66 feet conveyed to the city of Waukegan 6 for street purposes by deed recorded April 18,
Q. That's fine.

21

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, Mr. McGinley, I've taken official notice. I've accepted it into evidence and given it the weight that it deserves or at least
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC

$C R O S S-E X A I N A T I N$ By Ms. Caisman
Q. Mr. Stoddard, this title commitment is not actually publicly filed, is it?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. You didn't assist in preparing this title commitment?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Okay. And in preparing your expert disclosures in this case you didn't review this title commitment?
A. I did not have this title commitment at the time.
Q. Now, you haven't reviewed the underlying documents that went into preparing this title commitment, have you?
A. Could you clarify that statement for me? When you say "underlying documents," which documents are you referring to?
Q. I believe you testified on direct that title company would look at certain documents that were publicly available to compile the information they put in this title commitment; is that right?
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1
A. I would imagine that's part of their research process, yes.
Q. Okay. So you don't know what documents the title company looked at to put this title commitment together?
A. I would imagine they looked at the documents that they cited in this commitment.
Q. But you yourself did not review those documents?
A. No. I'm not a part of the title commitment process for preparing title commitments.
Q. In fact, you looked at the grant for public highways from 1971, 1974 and 1984 that are referred to in a portion of this legal description?
A. I've look at all of the documents for portions of which I read including the grants.
Q. Okay. But you didn't look at the portions for the other portion that you didn't read?
A. Actually, I did.
Q. You didn't disclose that in your expert report, did you?
A. At the time of my expert report, I
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    1 did not have this commitment. You're asking me
2 if based on this commitment and what I was asked
3 in direct if I read through these additional
4 documents that are cited here and I did read
5 through those.

6
7
Q. You didn't supplement your report to state that, did you?
A. No.
Q. Okay. The legal description -this entire legal description, not just the portion that you read for Parcel 1-A differs from the legal description in the 1971, 1974 and 1984 grant documents; isn't that right?
A. This legal description describes the property of which the grants are associated with this property, which is Parcel 1-A.
Q. But the legal description for Parcel 1-A is not the same as the legal description in the grants for public highway that you reviewed?
A. There were several legal descriptions in the grant for public highway. Which one are you referring to?
Q. Parcel 0393, the parcel that's at issue in this case. Does the description for Parcel
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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1 1-A in this Exhibit 4I, does that exactly match
2 the legal description for Parcel 0393 in the 1971,
31974 or 1984 grant documents? you.
Mr. McGinley?

MR. McGINLEY: I think that's our last witness, but we would like -could we have a few minutes just to make sure?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Yes. It's about time for a bathroom break anyway. Let's go off the record.
(Whereupon, after a short break was had, the following proceedings were held accordingly.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
right. Is everybody ready? We're back
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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on the record. It's approximately 10:45.
Mr. McGinley, are you going
to have a few minutes?
MR. McGINLEY: Yes. We thought it would make sense at this point to just go through our exhibit list run through -make sure that everything that we want to get in is agreed to and is admitted and if we're good with that, we will --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah. I'm thinking about doing that after rebuttal. I would like to go up at lunch and figure out all of these exhibits that -- JM's are pretty clear, but I want to figure out what was admitted and what was thrown out there. So I need to make my list and we will go from there. Would that be okay?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: If we could, we would like to reserve the right to recall a witness for any issues that come up with regard to the exhibits.

For instance, if you -- if we disagree and we feel that it's been denied and we need to recall someone to establish
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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|  | Page 87 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | the foundation and relevance. |
| 2 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You |
| 3 | know, I deny that request, but if it comes |
| 4 | to pass, I'll reconsider. |
| 5 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Can we -- I think -- |
| 6 | so you don't want us to move our exhibits |
| 7 | into evidence in our case? You want us to |
| 8 | wait until after rebuttal? |
| 9 | MR. McGINLEY: Well, because that |
| 10 | way, we just close out our case-in-chief -- |
| 11 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes. |
| 12 | MR. McGINLEY: -- and be done and |
| 13 | then we go on to do rebuttal. |
| 14 | MS. BRICE: We're fine with that. |
| 15 | We'd rather -- I mean, we'd like to be able |
| 16 | to release Mr. Ebihara, if possible. |
| 17 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes. |
| 18 | We can do it after our -- what's your |
| 19 | suggestion? |
| 20 | MS. BRICE: We're fine. We're fine |
| 21 | if they want to do that. |
| 22 | MS. CAISMAN: I think my suggestion |
| 23 | would be to just kind of let them make the |
| 24 | motion and sort of admit it and after lunch |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | or during lunch we can figure out exactly |
| 2 | which numbers we're talking about. I |
| 3 | think that's kind of how we did it with |
| 4 | our case. We just made a general motion |
| 5 | to move exhibits in and then hashed out |
| 6 | which specific ones they were after. |
| 7 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes. |
| 8 | Okay. I don't think I'm going to make my |
| 9 | ruling. |
| 10 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. We'll just -- |
| 11. | we'll move certain exhibits to be moved into |
| 12 | evidence then. How's that? |
| 13 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: That |
| 14 | sounds -- okay. Let me |
| 15 | MS. BRICE: So, Ellen, will you need |
| 16 | Mr. Ebihara? |
| 17 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Will you -- well, |
| 18 | you -- |
| 19 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: What |
| 20 | exhibits did he -- |
| 21 | MS. BRICE: I don't think there's |
| 22 | any exhibits that he would be related to |
| 23 | that are controversial. |
| 24 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Well, I guess that |
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the question. Well, do you know what your objections are to our motion today?

Because if there's no objection to any of the exhibits that Dr. Ebihara had testified to, then I see no reason why he would have to come back.

MS. CAISMAN: He was 49 and 120.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So
49, 67 and 120. That's all I have.
MS. BRICE: I don't think we have a problem with those. Didn't we already sort of try and admit them?

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Well, 49, you did admit. Sixty-seven was admitted without objection. These are just my cryptic notes. I don't know if they moved it yet or if it's already in evidence.

IDOT Exhibit 120. I don't
think it's been addressed.
MS. BRICE: We don't have an objection.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well,
it sounds like, Mr. Ebihara, you can go. Is that my understanding.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: If that's -- |
| 2 | MR. McGINLEY: Yes. That's |
| 3 | correct. I think it's doctor. |
| 4 | MS. BRICE: Yes. Thank you, |
| 5 | Dr. Ebihara. |
| 6 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank |
| 7 | you. |
| 8 | MR. McGINLEY: We're making |
| 9 | progress. |
| 10 | MR. GOBELMAN: It's like the Hunger |
| 11 | Games. You are the first to be selected to |
| 12 | leave. |
| 13 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: All right. Thank |
| 14 | you. I'll go through this exercise. I'll |
| 15 | just jump into it. |
| 16 | So we move the following |
| 17 | exhibits to be moved into evidence as |
| 18 | part of the record of this case: |
| 19 | Exhibit 4A, Exhibit 4B, Exhibit 4I, |
| 20 | Exhibit 7, Exhibit 8, Exhibit 19, |
| 21 | Exhibit 20, Exhibit 21A, Exhibit 21B, |
| 22 | Exhibit 25, Exhibit 26, Exhibit 29, |
| 23 | Exhibit 31, Exhibit 32, Exhibit 33, |
| 24 | Exhibit 34, Exhibit 35, Exhibit 36, |
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Exhibit 38, Exhibit 40, Exhibit 41, Exhibit 42, Exhibit No. 43, Exhibit 44, Exhibit 46, Exhibit 49, Exhibit 50, Exhibit 52, Exhibit 53B, Exhibit 53K, Exhibit 53L, and now we're adding onto our list, Exhibit 53N, 53P, Exhibit 54A. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Hold on, hold on. 53P? MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Correct. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And 54...
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: A, 54E, 54Q, 54R,

$$
54 S
$$

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: S? MS. O'LAUGHLIN: $S$ as in Sam, 54T, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 62, 63, 64, 65, Exhibit 66, Exhibit 67, Exhibit 74, Exhibit 78, Exhibit 80, Exhibit 84, Exhibit 92, Exhibit 93, Exhibit 94, Exhibit 102, Exhibit 107, Exhibit 108, Exhibit 109, Exhibit 120, Exhibit 123, Exhibit 132, Exhibit 133, Exhibit 141 -I'm sorry. Scratch that. Exhibit 133, we're not going to. There is no stipulation.

[^0]|  | Page 92 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | So I'm going to withdraw our motion |
| 2 | with regard to Exhibit 133. Exhibit |
| 3 | 141, Exhibit 161, Exhibit 162, Exhibit |
| 4 | 163, Exhibit 164, Exhibit 166, Exhibit |
| 5 | 167, Exhibit 200, and Exhibit 202. |
| 6 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All |
| 7 | right. I'll take a look at it. I'm sorry. |
| 8 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'm unclear as |
| 9 | to which exhibits JM objects to. |
| 10 | MS. CAISMAN: Do you want me to |
| 11 | lay that out now or later? |
| 12 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Do you |
| 13 | have the list now? |
| 14 | MS. CAISMAN: Yes. |
| 15 | MS. BRICE: But we reserve to |
| 16 | amend after we go back and look. |
| 17 | MS. CAISMAN: We object to the |
| 18 | admission of 4 A and 4 B as no testimony |
| 19 | was elicited about these deposition |
| 20 | transcripts. |
| 21 | We also renew our objection |
| 22 | to Exhibit 38, which was the 1965 |
| 23 | resolution, which was eligible and unable |
| 24 | to be read by Mr . Gobelman yesterday. |
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1
a minute. Exhibits 108 and 109?
MS. CAISMAN: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. Okay.

MS. CAISMAN: We object to the admission of Exhibit 123 as no testimony was elicited regarding that document and also there was no stipulation of any kind with respect to that document.

We object to Exhibit 132 as there was no testimony regarding that document.

We object to Exhibit 161 as there was no testimony elicited with respect to that document.
We also renew our objections
to Exhibits 162 and 163. Mr. Hearing Officer, in May, you excluded these from evidence because they were not timely produced from IDOT. I know your June 21 st ruling mentioned a quitclaim deed so I wasn't sure --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I was going to address that. Okay. Thank you. MS. CAISMAN: Yeah. I will just

> L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292
note for the record in addition to the untimely production of those documents, the lack of reliance or disclosure of reliance on them by IDOT's experts on those particular deeds, there are markings or annotations on them that $I$ highly doubt are part of the original deed from 1895 and 1915 that was recorded on it. So we'd object to the foundation and authenticity for those markings.

Then we had previously objected to Exhibit 166 just because that copy was harder to read and $I$ think a more similar more legible copy exists, which is Exhibit 40. We also objected in May --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry. So 166 is the same as 40?

MS. CAISMAN: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So do we need 166?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: We'll take a look at it.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you.

|  | Page 96 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I'm sorry, Ms. Caisman. |
| 2 | MS. CAISMAN: And then in May, |
| 3 | we had objected to Exhibit 167 as not |
| 4 | having been timely produced. So we will |
| 5 | just renew that objection. |
| 6 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 7 | MS. CAISMAN: I guess, too, we |
| 8 | would just renew our -- sorry -- a little |
| 9 | out of order -- the exhibit that as used |
| 10 | with Mr. Stoddard was 4I, Pages 286 to 326. |
| 11 | We don't think that's a publicly available |
| 12 | document. It was not disclosed. He doesn't |
| 13 | actually have personal knowledge of it. So |
| 14 | for the sake of bringing the document into |
| 15 | the record with an inappropriate witness, we |
| 16 | will just renew that objection. |
| 17 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Is |
| 18 | there anything else? Was 202 out there? |
| 19 | I know at one point Ms. Brice reserved |
| 20 | and she wanted me to take another look |
| 21 | at it. Is that the map? |
| 22 | MS. BRICE: Right, right. I mean, |
| 23 | we would object to -- I mean, 164 is what |
| 24 | we have been using for the most part in this |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | case. At least that's what I was talking |
| 2 | about. I never actually understood exactly |
| 3 | what the changes were to 202 that were done |
| 4 | basically on the stand. So, you know, we |
| 5 | would object to that. |
| 6 | HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: All |
| 7 | right. |
| 8 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: We will take a |
| 9 | look at their objections and then I think |
| 10 | we will have to call Mr. Gobelman back |
| 11 | depending on what the ruling is on some |
| 12 | of these aerial photographs and topographic |
| 13 | maps. |
| 14 | We thought he covered his |
| 15 | aerial photographs enough and his topographic |
| 16 | maps enough, but if we need to go through |
| 17 | the exercise of saying it is what it is, |
| 18 | but if we want to use precious hearing time |
| 19 | to do that, we will. |
| 20 | HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Yes. |
| 21 | I remember a few hours of him talking |
| 22 | about topographic maps and aerial photos. |
| 23 | I'm not certain which exhibits he was |
| 24 | referring to. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MS. BRICE: Right. Well, I |
| 2 | don't know what his opinions are about |
| 3 | these different aerial photos because |
| 4 | he hasn't talked about them. There's |
| 5 | a series of years of aerial photos. |
| 6 | I mean, I don't disagree |
| 7 | that they should be admissible, but I |
| 8 | don't know what -- is he offering opinion |
| 9 | on them? Did he rely on them? |
| 10 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, |
| 11 | did you have a chance to cross? |
| 12 | MS. BRICE: Yeah, but he didn't |
| 13 | raise them. That's the point. |
| 14 | MS. CAISMAN: She crossed on the |
| 15 | certain topographic maps and aerial photos |
| 16 | that he used, but those were ones he did |
| 17 | not use. |
| 18 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: That's |
| 19 | what I'm getting at. I don't know which -- |
| 20 | MS. BRICE: Right. So that's |
| 21 | the problem. Since he didn't use them, |
| 22 | obviously we didn't cross-examine him. |
| 23 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So |
| 24 | we're really going to make IDOT call him |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: JM? |
| 2 | MS. CAISMAN: We have used some |
| 3 | exhibits on the crossing of Mr. Gobelman |
| 4 | that we would also want to move into evidence. |
| 5 | I don't know. Do you want us to do that |
| 6 | now? |
| 7 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Sure. |
| 8 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Those are in |
| 9 | addition to -- |
| 10 | MS. CAISMAN: In addition to |
| 11 | the ones we used May 23 and May 25. We |
| 12 | used a couple of additional ones, not |
| 13 | very many yesterday. |
| 14 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: And are those |
| 15 | in addition to the ones that are listed |
| 16 | on your exhibit list that was filed? |
| 17 | MS. CAISMAN: No. They are all |
| 18 | on our exhibit list. We just didn't elicit |
| 19 | any testimony about them until yesterday. |
| 20 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. Thank you |
| 21 | for the clarification. |
| 22 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I just |
| 23 | want to note by far in my 17 -- well, my 27 |
| 24 | years as a prosecutor and here, I've never |
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seen this many exhibits. But I'm just saying -- anyway, but go ahead Ms. Caisman.

MS. CAISMAN: It was 4D, 25, which is also on IDOT's list. So 25 was mutually moved in.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
MS. CAISMAN: Twelve, 13, 75, and 76.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
So 25 has already been moved?
MS. CAISMAN: That was on IDOT's list just now.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Oh, okay. Are you finished?

MS. BRICE: And I would just like to say procedurally and for the record to the extent that they have rested with the reservation, we would move for directed on their affirmative defenses.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Denied.
Thank you. So noted for the record.
MS. BRICE: It is a procedural
point.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah.
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Well, all right. Thank you. So noted. All |
| 2 | right. So IDOT rests with that condition |
| 3 | and JM, Ms. Caisman, rebuttal? |
| 4 | MS. BRICE: Yes. JM will call |
| 5 | Mr. Brent Tracy. |
| 6 | THE COURT REPORTER: Do you |
| 7 | swear the testimony you're about to give |
| 8 | will be the truth, the whole truth and |
| 9 | nothing but the truth, so help you God? |
| 10 | (Witness sworn.) |
| 11 | WHEREUPON: |
| 12 | BRENT T R A C Y |
| 13 | called as a witness herein, having been first duly |
| 14 | sworn, deposeth and saith as follows: |
| 15 | DIRECTEXAMINATION |
| 16 | by Ms. Brice |
| 17 | Q. Hi, Mr. Tracy. I just have a few |
| 18 | very quick questions for you. |
| 19 | When Johns Manville signed |
| 20 | the administrative order on consent, what was |
| 21 | its understanding of why Johns Manville was |
| 22 | liable for asbestos-containing material in |
| 23 | Sites 3 and 6? |
| 24 | A. We had placed the tire bumpers -- |
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1 the pipes that were the tire bumpers on the parking lot of Site 3.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can you keep your voices up? The blowers are on and it's hard to hear. Thanks. BY MS. BRICE:
Q. At that time what did JM know about IDOT's involvement with either Site 3 or Site 6?
A. We knew that they had done the Amstutz Project and we knew that they had built the bypass roads.
Q. At that time what was JM's belief as to how the asbestos-containing material became buried on the sites?
A. At the time we signed the AOC, our consultant had the theory that when we used the geoprobe to take the 1998 samples or the other consultant did, a geoprobe is a very small tube and they may have driven some of the pieces down lower into the cores when we did that.
Q. You previously said that during the AOC negotiations, JM raised with US EPA that IDOT might have operator status under CERCLA.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | What was US EPA's response? |
| 2 | A. They said they didn't feel they |
| 3 | had enough evidence to bring them in. |
| 4 | Q. I'm sorry. I didn't hear you. |
| 5 | A. They didn't feel they had enough |
| 6 | evidence to bring them in. |
| 7 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection, |
| 8 | hearsay. |
| 9 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 10 | Ms. Brice? |
| 11 | BY MS. BRICE: |
| 12 | Q. Did you -- |
| 13 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sustained. |
| 14 | MS. BRICE: Wait. Can I respond |
| 15 | to the hearsay objection? |
| 16 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah. |
| 17 | Well, it looked like you were responding |
| 18 | to Mr. Tracy with another question. |
| 19 | MS. BRICE: Oh, no, no. This |
| 20 | goes to notice as to what US EPA's position |
| 21 | was. |
| 22 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Proceed. |
| 23 | MS. BRICE: So are you sustaining |
| 24 | or overruling? |
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| 1 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sustained. |
| 2 | I want to hear your response. |
| 3 | MS. BRICE: Oh, that's my -- |
| 4 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 5 | Yeah. The blowers are loud. |
| 6 | BY MS. BRICE: |
| 7 | Q. So with respect to -- did you have -- |
| 8 | did US EPA tell you -- let me back up. |
| 9 | You discussed with this US EPA, |
| 10 | correct? |
| 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | Q. Okay. And did you have -- did you |
| 13 | personally have a conversation with US EPA about |
| 14 | this, correct? |
| 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q. Okay. And with respect to what -- |
| 17 | let me restate this. |
| 18 | What did you do in response to |
| 19 | US EPA's reaction to your statement about IDOT |
| 20 | possibly having operators test -- |
| 21 | THE COURT REPORTER: We can't |
| 22 | hear you. |
| 23 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You |
| 24 | have to keep your voice up. I'm sorry. |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 |



1 BY MS. BRICE:

2

```
Q. Okay. You took that action why? I mean, you -- what was your understanding of what US EPA was communicating to you what notice did they give to you about their position?
A. They -- they verbally told us that they were going to -- I mean, not going to bring them in at that point and they also indicated at the time that they would look at issuing another information request.
Q. Okay. And did they issue an information request?
A. No, they did not.
Q. Okay. What was your understanding of what they said about not bringing them in at that time?
A. My understanding was that they said they did not have enough evidence to bring them in.
Q. To your knowledge, had US EPA used civil investigators to investigate the sites?
A. I was made aware that they did use civil investigators for the site.
Q. But did you ever come to learn what

June 29, 2016
```

    was known by the civil investigators?
        A. EPA never shared that information.
            MS. BRICE: No further questions.
            HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
        you, Ms. Brice.
                    Mr. McGinley?
            CROSS-EXAMINAT I ON
                by Mr. McGinley
            Q. Mr. Tracy, I assume that Johns
    Manville sought to have another information
request issued by US EPA to IDOT. Would that
be a fair characterization of Johns Manville's
effort?
A. No. That was EPA's affirmative
statement to us. We did not ask them to do that
directly. They said that prior to asking.
Q. And you never sought to have US EPA
issue another 104(e) request? You never made any
attempt to have US EPA attempt to do that?
MS. BRICE: Asked and answered.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Overruled.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. Would you repeat the question.
I'm sorry. I want to make sure I get it right.

```
                L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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BY MR. McGINLEY:
Q. Did Johns Manville ever make any attempt to ask US EPA to issue another 104 (e) request to IDOT?
A. Yes. We actually supplied them with some potential questions and they still did not respond.
Q. Did not respond. In fact, they didn't take that action, right? They have not issued a subsequent \(104(e)\) letter to IDOT; isn't that right?
A. That's my understanding, yes.
Q. It's your understanding or are you confirming that that's, in fact, the case?
A. Well, I haven't reviewed the entire administrative record to make sure, but I'm not aware that they have done that.
Q. And you are, in fact, fair to say, intimately familiar with what's been taking place with this case, correct? You've been working on this matter for several years, haven't you?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So, in fact, if US EPA had done that, you would know about it?

\author{
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A. If they would have told us, yes.
Q. And you, in fact, were involved in the efforts, fair to say, at least in some respect to have US EPA issue another 104 (e) letter to IDOT, isn't that also correct?

MS. BRICE: Objection,
mischaracterize the testimony.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: That's sustained. Rephrase. BY MR. McGINLEY:
Q. Wouldn't it be fair to say, Mr. Tracy, you have made efforts as part of Johns Manville's overall efforts to get US EPA to issue another \(104(e)\) letter to IDOT, haven't you had a role in those efforts?

MS. BRICE: Again, that mischaracterizes the testimony.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He can answer if he is able. BY THE WITNESS:
A. I -- at EPA's request, we offered some potential questions to EPA. That's the effort we made.
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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BY MR. MCGINLEY:
Q. And you were involved in that effort yourself, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You mentioned earlier about civil investigators from US EPA looking into the Johns Manville site and I suppose from your testimony, although I don't believe you said so specifically, that you were referring to Site 3 and Site 6, correct?
A. The sites that were the subject of the \(104(\mathrm{e})\) question and Johns Manville at the time, which were -- which would have been, I believe, Sites 1, 2 and 3.
Q. Sites 1, 2 and 3.

Okay. Have you ever submitted -has Johns Manville ever submitted any FOIA requests to US EPA for information regarding the Johns Manville sites?
A. Ever? I believe the answer would be
Q. That has taken place?
A. It has.
Q. Okay. How recently has that ever been
done?
A. I believe most recent FOIA request was probably around 2000.
Q. 2000, is that your testimony, sir?
A. Yes.
Q. And have you -- were you involved at all in the drafting of the FOIA request to US EPA back in 2000?
A. No.
Q. All right. Who handled that for Johns Manville?
A. I believe it would have been Bruce Ray, but I'm not sure.
Q. Do you recall what the scope of the information was that was being sought by Johns Manville through that FOIA request?
A. No.
Q. So you have never seen that FOIA request, is that your testimony?
A. I have not directly seen the FOIA request, no.
Q. You have not directly seen it. So what then is your knowledge other than the fact that the FOIA request was made? Do you have
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additional knowledge?
A. That is my knowledge.
Q. So you have no further knowledge other
than the fact that it was issued -- that a FOIA request was made?
A. Correct.

MR. McGINLEY: Okay. I'm fine.
Thank you. No further questions.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
you.
Ms. Brice?
MS. BRICE: Yes. I have one quick point.

RED I RECTEXAMINATION by Ms. Brice
Q. I think it was your testimony a minute ago that it was US EPA's idea to issue a second FOIA request to IDOT; is that correct?
A. They -- yeah. When they said they didn't have enough information and after some other discussion, they basically said we would -we may entertain issuing another information request and if you have some questions you want us to ask, please relay them.
\[
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MS. BRICE: Okay. No further questions.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Tracy. (Witness excused.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Let's go off the record for a minute.
(Whereupon, a discussion
was had off the record.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Lori, we are back on the record, please. It's approximately 11:15.

Ms. Caisman, you're witness.
MS. CAISMAN: Thank you.
JM calls Mr. Stoddard.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
right. Mr. Stoddard, you have been sworn in earlier today. You're under oath.

You may proceed.
(Witness previously sworn.)
MS. CAISMAN: Thank you.
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 115 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2} \\
\hline 3 & WHEREUPON: \\
\hline 4 & K E I T H S O D D A R D \\
\hline 5 & called as an adverse witness herein, pursuant to \\
\hline 6 & Section (4), Rule 611(c): 735 ILCS 5/2-1102 of the \\
\hline 7 & Illinois Rules of Evidence, having been previously \\
\hline 8 & duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows: \\
\hline 9 & CROSS-EXAMINATION \\
\hline 10 & by Ms. Caisman \\
\hline 11 & Q. Mr. Stoddard, you were offered by \\
\hline 12 & IDOT as an expert witness in the scope and purpose \\
\hline 13 & of a grant for public highway; is that correct? \\
\hline 14 & A. That's correct. \\
\hline 15 & Q. You don't have a law degree, do you? \\
\hline 16 & A. No, I do not. \\
\hline 17 & Q. You're not an expert in real estate \\
\hline 18 & law? \\
\hline 19 & A. No. \\
\hline 20 & Q. You're not an expert on easements or \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{21 the law of easements?} \\
\hline 22 & A. No. \\
\hline 23 & Q. And so you're not -- you wouldn't \\
\hline & be an expert on the types of duties that IDOT \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
would have to maintain any easement that it had under the law?
A. That's correct.

MR. McGINLEY: Objection.
Mr. Stoddard hasn't been offered as an expert witness on that.

It's outside the scope
of any testimony that we elicited from Mr. Stoddard.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Ms. Caisman?
MS. CAISMAN: I think we are
trying to establish what he is and is
not an expert on for purposes of his
expert report and it also goes to his
credibility and the credibility of the
opinions in his disclosure.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'll
allow a few minutes on it.
MS. CAISMAN: Sure.
BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. And you've never taken any legal
courses?
A. No.
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Q. So you are not offering any legal opinions, are you?
A. That is correct.
Q. If you could, turn to Exhibit 15.
A. Here are Exhibits 28 through 56. I don't see Exhibit 15. Here it is.
Q. Mr. Stoddard, Exhibit 15, I just want to establish the -- for foundation and background purposes the parcel that we're looking at. You understand that Parcel 0393 is the right-of-way parcel that we are talking about on this case?
A. And this is Exhibit 15-1 that we are looking at?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay. I'm sorry. Your question?
Q. Do you understand Parcel 0393 is the parcel that's at issue in this case?
A. I understand that, yes.
Q. And do you see where Parcel 0393 is demarcated in a rectangular box and it's just south of Greenwood Avenue and east of Sand or Pershing Street?
A. I see that.

Page 118
Q. Okay. So you will understand then when we're talking about Parcel 0393, that's the area that we're referring to?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's distinct and a different parcel than E393, which is marked with a red box and highlighted in yellow --
A. Yes.
Q. -- on Exhibit 15?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You believe that IDOT has a permanent easement in Parcel 0393, don't you?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. If you could, turn to Exhibit No. 41.
A. I have that.
Q. Exhibit No. 41 is the 1971 grant for public highway and in this document, this grant gave IDOT the right to use for highway purposes a number of parcels, which included Parcel 0393?
A. That is correct.
Q. So because of this grant document, IDOT could do anything related to highway purposes
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1 2
on that particular parcel?
    A. That -- that would be true.
    Q. Okay. And as the permanent easement
holder on Parcel 0393, IDOT could do whatever was
necessary to maintain the property for highway
purposes?
    A. If it's for highway purposes, yes.
    Q. And highway purposes would include
maintaining public safety?
    A. That is correct.
    Q. It would include maintaining traffic
    flow?
    A. Yes.
    Q. This 1971 grant document in Exhibit
No. 41 would also allow IDOT to dig on Parcel 0393
if it was for a highway purpose?
    A. I believe so.
    Q. It would allow IDOT to install new
facilities on Parcel 0393 if they were for a highway
purpose?
A. That is correct.
            Q. And it would also -- the grant also
        gives IDOT access to Parcel 0393 to do anything as
        it relates to highway purposes?
                L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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A. That would be correct.
Q. You understand that IDOT needed to acquire the permanent easement in Parcel 0393 so that it could change the grade of Greenwood Avenue to make an overpass to connect to the Amstutz expressway?

MR. McGINLEY: Objection, assumes facts not in evidence.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And
Ms. Caisman?
MS. CAISMAN: I'm asking him if that's a correct understanding or not.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He can answer if he is able. Overruled. BY THE WITNESS:
A. I didn't review the construction plans as a part of my job in acquiring right-of-way. Programming and design make those decisions and I acquire. We just do what they've directed us to do.

BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. But you understand that IDOT needed an interest in Parcel 0393 to do work as part of its project on the Amstutz expressway?
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A. That is my understanding.

MR. McGINLEY: Objection, assume facts not in evidence, no foundation.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Ms. Caisman?

MS. CAISMAN: Again, I was asking if that's an understanding and I believe he answered that that was his understanding.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'll
let it stand.
BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. IDOT's permanent easement in Parcel 0393 is an existing right-of-way to this day, correct?
A. That is one way right-of-way can be classified and as it currently stands, the road is still there. So it would still be effective, in my opinion.
Q. And so because the road is still there, the grant for public highway conveyed to IDOT is still necessary?
A. I believe so.
Q. So as long as the road abutting

Parcel 0393 is being used for highway purposes, IDOT's easement on Parcel 0393 is still in affect?
A. That would be correct.
Q. And it would still be necessary?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. If you could, turn to Exhibit No. 43.
A. I have that exhibit.
Q. This is the 1984 grant for public
```

highway from ComEd to IDOT; is that correct?

```
A. That is correct.
Q. And it appears similar to the 1971 grant, but on Page 43-9, it indicates that the purpose of this document is to correct the intent and legal description of an earlier 1974 grant?
A. That's what's stated on this page.
Q. Now, 1984 was after the construction of the Amstutz Project was completed, correct?
A. Based on testimony offered here, that would be my understanding.
Q. Okay. So this document was re-recorded then after the Amstutz -- construction
```

L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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```
on the Amstutz Project concluded?
A. Again, that's my understanding based on testimony given here as to when the Amstutz Project was completed.
Q. You understood that this 1984 grant document was separating permanent easement parcels from temporary construction easement parcels?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And 0393 was being treated as a permanent easement parcel?
A. I just want to make a clarification that the 0393 is a right-of-way parcel. It's never referred to as a permanent easement in these documents, but that's how I had classified it in my deposition.
Q. Okay. So your understanding is it in effect it operates as a permanent easement?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. And that was a permanent easement granted to the state of Illinois?
A. Yes, according to this document.
Q. Now, the 1984 grant document, other than to use Parcel 0393 for highway purposes, there were no other restrictions on IDOT's use
L.A. Court Reporters, LIC 312-419-9292

1 of Parcel 0393?
A. Based on this document, that would be my understanding.
Q. So there were no limitations on the duration of IDOT's interest in Parcel 0393 based on the 1984 grant document?
A. That is correct.
Q. If you would, turn to Exhibit 85.
```

    Are you on 85?
    ```
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. Yes. Okay. Exhibit 85 is just another copy of the publicly recorded 1984 grant for public highway; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I just want you to turn
to the very last page of Exhibit 85, to this
map or figure on the back of the grant document.
Was this figure -- do you know if it was prepared
by IDOT?
A. I have not seen this figure.
Q. Okay. Are you familiar with H.W. Lockner, Inc.?
A. No, I am not.
Q. Do you see in the box and in the L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292
```

1 right -- bottom right-hand corner, it says, "Area
2 of permanent easement"?

```
```

A. I see that.
Q. Do you see where Parcel 0393 is identified under that heading?
A. This particular exhibit is very difficult to read as far as -- I'm not sure.
Q. This one has the color version.
A. This type is very small. I apologize. I have difficulty reading it.
Q. Do you see Parcel 0393 on the map itself?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. And it's shaded in dark?
A. That is correct.
Q. And then in the box in the bottom right-hand corner where it says, "permanent easement," there's a box shaded in dark?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And then below that, there's a heading that says, "temporary easement"?
A. That's correct.
Q. I believe next to that there's a box and I think it's striped?

```
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A. It's hashed. That's correct.
Q. It's hashed?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And E393 on the figure,

Parcel E393 is hashed?
A. That's correct.
Q. So is that consistent with the understanding that Parcel 0393 was treated as a permanent easement?
A. Yes, that's consistent.
Q. And consistent with the understanding that Parcel 0393 was treated as a temporary easement?
A. That's correct.
Q. You stated earlier that you reviewed the construction plans. Did you review the construction plans for the Amstutz Project?
A. No, I have not review the construction plans for the Amstutz Project.
Q. Are you aware of whether or not H.W. Lockner prepared the construction plans for IDOT for the Amstutz Project?
A. No.
Q. If you could, turn to Exhibit 47.
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A. Okay. You will have to give me a moment here.
Q. Exhibit 47 starts with a cover email from you to Mr. McGinley dated March 31, 2016. Attached is a draft of your expert disclosure statement; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, IDOT's attorney is the AG'S office, which originally drafted this disclosure statement for you, didn't they?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you made certain revisions to it?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. So if you could, turn to Exhibit 47-2. I'm looking at Section 2B.
A. I have that.
Q. Now, when IDOT's attorneys wrote this disclosure for you, they originally wanted you to say that the grant for public highway only provides IDOT with the right to use temporary easement over the third party's real property; is that right?
A. I don't believe they wanted me to L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292
say that. I believe based on conversations that I had with them and when they drafted the document that they wrote that down and that's why it's crossed out to correctly represent what was talked about in those phone conversations.
Q. Okay. So in the phone conversations, you discussed with them that the grant for public highway provided IDOT with a permanent easement?
A. I don't know that that specific language was used.
Q. But you did not say that it provided IDOT only a temporary easement?
A. I don't recall the entire content of those phone conversations.
Q. Okay. Despite then having purportedly talked about the opinions with you, they wrote down that the grant for public highway only provided IDOT with the right to use temporary easement over the real property?
A. In the initial draft, that's how it was stated.
Q. And you crossed that out?
A. I did.
Q. Because that's incorrect?
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A. Correct.
Q. The grant gave IDOT a permanent easement over Parcel 0393?
A. My opinion is that there is a permanent easement there. So I -- I don't know if I had that specific conversation with them or directed them that that was my -- you know, in that statement, but - so I don't know if I made that comment directly to them, this is a permanent easement, but, you know, based only how the draft was written, I crossed that out.
Q. Your reason for crossing it out is because that statement was incorrect and your understanding or your opinion is that it's a permanent easement?

MR. McGINLEY: Objection, asked and answered.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sustained. BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. IDOT's attorneys had also originally written that the grant for public highway does not vest in IDOT any permanent rights in the property. Do you see that?
A. I'm sorry. Could you --

that fee simple interest and title is vested in Commonwealth Edison for the real property located south of Greenwood Avenue and east of Sand Street and that the grant for public highway only provides IDOT with the right to use a third party's real property for the purpose specified with additional limitations on temporary construction easements."

Now, I just want to clarify that you're not saying that Parcel 0393 was a temporary construction easement.

MR. McGINLEY: Objection,
asked and answered.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Overruled.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. This -- this -- Section B here refers to the ownership in which is Parcel 0393 is located. And so this statement refers to an ownership issue. It does not refer to Parcel 0393 in the property with which is situated. It is owned by Commonwealth Edison. BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. But I just want to clarify that in this statement, you're not saying that IDOT L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
\[
312-419-9292
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1 had a temporary construction easement over that parcel?
A. I don't see any language where I'm making a statement concerning 0393, whether it's a temporary easement or a permanent easement in this particular location of this document.
Q. Okay. So when you say "additional limitations on temporary construction easement," that does not apply to Parcel 0393, does it?
A. But that does not apply to 0393, that is correct.
Q. So I just want to make sure this is clear.

The temporary construction easements that were granted were limited in time?
A. That is my understanding by a reading of those grants for public highway.
Q. But the grant on -- with respect to Parcel 0393 could last forever as long as it was being used for highway purposes?
A. Forever is a long time, but if it was their, yes.
Q. If you could, turn to Exhibit 3G. I have Exhibit 3G.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { L.A. Court Reporters, LLC } \\
& 312-419-9292
\end{aligned}
\]
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\hline & Page 133 \\
\hline 1 & A. Okay. \\
\hline 2 & Q. These are respondent's -- IDOT's \\
\hline 3 & revised responses to complainant's third set of \\
\hline 4 & interrogatories. \\
\hline 5 & Specifically, I want to direct \\
\hline 6 & your attention to number four, which is on 36 -- \\
\hline 7 & sorry -- 3G-6. \\
\hline 8 & MR. McGINLEY: Mr. Halloran, \\
\hline 9 & I'm going to object. This wasn't -- we \\
\hline 10 & never raised this in our case-in-chief. \\
\hline 11 & I mean, if -- we've never asked Mr. Stoddard \\
\hline 12 & about interrogatory responses. We had \\
\hline 13 & him presented simply initially just to \\
\hline 14 & talk about the title commitment. \\
\hline 15 & I mean, I'm not sure why \\
\hline 16 & we have to go through this exercise, \\
\hline 17 & but it does seem like it's a little \\
\hline 18 & bit far afield from where we went with \\
\hline 19 & Mr. Stoddard in the case and I'm not \\
\hline 20 & sure what the rebuttal purpose would \\
\hline 21 & be. \\
\hline 22 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Right. \\
\hline 23 & Ms. Caisman? \\
\hline 24 & MS. CAISMAN: Mr. Stumpner, in \\
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\end{tabular}
their case, had made certain representations
about IDOT -- the nature of IDOT's interest in this property and the abutting roadways and how that will -- how that impacts IDOT's responsibilities and obligations or not.

So we're attempting to rebut this with Mr. Stoddard. We thought IDOT was going to call him in their case-in-chief given that we did months of discovery.

Additionally, going through these interrogatory responses, I believe that his testimony contradicts much what IDOT put in their sworn interrogatory responses. And so IDOT's good faith or bad faith in responding to these certain misrepresentations and their cooperation in this matter goes to the Section 33C factors.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Quick reply.

MR. McGINLEY: Well, I'll object initially to the characterization of bad
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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faith. I think that that's uncalled for.
But more to the point, they
named Mr. Stoddard as being a witness in their case-in-chief. They opted not to call Mr. Stoddard in their case-in-chief. This is a rebuttal, you know, exercise at this point. So the purpose and the scope should be to rebut and it should be limited to the purposes of rebutting.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I agree. I mean, I'm not sure how we're getting where you're going or why.

MS. CAISMAN: Like I said, I
think it rebuts Mr. Stumpner's testimony
about the nature and interest -- honestly,
our expectation was that given that we
had done -- as we stated repeatedly,
reopened discovery and moved the hearing back to do expert discovery so that they could name Mr. Stoddard as a witness, we had expected to be doing this on cross-examination rather than rebuttal, but they chose not to call him despite

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 137 \\
\hline 1 & evidence, you're allowed to do \\
\hline 2 & cross-examination of that witness. \\
\hline 3 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, \\
\hline 4 & why didn't you call him in your \\
\hline 5 & case-in-chief? \\
\hline 6 & Was it just trial tactics? \\
\hline 7 & MS. BRICE: No. We assumed \\
\hline 8 & he was being called in their case. I \\
\hline 9 & understand trial, bold trial tactics, \\
\hline 10 & right? But we -- frankly, I mean, they -- \\
\hline 11 & all representations have been made that \\
\hline 12 & he was going to be called in their \\
\hline 13 & case-in-chief. \\
\hline 14 & But if they are using him \\
\hline 15 & and using his witness testimony and his \\
\hline 16 & expert report, we are allowed \\
\hline 17 & cross-examination under the rules. \\
\hline 18 & MR. McGinley: But we're not \\
\hline 19 & using his testimony. \\
\hline 20 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He's \\
\hline 21 & not using -- \\
\hline 22 & MS. BRICE: Well, I don't know \\
\hline 23 & if he is or isn't. He's got -- the witness -- \\
\hline 24 & MR. McGINLEY: We haven't \\
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 138 \\
\hline 1 & included Mr. Stoddard's disclosure \\
\hline 2 & statement as being one of our exhibits. \\
\hline 3 & We just went through that exercise. \\
\hline 4 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: What \\
\hline 5 & exhibit is that? \\
\hline 6 & MR. McGINLEY: Exhibit 17. We \\
\hline 7 & didn't use that and we certainly didn't \\
\hline 8 & use the draft. \\
\hline 9 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I \\
\hline 10 & agree with Mr. McGinley. I think we are \\
\hline 11 & going far afield of what rebuttal purposes \\
\hline 12 & are for and especially with Mr. Stoddard. \\
\hline 13 & Sustained. \\
\hline 14 & MS. CAISMAN: Mr. Halloran, given \\
\hline 15 & that, I could probably cut out a bunch of \\
\hline 16 & this given your ruling, maybe now we want \\
\hline 17 & to take a break for lunch instead of watching \\
\hline 18 & sit through and cut through this. \\
\hline 19 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes. \\
\hline 20 & That's what she just suggested. \\
\hline 21 & MS. CAISMAN: Okay. \\
\hline 22 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. \\
\hline 23 & so I don't know. At ten 'til 1:00? No. \\
\hline 24 & let's make it at 1:00 o'clock. We have \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
\hline
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some exhibit stuff to go through. Thank
you. We're off the record.
(Whereupon, after a short
break was had, the following
proceedings were held
accordingly.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
right. My apologies. We are back on the record at approximately 1:08 in the afternoon on June 24 th.

Ms. Caisman, I believe your witness is still up.

Mr. Stoddard, you still under oath.

MS. CAISMAN: Just to clarify, we are going to set aside the exhibit issues for now?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes.
BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. Mr. Stoddard, you provided an expert opinion regarding jurisdiction in this case, didn't you?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were here for Mr. Stumpner's L.A. Court Reporters, LLC

312-419-9292
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 140 \\
\hline 1 & testimony on jurisdiction? \\
\hline 2 & A. I was not. \\
\hline 3 & Q. But today -- as you sit here \\
\hline 4 & today, you were not offering -- you weren't \\
\hline 5 & asked by IDOT to offer any opinions regarding \\
\hline 6 & jurisdiction? \\
\hline 7 & A. I don't recall being specifically \\
\hline 8 & being asked to offer an opinion on jurisdiction. \\
\hline 9 & Q. You were not able to reach any -- \\
\hline 10 & an opinion as to who originally had jurisdiction \\
\hline 11. & over Greenwood Avenue, did you? \\
\hline 12 & MR. McGINLEY: Objection, vague \\
\hline 13 & and ambiguous, lacks foundation. \\
\hline 14 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could \\
\hline 15 & you rephrase, please, Ms. Caisman? \\
\hline 16 & BY MS. CAISMAN: \\
\hline 17 & Q. If you could, turn to Exhibit 47. \\
\hline 18 & A. Okay. I have Exhibit 47. \\
\hline 19 & Q. Okay. If you could, turn to -- \\
\hline 20 & again, this is your -- the draft disclosure \\
\hline & statement for you that was prepared by the \\
\hline 22 & AG's office. If you could, turn to 47-3. \\
\hline 23 & A. Okay. I have that change. \\
\hline 24 & Q. And I'm looking at Section 2D. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
A. I do not see the section number.

I see a D. Is that what you're referring to?
Q. Yes. So two starts on 47-2 and it goes \(A, B, C, D\) on 47?
A. Okay. I have it.
Q. Okay. So I'm looking at D. In Section D, it's talking about Greenwood and Sand Street; isn't that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And the last sentence reads, "have always been under the jurisdiction and control of the city of Waukegan and that the city of Waukegan's jurisdiction and control over each of the aforementioned streets continues up through the present day." Do you see that?
A. That is crossed out. I see that, yes.
Q. And you crossed that out?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. You crossed that out because you're not familiar with whether the city of Waukegan always had jurisdiction over Greenwood Avenue and Sand Street?
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A. Not at the time that this was
prepared.
Q. You don't know who originally had jurisdiction of Greenwood Avenue and Sand Street?
A. Again, not at the time that this was prepared.
Q. You don't know when, if ever, the city of Waukegan acquired jurisdiction over Greenwood Avenue and Sand Street?
A. I do now.
Q. When you prepared your -- at the time you prepared your expert report, you didn't know that?
A. That is correct.
Q. And so you couldn't definitively say who had jurisdiction over Greenwood and Sand at the time you prepared your expert report?

MR. McGINLEY: Objection, asked and answered.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes,
I have heard that. Thank you.
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
\[
312-419-9292
\]

1 BY MS. CAISMAN: you?
Q. You never supplemented your expert --
A. No, I did not.
Q. -- to provide that information, did
A. No, I did not.
Q. If you could, turn to Exhibit 3G.
A. I have that exhibit.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm
sorry. Did you say \(3 G\), Ms. Caisman?
MS. CAISMAN: Yes.
MR. McGINLEY: Mr. Halloran,
I'll object to getting into Exhibit 3G. You know, this is --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Hold on a minute, please, Mr. McGinley. Let me try to find it first. Thank you.

MR. MCGINLEY: Sorry.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're back on the interrogatories, Ms. Caisman?

MS. CAISMAN: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Same
objection as before Mr. McGinley?
MR. McGINLEY: That's correct.
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 145 \\
\hline 1 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, \\
\hline 2 & you may. \\
\hline 3 & MS. BRICE: Mr. Stumpner talked \\
\hline 4 & at length about jurisdiction on direct \\
\hline 5 & in their case. Mr. Stoddard was \\
\hline 6 & originally offered as the expert on \\
\hline 7 & jurisdiction, but they've swapped it \\
\hline 8 & out and used somebody who wasn't an \\
\hline 9 & expert or disclosed as an expert to \\
\hline 10 & talk about jurisdiction. \\
\hline 11 & We would now like to \\
\hline 12 & rebut what Mr. Stumpner said with \\
\hline 13 & what we think Mr. Stoddard will say. \\
\hline 14 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, \\
\hline 15 & I think I made my ruling about 11:40 \\
\hline 16 & before lunch, the same thing. You know, \\
\hline 17 & I think it's beyond scope. You could have \\
\hline 18 & called Mr. Stumpner in your case-in-chief, \\
\hline 19 & correct? \\
\hline 20 & MS. BRICE: No. He was in their \\
\hline 21 & case. \\
\hline 22 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Or \\
\hline 23 & Mr. Stoddard, Mr. Stoddard, right, or no? \\
\hline 24 & MS. BRICE: Right, but it's the \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
same topic. I mean, if there's a question of jurisdiction that they put on in their case, we're entitled to rebut that issue.

MS. CAISMAN: This is a different topic then.

MS. BRICE: This has nothing to do with what we were talking about earlier. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Before lunch?

MS. BRICE: Yeah. No.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'll
let you proceed momentarily and then, you know, object again if you feel the need. Overruled.

BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. 3 G, if you could take a look at number one on 3G-3.
A. At the bottom of the page?
Q. Yes. So the interrogatory is at the bottom of the page and I'm looking at IDOT's response to that interrogatory on \(3 G-4\) and specifically the last sentence of the response reads, "once construction of the expressway on the Greenwood Avenue overpass was completed,
\[
\begin{aligned}
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1 IDOT had no further use for the grant for public highway as roads adjacent to the land on which the grant for public highway was located and Greenwood and Sand Street were and have always been roads under the exclusive control of the city of Waukegan and were never state highways."

Do you see that?
MR. McGINLEY: Objection, lacks foundation.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And
Ms. Caisman?
MS. CAISMAN: I'm just asking
whether he has read that and I'm just going to compare that to the statement that he crossed out in his disclosure, which he has personal knowledge of. BY THE WITNESS:
A. I have not seen this document before.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'll
allow it this time, but this has got to be wrapped up in a hurry.

Again, \(I\) think it is far
afield and it infers inconsistency. You
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC

312-419-9292
can hammer it out in the post-hearing briefs.

BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. Do you see that last sentence, specifically the part "wherein have always had roads under the exclusive control of the city of Waukegan"?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And that language -- and you had crossed out the language "are and have all been streets under the control of" in your draft expert disclosure statement, didn't you?

MR. McGINLEY: I'm going to
object again. I -- you know, again,
this lacks foundation. Statements
that Mr. Stoddard has rendered with
respect to the disclosure statement
and the revision of the disclosure
statement -- final disclosure statement, it's in the record. I don't understand where this is going.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah.
The record will -- I'm letting her ask --
Ms. Brice, I'm letting her ask a couple
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 149 \\
\hline 1 & of questions and that's it. Then I'm \\
\hline 2 & closing it, as I stated earlier. \\
\hline 3 & MS. BRICE: I just have a \\
\hline 4 & question of clarification. \\
\hline 5 & HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: I'm \\
\hline 6 & sorry. Go ahead. \\
\hline 7 & MS. BRICE: I just have a \\
\hline 8 & clarification that I think might help all \\
\hline 9 & of us. \\
\hline 10 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Uh-huh. \\
\hline 11 & MS. BRICE: When you said we can \\
\hline 12 & argue this in the post-hearing briefs, for \\
\hline 13 & example, can we use Mr. Stoddard's deposition \\
\hline 14 & in our post-hearing briefs? \\
\hline 15 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, \\
\hline 16 & it's -- I think they -- didn't you -- isn't \\
\hline 17 & that in already? Did you move it? \\
\hline 18 & MS. BRICE: No. That's not in. \\
\hline 19 & We'd like to. We'd like it to be in. I \\
\hline 20 & think if you -- \\
\hline 21 & MR. McGINLEY: And we would argue \\
\hline 22 & that that's not proper because Mr. Stoddard -- \\
\hline 23 & you know, again they could have brought him \\
\hline 24 & in as part of their case-in-chief. They \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
named him as a witness in their case-in-chief.
Mr. Stoddard sat through a day of testimony
wherein he could have been called and he wasn't.

MS. BRICE: Mr. Halloran,
they just entered the highway
jurisdictional guidelines into this case without any testimony. You took judicial notice of it. Okay?

We're going to be left without being able to rebut whatever they're going to be saying about jurisdiction if we don't have

Mr. Stoddard's deposition testimony or some testimony from him here today.

I'm happy to rely on the deposition if we could enter that into evidence.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. IDOT? Why wouldn't you want Mr. Stoddard's testimony? Is it the testimony or the -his deposition testimony?

MR. McGINLEY: It's the deposition. I mean, the use of the disclosure statement,
we didn't -- we didn't bring this in. They could have brought this in as part of their case-in-chief. They chose not to. We also made a choice and that's what happens --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: That's what I thought I ruled at the beginning of the lunch hour.

MS. BRICE: This is different because this is rebuttal of what Mr. Stumpner said. Okay? What we were dealing with before lunch didn't have anything to do with that. Mr. Stumpner had not talked about those things.

They put on -- instead of putting on Mr. Stoddard, because they didn't like what he said in his deposition, they put on Mr. Stumpner. Now, they don't want us to be able to use what their own witness said and they made us spend three months of discovery on because they don't like what he has to say. I mean, we're trying to get to the bottom of the truth here.
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292
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\hline & Page 152 \\
\hline 1 & MR. McGINLEY: I would simply \\
\hline 2 & note for the record that insofar as \\
\hline 3 & discovery in this case, after they \\
\hline 4 & filed their second amended complaint \\
\hline 5 & is concerned, they took five depositions. \\
\hline 6 & They propounded countless amounts of \\
\hline 7 & requests for admission, requests for \\
\hline 8 & production, also interrogatories and \\
\hline 9 & we also took -- in the spirit of the \\
\hline 10 & discovery that was allowed, we took \\
\hline 11 & limited discovery. \\
\hline 12 & At the end of it, we made \\
\hline 13 & certain hearing strategy calculations \\
\hline 14 & about what we thought was appropriate \\
\hline 15 & and necessary for the presentation of \\
\hline 16 & our defense. We don't think that there \\
\hline 17 & was anything improper about that. \\
\hline 18 & Counsel seems to have a \\
\hline 19 & problem with not having called Mr. Stoddard \\
\hline 20 & in their case-in-chief and now they appear \\
\hline 21 & to regret that decision, but that isn't \\
\hline 22 & something that we should have to belabor \\
\hline 23 & as a result of this hearing. \\
\hline 24 & MS. BRICE: I -- I will just add \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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Avenue and Sand Street weren't always roads under the exclusive control of the city of Waukegan.

My only question is in
Exhibit 47 in your draft disclosure is that similar to the language you had crossed out that said, are Greenwood Avenue and Sand Street are and have always been streets that were under the control of the city of Waukegan?
A. As it relates to this document, were and always have been roads under exclusive control of city of Waukegan owner of state highways, that is a correct statement.

In regards to my deposition -no, my report, I crossed those out at the time I was not aware -- when that was prepared, I was not aware that they were under the jurisdiction of Waukegan and I just put -- in the previous testimony, I just stated that \(I\) now know that they are. So I'm responding to that particular statement because that is a true statement.
Q. How do you know that?
A. I have the documents that dedicated those particular roads to the city of Waukegan.
Q. Where did you obtain those documents
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 155 \\
\hline 1 & from? \\
\hline 2 & A. I obtained those documents from the \\
\hline 3 & Lake County recorder's office. \\
\hline 4 & Q. And you spoke to Mr. Carr at \\
\hline 5 & IDOT about jurisdiction? \\
\hline 6 & A. I did. \\
\hline 7 & Q. It's his expertise that you relied \\
\hline 8 & on in giving any opinions about jurisdiction? \\
\hline 9 & MR. McGINLEY: Objection. This \\
\hline 10 & is just getting argumentative at this point \\
\hline 11 & and really I think as Mr. Halloran has \\
\hline 12 & already said, if there's issue about \\
\hline 13 & this, let's just do it with post-hearing \\
\hline 14 & briefs. \\
\hline 15 & MS. BRICE: Fine. Then we can \\
\hline 16 & use his deposition? \\
\hline 17 & MS. O'LAUGHLIN: No. \\
\hline 18 & MR. McGINLEY: No. Because you \\
\hline 19 & wouldn't -- I mean, we had to go through \\
\hline 20 & the exercise of getting exhibits in. We \\
\hline 21 & identified exhibits they wanted testimony \\
\hline 22 & about. Now, they would seek to yet again \\
\hline 23 & turn the tables. \\
\hline 24 & MS. BRICE: Well, you're not \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
letting us get the testimony.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: It's --
MR. McGINLEY: I -- it's just
it's getting ridiculous at this point.
HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Yeah.
You know, again, before lunch, I agree with
Mr. McGinley.
Ms. Caisman, how many more
questions do you have on jurisdiction?
MS. CAISMAN: I'm just going to
ask quickly about the concept generally.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Quickly
is fine.
MS. CAISMAN: I'll stay away from this reference.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Thank you.
BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. Jurisdiction is separate from ownership of property, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. So someone can have a right-of-way or an easement interest on a piece of property and someone else can have jurisdictional rights?
\[
\begin{aligned}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 158 \\
\hline 1 & It's asked and answered at this point. \\
\hline 2 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You \\
\hline 3 & may answer if you are able. \\
\hline 4 & BY THE WITNESS: \\
\hline 5 & A. Based on the dates on the \\
\hline 6 & documentation, my opinion would be that is correct. \\
\hline 7 & BY MS. CAISMAN: \\
\hline 8 & Q. You also gave opinions in your \\
\hline 9 & expert disclosure about the maintenance of \\
\hline 10 & Greenwood Avenue and Sand Street; did you not? \\
\hline 11 & A. I did. \\
\hline 12 & Q. If you could, turn to Exhibit 47. \\
\hline 13 & A. I have that exhibit. \\
\hline 14 & Q. Okay. On Exhibit 47-3, I'm on Section \\
\hline 15 & 2E. \\
\hline 16 & A. I have that. \\
\hline 17 & Q. Section 2 E reads, "Finally, \\
\hline 18 & Mr. Stoddard is expected to testify that once \\
\hline 19 & IDOT's construction work on the Amstutz Project \\
\hline 20 & concluded that the state was not responsible for \\
\hline 21 & maintaining and there would have been no need \\
\hline 22 & for IDOT to have maintained the grant for public \\
\hline 23 & highway." \\
\hline 24 & Do you see that? \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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MR. McGINLEY: We will rely on Mr. Stoddard's testimony to the extent that we elicited testimony within our case-in-chief. That is our intent.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry. Within your case-in-chief?

MR. McGINLEY: Yes.
MS. BRICE: Right. What about the documents attached to his report that were not brought up in your case-in-chief?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Like what?
MR. McGINLEY: What are you talking about?

MS. BRICE: I don't know. I mean, I think there's -- the jurisdictional transfer issues.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: If you could point to --

MR. McGINLEY: If there's an exhibit that Ms. Brice is thinking about, you know, I'd be happy to clarify that, but I'm not sure what all she is talking about at this point. There are a number of documents attached.
\[
\begin{aligned}
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MS. CAISMAN: So exhibit -there is Exhibit D to his expert disclosure, which is a 1991 jurisdictional transfer.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Could you give -what's the exhibit number?

MS. CAISMAN: Seventeen.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: So 17D.
MS. CAISMAN: There's Exhibit G to his disclosure.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Exhibit 17.

MS. CAISMAN: And there are
exhibits to that -- attached exhibits to that.

MS. BRICE: And I think we would say, you know, to the extent it's an exhibit that's attached to Mr. Stoddard's report that's not otherwise the case, they shouldn't be able to rely on it because they're claiming they're not relying on his report. I don't think they should be able to backdoor it in through this document when we're not being allowed to cross-examine
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
\[
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 162 \\
\hline 1 & him from their case-in-chief, which \\
\hline 2 & is what I've been worried about the \\
\hline 3 & whole time. \\
\hline 4 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Just \\
\hline 5 & as an aside, most cases are just labeled \\
\hline 6 & Exhibit 18, 19, whatever. A couple of \\
\hline 7 & these cases like IDOT's wanting to move \\
\hline 8 & in, 53, for example, I don't understand \\
\hline 9 & that. In my mind, it's all or nothing. \\
\hline 10 & You've got, you know, A, C, \\
\hline 11 & Q, R. It's the most convoluted thing \\
\hline 12 & I've ever seen as far as these exhibits. \\
\hline 13 & In any event, respond to -- \\
\hline 14 & MR. McGINLEY: Exhibit 17 isn't \\
\hline 15 & on our list. I mean, that would be my \\
\hline 16 & answer. \\
\hline 17 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, \\
\hline 18 & I'm just saying as an aside, there are all \\
\hline 19 & of these sub-exhibits within the exhibits. \\
\hline 20 & Seventeen is not on their \\
\hline 21 & list so evidently, they are not going to \\
\hline 22 & rely on it. \\
\hline 23 & MR. McGINLEY: That's what we \\
\hline 24 & went through before we left for lunch. \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 164 \\
\hline 1 & MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yeah. We're not \\
\hline 2 & using it. \\
\hline 3 & HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: I'm \\
\hline 4 & sorry? \\
\hline 5 & MR. McGINLEY: It's not IDOT's \\
\hline 6 & intention to use Exhibit 17. \\
\hline 7 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. \\
\hline 8 & MR. McGINLEY: I hope that's \\
\hline 9 & clear enough. \\
\hline 10 & MS. BRICE: Okay. We can remove \\
\hline 11 & it. \\
\hline 12 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: That's \\
\hline 13 & IDOT's representation. But you guys did \\
\hline 14 & move this in and admit it. \\
\hline 15 & MS. CAISMAN: We did with our \\
\hline 16 & expert and we were really only using it \\
\hline 17 & as the premise of kind of this is what \\
\hline 18 & he was rebutting in his report. I think \\
\hline 19 & that was what he disagreed with. \\
\hline 20 & HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: It's \\
\hline 21 & represented by JM that they're going to \\
\hline 22 & remove Exhibit 17 that has already been \\
\hline 23 & admitted into evidence. We have \\
\hline 24 & representation by IDOT that they are \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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1 for construction costs where there was no benefit 2 to the state? part of that where the city will reimburse
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 167 \\
\hline 1 & the state for 40 percent of the costs of all \\
\hline 2 & construction along Greenwood Avenue east of \\
\hline 3 & Station 13 plus 20? \\
\hline 4 & A. I see that. \\
\hline 5 & Q. It's your understanding, is it \\
\hline 6 & not, that Parcel 0393 falls east of Station 13 \\
\hline 7 & plus 20 along Greenwood Avenue? \\
\hline 8 & MR. McGINLEY: Objection, \\
\hline 9 & lacks foundation, assumes facts not \\
\hline 10 & in evidence. \\
\hline 11 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And \\
\hline 12 & Ms. Caisman? \\
\hline 13 & MS. CAISMAN: It's in the document. \\
\hline 14 & We can turn to it. He said he's seen this \\
\hline 15 & before. \\
\hline 16 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'll \\
\hline 17 & allow it. \\
\hline 18 & BY MS CAISMAN: \\
\hline 19 & Q. If you could, turn to 40-13. \\
\hline 20 & A. I see that. \\
\hline 21 & Q. Do you see where it says 13 plus 20? \\
\hline 22 & A. I do. \\
\hline 23 & Q. Okay. And that's just to the west of \\
\hline 24 & railroad rendering for railroad tracks? \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
A. I do not see a north arrow on this exhibit. So I don't know which way east and west is as far as stationing.
Q. Okay. Well, if you go to 40-12, you see Sheridan Road and Illinois Route 421?
A. I see Sheridan Road and Greenwood Avenue.
Q. Okay. Sheridan Road and Greenwood Avenue. You see Station 31?
A. That's correct, just east of Sheridan Road.
Q. Okay. So if you keep traveling east along that green line, the green line then continues on to 40-13, doesn't it?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. And you have Station 22 plus 50
correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Continue going east on Page 40-13 and you see Station 13 plus 20?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Continue going east.
A. On which sheet would that be?
Q. From 40-13 to 40-14 and you see

June 29, 2016

Page 169
1 Greenwood Avenue and kind of in the corner of
    Sand?
A. I see it, but again, there is no north arrow on this drawing.
Q. Are you aware that Sand Street runs north/south and Greenwood Avenue runs east/west?
A. Oh, yes.
Q. Okay. Does that help you orient?
A. Well, you have four quadrants. So,

I mean, depending on where you were at the drawing, I mean, north/south and east/west, if you turn it up, there's no -- you don't know which direction you're looking at without a --
Q. When we were looking at 40-12, you had no problems saying Station 40 was east of Sheridan Road, did you?

MR. McGINLEY: Objection,
argumentative.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He
is adverse. Overruled.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. That is correct. There is no stationing on Exhibit 40-14. I'm just trying to understand north.
\[
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1 BY MS. CAISMAN:

2
    12?
```

BY MS. CAISMAN:

```
    Q. Turn back to 40 .
            HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm
        sorry.
            MS. CAISMAN: I'm sorry. I'm
        trying to figure this out.
    BY MS. CAISMAN:
        Q. Okay. If you could, turn to Exhibit
        A. Okay. I have Exhibit 12.
        Q. At the bottom of the page, this
is an email from Steven Warren to you dated
May 20, 2015; is that right?
    A. Exhibit 12. I have the top page.
    I have Friday, March 25th of 2016. Do I have the
        wrong exhibit?
    Q. Look at the bottom email of that
        page. It's a chain. The bottom email is an email
        from Steven Warren to you dated May 20, 2015; is
        that correct?
            A. That is correct.
            Q. He sent you some documents; is that
            A. Yes.
                L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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Q. And he asked you, "Can you tell me
if IDOT owns the ROW at this intersection"?
A. That was the question, yes.
Q. And you then forwarded this email
to Mathew Dorey (phonetic) on February 25, 2016?
A. That is correct.
Q. And you responded talking about Parcel
```

E393; is that correct?

```
A. Yes.
Q. Which is a temporary easement?
A. Yes.
Q. You are aware that the parcel at issue in this case is 0393; correct?

MR. McGINLEY: Objection, asked
and answered.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: It's
overruled.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. At the time I sent this email, I was not aware that the parcel in question was Parcel 0393.

BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. A temporary easement would not be considered a right-of-way; is that correct?
\[
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A. That is correct.
Q. So he asks you about IDOT's ownership of a right-of-way, but you responded not about a right-of-way?
A. Within the attachments was an exhibit that showed both 0393 and E393. When he sent me that exhibit, he had highlighted E393. So \(I\) was responding to the highlighted portion of that.

In my deposition, that exhibit was produced. It was done in black and white. So I'm assuming if it was copied in black and white that the yellow highlighted area did not show up.
Q. Regardless of what was in that attachment of the -- his question posed in the email was about right-of-way. You responded not about the right-of-way.
A. I responded to what I thought he was asking me the question about. He used the term right-of-way.
Q. So your testimony now is that your response was limited to a contemporary construction easement because of an attachment to this May 20 th
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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email that he sent you -- that Mr. Warren sent you?
A. At that time, yes.
Q. If you could, turn to Exhibit 4H. Then on \(4 \mathrm{H}-36\), Mr. Stoddard, you gave a deposition in this case, correct?
A. I'm sorry. I'm not to that page yet.
Q. Sorry?
A. I did.
Q. And you were under oath?
A. I was.
Q. You swore to tell the truth?
A. Yes.
Q. And the whole truth?
A. Yes.
Q. On Line 3, did I ask -- did Ms. Brice ask the following question and did you give the following answer?

MR. McGINLEY: I'm going to
object to using the deposition in this fashion. I mean, she is seemingly trying to impeach Mr. Stoddard. I mean, maybe ask a question first. If you want to get the deposition in, but this is -HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Did L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
\[
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 174 \\
\hline 1 & you ask a question? \\
\hline 2 & MS. BRICE: She just did. \\
\hline 3 & MS. CAISMAN: I did. \\
\hline 4 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I \\
\hline 5 & thought she just did. \\
\hline 6 & MR. McGINLEY: She took a \\
\hline 7 & deposition and asked about whether he \\
\hline 8 & had given an oath and I think that was \\
\hline 9 & it. I don't think there was an actual \\
\hline 10 & question. \\
\hline 11 & MS. BRICE: No. It was the \\
\hline 12 & question before that. \\
\hline 13 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We \\
\hline 14 & can stop and we will read the question \\
\hline 15 & back. \\
\hline 16 & Lori, can you go back \\
\hline 17 & to the last question that Ms. Caisman \\
\hline 18 & asked Mr. Stoddard? \\
\hline 19 & (Whereupon, the requested \\
\hline 20 & portion of the record was \\
\hline 21 & read accordingly.) \\
\hline 22 & MR. MCGINLEY: I'll withdraw. \\
\hline 23 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank \\
\hline 24 & you, Mr. McGinley. \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

You may proceed. BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. Starting on Line 3, were you asked the following question and did you give the following answer:
"Question: Okay. So going back to Deposition Exhibit 4, you say in here at the end based on this information, IDOT is not the owner of any -- of the temporary construction easement properties described in this
document. Why did you limit your response to the temporary construction easement properties when the original question posed by Mr. Warren was who owns the right-of-way at this intersection?"
"Answer: Again, the phone call that -- I don't recall what the conversation was, if the -- it would have probably been directed -- at the time my understanding was that I was looking at Parcel E393."
"Question: So you believe there was a phone conversation at some
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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point in which Mr. Warren directed you to focus on E393 and not 0393?"
"Answer: There was some correspondence where I was directed to focus on that initially, yes."
"Question: I'd appreciate it if you could go back to your emails and see if you could possibly find that piece of correspondence. It would be really helpful."
"Answer: I know I have the email that says 'give me a call.' That's all I have."

Were you asked those questions and did you give those answers?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Have you ever had a phone conversation with anyone about the ownership of Parcel 0393?
A. Not a phone conversation, no.
Q. Did you speak with Mr. Gobelman or the Attorney General's Office on May 29, 2015?
A. When you say "speak with," what are you referring to a phone conversation?
\[
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 177 \\
\hline 1 & Q. Or in person. \\
\hline 2 & A. No, not in person or a phone \\
\hline 3 & conversation and I don't know if there was \\
\hline 4 & correspondence by email on that date. I would \\
\hline 5 & have to look. \\
\hline 6 & Q. Okay. Now, you work in IDOT's \\
\hline 7 & District 1, correct? \\
\hline 8 & A. That is correct. \\
\hline 9 & MR. McGINLEY: Mr. Halloran, \\
\hline 10 & I'm going to object. This is going \\
\hline 11 & well beyond the scope of any testimony \\
\hline 12 & that we've had previously elicited from \\
\hline 13 & Mr. Stoddard. You know, it's -- we've \\
\hline 14 & talked about the jurisdiction. I mean, \\
\hline 15 & where is all of this going at this point? \\
\hline 16 & MS. BRICE: I can clearly \\
\hline 17 & elaborate. There is a document in \\
\hline 18 & Mr. Gobelman's file that says that \\
\hline 19 & he had a conversation that day with \\
\hline 20 & Mr. Stoddard and District 1 and the \\
\hline 21 & AG's office and Mr. Gobelman talked \\
\hline 22 & about it and he said he never talked \\
\hline 23 & to him. He said he never talked to \\
\hline 24 & him, but there's a document that \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 178 \\
\hline 1 & indicates that happened. So we are \\
\hline 2 & trying to find out. \\
\hline 3 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You \\
\hline 4 & can't argue that in a post-hearing brief? \\
\hline 5 & MS. BRICE: Well, we don't know \\
\hline 6 & what he is going to say right now. We \\
\hline 7 & can argue it in a post-hearing brief. \\
\hline 8 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I \\
\hline 9 & would appreciate it. \\
\hline 10 & MS. BRICE: Okay. \\
\hline 11 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank \\
\hline 12 & you. \\
\hline 13 & MS. CAISMAN: The only other \\
\hline 14 & topic I would have left was there's \\
\hline 15 & those two really old deeds. \\
\hline 16 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: The \\
\hline 17 & quitclaim deeds? \\
\hline 18 & MS. CAISMAN: Yeah, that we had \\
\hline 19 & objected to as not being timely disclosed \\
\hline 20 & and there are comments on them. So we \\
\hline 21 & would object to those coming in in the \\
\hline 22 & first place, but if they are, that would \\
\hline 23 & be the only remaining topic. \\
\hline 24 & MS. BRICE: I think you've ruled \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 180 \\
\hline 1 & questions for Mr. Stoddard. \\
\hline 2 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm \\
\hline 3 & sorry? \\
\hline 4 & MR. McGINLEY: We have no \\
\hline 5 & questions for Mr. Stoddard. \\
\hline 6 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank \\
\hline 7 & you, Mr. Stoddard. Thank you. \\
\hline 8 & (Witness excused.) \\
\hline 9 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Anything \\
\hline 10 & further on rebuttal? \\
\hline 11 & MS. BRICE: We are going to call \\
\hline 12 & Mr. Dorgan, please. \\
\hline 13 & MR. McGINLEY: Mr. Halloran, can \\
\hline 14 & Mr. Stoddard be discharged at this point? \\
\hline 15 & MS. BRICE: I think so, yes. \\
\hline 16 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes. \\
\hline 17 & You may by agreement of the parties. Thank \\
\hline 18 & you. \\
\hline 19 & MR. STODDARD: Thank you. \\
\hline 20 & MS. BRICE: Thank you, Mr. Stoddard. \\
\hline 21 & MR. STODDARD: You're welcome. \\
\hline 22 & MS. BRICE: Mr. Halloran, I just \\
\hline 23 & have one clarifying question. Previously, \\
\hline 24 & I believe when I was redirecting Mr. Tracy \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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\hline & Page 181 \\
\hline 1 & on one question, I said the wrong word. \\
\hline 2 & I said FOIA instead of \(104(\mathrm{e})\) and he \\
\hline 3 & responded that way. Is there some way \\
\hline 4 & to clarify that? \\
\hline 5 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, \\
\hline 6 & Mr. McGinley, Ms. O'Laughlin? \\
\hline 7 & MR. McGINLEY: We can just accept \\
\hline 8 & the representation. That's fine. \\
\hline 9 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You \\
\hline 10 & will accept the representation. \\
\hline 11 & MR. McGINLEY: Yes. \\
\hline 12 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Great. \\
\hline 13 & Thank you. So noted in the record. Thank \\
\hline 14 & you. \\
\hline 15 & MR. McGINLEY: Can I ask one \\
\hline 16 & question before we launch into Mr. Dorgan? \\
\hline 17 & Will we have enough time left at the end \\
\hline 18 & of this to possibly ask questions of \\
\hline 19 & Mr. Dorgan should that be necessary? I \\
\hline 20 & know that -- I mean, I know that we are at \\
\hline 21 & 2:00 o'clock and you've indicated that \\
\hline 22 & we are stopping as of 4:30. \\
\hline 23 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah. \\
\hline 24 & I wasn't aware that Mr. Dorgan was going \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
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\hline & Page 182 \\
\hline 1 & to be called. I thought you just had \\
\hline 2 & Mr. Tracy and Mr. Stoddard. \\
\hline 3 & MS. BRICE: I promise I told \\
\hline 4 & you that. I said -- I said Mr. Tracy \\
\hline 5 & was going to be very brief and I said \\
\hline 6 & Mr. Dorgan was going to take maybe an \\
\hline 7 & hour. \\
\hline 8 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I \\
\hline 9 & thought you said Mr. Stoddard. I don't \\
\hline 10 & know. We are going to have to double it \\
\hline 11 & up. \\
\hline 12 & MS. BRICE: I'm not planning to \\
\hline 13 & take very long. \\
\hline 14 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, \\
\hline 15 & an hour, you said. So. \\
\hline 16 & MS. BRICE: Well. \\
\hline 17 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You \\
\hline 18 & know, it's usually double that. That's \\
\hline 19 & what I'm saying based on the last four \\
\hline 20 & days. If you could double that up, that \\
\hline 21 & would be fine. So if IDOT has questions -- \\
\hline 22 & because we're out of here by 4:30. \\
\hline 23 & MS. BRICE: Sure. \\
\hline 24 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And we \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 183 \\
\hline 1 & still have to deal with the exhibits. \\
\hline 2 & MS. BRICE: Understood. I'm \\
\hline 3 & assuming they're meaning questions relating \\
\hline 4 & to my redirect -- our rebuttal case, not \\
\hline 5 & something totally different, right? \\
\hline 6 & MR. McGINLEY: That's precisely \\
\hline 7 & correct. We are trying to ensure that we \\
\hline 8 & have a right to ask questions of Mr. Dorgan \\
\hline 9 & if that's necessary. \\
\hline 10 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Right. \\
\hline 11 & MS. BRICE: Sure. Definitely. \\
\hline 12 & Okay. Let's go. \\
\hline 13 & Have you been sworn in? \\
\hline 14 & MR. DORGAN: Earlier. \\
\hline 15 & HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Let's \\
\hline 16 & swear in Mr. Dorgan. It's not that you \\
\hline 17 & need it, but let's just do it for the \\
\hline 18 & record's sake. \\
\hline 19 & THE COURT REPORTER: Do you swear \\
\hline 20 & the testimony you're about to give will be \\
\hline 21 & the truth, the whole truth and nothing but \\
\hline 22 & the truth, so help you God? \\
\hline 23 & MR. DORGAN: I do. \\
\hline 24 & (Witness sworn.) \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

WHEREUPON:
DOUGLAS G. DORGAN, JR. called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:

DIRECTEXAMINATION by Ms. Brice
Q. Mr. Dorgan, you prepared a rebuttal report in this case; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And that is Exhibit 16, and I'm not going to mark it for right now, but we will move it into evidence if we have not thus far.

In that rebuttal report, you outlined, I believe, seven reasons why you disagree with Mr. Gobelman that IDOT didn't cause or allow the spreading, disposal, burial or replacement of \(A C M\) on Sites 3 and 6; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Before I go into that, I'm going to clear up a couple of issues. What's the difference between as-built drawings and bid
drawings?
A. The bid drawings would be the documents that led to the contractors upon which they would have prepared their bids and submitted their bids. As the project was actually implemented, the as-builts would be a set of the original bid drawings that would be marked up to reflect any changes -- change conditions encountered in the field or changes to the plan construction that took place so that there would be documentation that those changes had occurred.
Q. And which one is more important for rendering an opinion in this case?
A. I believe the as-builts would be more relevant.
Q. And why is that?
A. Because they would reflect what was actually done for the project.
Q. And which ones did you rely on, as-builts or the bids?
A. The as-built drawings.
Q. And had you ever seen the bid drawings? Have they been produced to you?
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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A. No, I had not.
Q. And have you ever seen a color copy of the as-built drawings that says, you know, red -changes made in red? Have you ever seen that?
A. No, I have not.
Q. Have you ever seen Sheet 17 of the as-built plans?
A. No, I have not.
Q. Have you ever seen soil borings taken under Greenwood for the Amstutz Project?
A. No, I have not.
Q. Do you believe there would have been such borings?
A. I think it's likely that there would have been borings done.
Q. And why is that?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could
you speak up, please? I'm sorry.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. I think it's likely that there would have been borings done and that would have been purposes of understanding the scope of the required work to construct both the embankment and the intersection with Detour Road A.

> L.A. Court Reporters, LLC \(312-419-9292\)

BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Well, and wouldn't those borings tell you if you had unsuitable material?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what about Detour Road A, do you know if they did any borings under Detour Road \(A\) one way or another?
A. I don't know if there were borings done under Detour Road A.
Q. Would you expect there to have been borings done under Detour Road A?
A. There may have been, but I'm not aware if there were.
Q. I'd like to talk about your figures very quickly. If you could, turn to Figure 2, Exhibit 6-25. Can you please explain briefly what this figure is depicting?
A. This figure depicts the detection of asbestos fibers within soil samples that were collected as part of previous investigations.
Q. Okay. And what about Figure 3 on the next page, Page 6-26?
A. Figure 3 represents those locations in previous investigations where visual
asbestos-containing material was identified.
Q. And what's the difference between these two figures?
A. The first figure represents those locations where asbestos -- individual asbestos fibers were detected whereas Figure 3 shows those locations where a solid matrix that would have contained asbestos would have been encountered.
Q. Thank you. And if you could, turn to 6-27, which is Figure 4. If you could, please explain this to us.
A. This is just a profile along Detour Road A, I believe, that shows the locations of asbestos and asbestos -- both asbestos fibers and visual ACM that was detected along that profile in earlier investigations.
Q. Okay. And in the profile down below -- for example, take a look at 5 S and 6 S . There are lines here. Are those within the fill material? Is that what you're trying to depict here?
A. That's correct.
Q. And explain. Within the fill material --
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A. That would have been placed during construction project by IDOT.
Q. Thank you. If you could, turn to Figure 5, please.
A. Okay.
Q. What are you showing on this figure?
A. Similar to Figure 4, this represents a profile through Greenwood Avenue and again, it represents the occurrence of both asbestos fibers and asbestos-containing material and the fill materials that were placed at the site.
Q. Okay. And if you could, explain just a little more exactly what you are showing in this profile down below and let me put it this way, I asked Mr. Gobelman a number of questions about this document and Stations 7, 8 and 9, for example. What are you depicting here at Stations 7, 8 and 9 along Greenwood?
A. Well, at these locations, the cross-section shows a layer of peat material that would have been considered unsuitable and shown on the IDOT construction as-builts as an unsuitable material that would have been removed and replaced as well as all the material above

> L.A. Court Reporters, LLC \(312-419-9292\)

1 it. This is representing how the predominant
    occurrence of both fibers and asbestos-containing
    material is located within that zone.
Q. Thank you. Let's look at your rebuttal report figures quickly and we're going to take a look at -- this is Exhibit 16. I would like to look at Exhibit 16-17, please.

Are you there?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Okay. Great. Could you, please, tell us what this figure is?
A. This figure represents the area of Site 3 and the western end of Site 6 where it shows Site 3 boundary and the Site 6 boundary and the IDOT construction limits that were part of the Amstutz construction project. It also shows IDOT easements and then it shows the location of the former Johns Manville parking lot as well as the location of the detour roads.
Q. What about the red lines, the arrows?
A. Those would have been a representation of the location of ditches that would have been constructed as part of the construction effort.
Q. Thank you. If you could, turn the L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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1 page, please, to Figure 2. This figure looks

2 similar to some of the figures on your initial
3 report. How is it different from the figures
4 on your initial report?
5
A. This particular figure focuses in on those locations where Transite pipe was either identified in the investigation borings or test pits or suspect Transite pipe was identified.
Q. Okay. And when you say, "suspect Transite pipe," what do you mean by that?
A. It was described that way on the boring logs.
Q. If you could now turn to Exhibit 84 along with Exhibit 164, which was prepared by Mr. Gobelman.
A. Okay.
Q. You prepared Exhibit 8; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. What is the purpose of this Exhibit 84?
A. The purpose is to show a cross-section through two sets of sample boring locations and it represents the presence of different types of
\[
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asbestos-containing material that was encountered

```
within select borings during the investigations.
    Q. Okay. Does it show more than just
Transite pipe?
    A. Yes, it does.
    Q. And does it show the occurrence of
    asbestos fibers?
    A. It does not show the fibers. It shows
what has been described as fibrous sludge.
    Q. Okay. And the fibrous sludge and
the other types of ACM are where in relationship
to your opinion about the fill material placed
by IDOT?
    A. It would be above.
    Q. Let's look at Mr. Gobelman's
        Exhibit 164 and let's start with Figure 1, please.
            MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Can I -- there
        was a copying error that I noticed once
        we had the exhibits over the break and
        on Exhibit 90, the Bates numbers, there
        is a better version of Gobelman's
        demonstrative as the first three pages
        of Exhibit 90. I think it was just a
        copying error and they're pulled out.
        L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & I'd like to correct that record with \\
\hline 2 & better copies. We can do this later, \\
\hline 3 & but there are better copies. There are \\
\hline 4 & full ones. The Bates numbers are the \\
\hline 5 & same. You can see that it was just a \\
\hline 6 & copying error. \\
\hline 7 & MS. BRICE: Okay. I have 164, \\
\hline 8 & but you -- \\
\hline 9 & MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Right. But \\
\hline 10 & you can see like the official exhibits, \\
\hline 11 & they are that type of copy, and the better \\
\hline 12 & is the first three pages of Exhibit 90. \\
\hline 13 & They are the same Bates numbers. So they \\
\hline 14 & should just be relabeled. \\
\hline 15 & MS. BRICE: Okay. \\
\hline 16 & THE WITNESS: Exhibit 90 in here? \\
\hline 17 & MS. O'LAUGHLIN: No. It's in one \\
\hline 18 & of the black binders. \\
\hline 19 & THE WITNESS: Right here? Those \\
\hline 20 & aren't the ones we're talking about. \\
\hline 21 & MS. O'LAUGHLIN: You will see, \\
\hline 22 & these are the same Bates numbers and these \\
\hline 23 & are the -- they're bundled with the Sidwell \\
\hline 24 & maps and I think that was just a copying \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
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\hline & Page 194 \\
\hline 1 & error. So this should be one -- what \\
\hline 2 & number is that, 164, I believe? It's \\
\hline 3 & these three, the first three. I just \\
\hline 4 & figured that out. \\
\hline 5 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You \\
\hline 6 & know, real quickly, while on that topic, \\
\hline 7 & it looks like JM's exhibits they are marked \\
\hline 8 & pages, the exhibits. IDOT's, they don't \\
\hline 9 & have page numbers. They have Bate marks \\
\hline 10 & and it's a little confusing especially \\
\hline 11 & when you're going to post-hearing brief \\
\hline 12 & and citing all of this. \\
\hline 13 & I was going to have the \\
\hline 14 & parties cite the page numbers -- exhibit \\
\hline 15 & page numbers, but we can't do it on this. \\
\hline 16 & So cite the exhibits as well from IDOT. \\
\hline 17 & In any event. \\
\hline 18 & MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yeah. We can \\
\hline 19 & adjust that. \\
\hline 20 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I just \\
\hline 21 & want you to be aware of it -- that it's not \\
\hline 22 & working out. \\
\hline 23 & MS. O'LAUGHLIN: It's not a big \\
\hline 24 & deal. \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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\hline & Page 195 \\
\hline 1 & MR. McGINLEY: Do you want us \\
\hline 2 & to produce -- I mean, we can produce a \\
\hline 3 & set that has a Bates number. I mean, we \\
\hline 4 & can adopt a similar numbering system just \\
\hline 5 & to make it easier for purposes going \\
\hline 6 & forward. We can probably get that to you \\
\hline 7 & by Tuesday of next week. \\
\hline 8 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. \\
\hline 9 & MR. McGINLEY: And for Johns \\
\hline 10 & Manville as well, obviously. \\
\hline 11 & MS. BRICE: Right. But then how \\
\hline 12 & will that correspond with what's already in \\
\hline 13 & the record? \\
\hline 14 & MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yeah. \\
\hline 15 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: With \\
\hline 16 & what's in the record, yeah. \\
\hline 17 & MS. BRICE: That's the problem. \\
\hline 18 & MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I mean, and we \\
\hline 19 & agree. I mean, the Johns Manville exhibits \\
\hline 20 & are -- were the exhibits that we were both \\
\hline 21 & going to use. They did the numbering. \\
\hline 22 & it's just the way it worked out. \\
\hline 23 & MS. CAISMAN: Could I say the JM \\
\hline 24 & ones refer to the exhibit page numbers \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
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\hline & Page 196 \\
\hline 1 & and then just for the IDOT ones refer \\
\hline 2 & to the Bates number and just know that -- \\
\hline 3 & MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I sort of \\
\hline 4 & agree with you. We'll need to have an \\
\hline 5 & agreed -- if we number them, we will \\
\hline 6 & have to have two references because \\
\hline 7 & throughout the hearing, they are referred \\
\hline 8 & to as exhibit numbers without necessarily \\
\hline 9 & page numbers although we can try and number \\
\hline 10 & them. \\
\hline 11 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: If \\
\hline 12 & need be, we will talk about it and I'm \\
\hline 13 & sure we will after Mr. Dorgan's dep is \\
\hline 14 & done. Thank you. \\
\hline 15 & BY MS. BRICE: \\
\hline 16 & Q. Okay. If you could, please take a \\
\hline 17 & look at Figure 1 of Exhibit 164. Are you there? \\
\hline 18 & MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Can you do \\
\hline 19 & the Bates number for 164? \\
\hline 20 & BY THE WITNESS: \\
\hline 21 & A. I believe the point that was just \\
\hline & made is that Exhibit 90-1, 90-2 and 90-3 would \\
\hline & be 11-by-17 color copies of the same figures that \\
\hline 24 & are done in Exhibit 164 with the intent of trying to \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
use 90-1.
MS. BRICE: It says Figure 1 on the bottom. It's listed. It says, "IDOT 012084."

MR. McGINLEY: Right.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And I don't have that.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: It's the same as you have, 90, Exhibit 90.

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Okay, okay. You may proceed. I'm sorry. BY MS. BRICE:
Q. In general, how is Mr. Gobelman's 164 or 90 different from your Figure 84?
A. My Figure 84. Well, I can just speak to what \(I\) see on Exhibit 90-3, Figure 1, is that Mr. Gobelman has used my original figure as the underlying base for a number of additions that he made where he then superimposed additional locations of asbestos, it appears to be fibers, that were identified as part of the investigation and then also added some locations to the eastern end of site 6 and along the northern right-of-way of site 6 .
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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Q. Okay. But other than the ones on the eastern end of Site 6 and the northern side of Site 6, you had identified these fibers elsewhere on one of your other figures?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. So let's look here at the east end of Site 6. He has 75 and 85 . Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Did IDOT do work in those locations?
A. Yes, they did.
Q. What kind of work was done in location 7S and 8S?
A. It would have been the construction of the intersection of Detour Road A with Greenwood.
Q. What did they have to do to construct that intersection?
A. They had to bring fill in to raise Detour Road A up to the grade of Greenwood Avenue.
Q. And what else?
A. The cross-sections out of the record suggest there were areas of unsuitable fill material that also had to be removed from below Greenwood Avenue.
\[
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Q. He also includes borings on the north side of Site 6 .

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Does he depict those borings to be within the IDOT right-of-way?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. Let's turn to Figure 2, which is IDOT 012082, please. From what I can tell, this Figure 2 does not differ from your Exhibit 84 with respect to depicting the material on the south side of Greenwood; is that correct?
A. I believe they are generally the same, but it appears he included a reference to ACM brake shoes on his figure that have not been specifically identified on Figure 84.
Q. Okay. Good point. That's probably because he added 7 S and 8 S ; is that right?
A. I believe that is correct.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Other than that addition, do you see anything different in Mr. Gobelman's Figure 2?
A. They appear to be generally the same.
Q. Okay. Let's go to Mr. Gobelman's Figure 3, which is IDOT 012083.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And this is the B to B cross-section with respect to the north side of Greenwood, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Do you have any reason to dispute the location of ACM he has depicted on this figure?
A. Again, they appear to generally align with the same information that had been presented on my figure with the -- or actually, I had not -- no, I had not prepared a cross-section for the north side of Greenwood, but I have no reason to specifically dispute the information that he is presenting on this figure.
Q. Okay. And sorry to be going back and forth, but on Figure 2, there is a line drawn on the base elevation of the fill material on both his figure and your figure, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And what was that line depicting on your figure?
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A. That would have been the depth of the unsuitable fill material removal and the backfill that would have been needed to restore after that unsuitable material was removed.
Q. And you also took into the account the pipe location, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And so everything above that dotted line you're depicting as being within the fill material placed by IDOT?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. If you go back to Figure 3 on Mr. Gobelman's document, if you could draw in for me that fill line that you would expect to be on the cross-section and, you know, before you do that, let me give you some backup information that might be little bit helpful. If you could, turn to \(21 \mathrm{~A}-72\). Are you there?
A. Yes.
Q. What is this document showing?
A. This is the cross-sections that shows Greenwood Avenue starting at the bottom at Station 7 plus 60. It appears it's going
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to station 9 and it shows the materials that
were removed that were considered to be unsuitable that were ultimately replaced and then it also -Station 9 picks up the drainage ditch that was installed along the utility embankment.
Q. And this document indicates that there's been unsuitable material in both the north and south side at the center line of Greenwood Avenue, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And is that at approximately the same depth on the north and south side?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you please explain for the record how you know that from this document?
A. There are -- obviously, the center line of Greenwood Avenue was shown. It shows the entire cross-section through Greenwood Avenue as though you were looking length-wise along Greenwood Avenue and then it references elevations that correspond with the grid lines upon which these drawings were projected.
Q. Thank you. And so let's go back to Figure 3, cross-section BB of Mr . Gobelman's
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figure. I take it from what you just said that the line that you have drawn on your Figure 84 and Mr. Gobelman has drawn on Figure 2 , that would be at approximately the same location on the north side of Greenwood; is that accurate?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Could you please draw in that line for us and initial your name next to it on the drawing?
A. Very approximately, it would be Elevation 585.
Q. Okay. And where is the fill
material or where is the asbestos-containing material that Mr. Gobelman has depicted on this figure?
A. In the fill materials above that elevation.
Q. So what can you conclude about Mr. Gobelman's Figure 3 and how ACM became located in the borings depicted on the north side of Greenwood Avenue?
A. The asbestos that's represented on the figures is located within fill material placed during the IDOT construction project.
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 204 \\
\hline 1 & Q. I'd like you to turn quickly to \\
\hline 2 & Exhibit 63-86. Are you there? \\
\hline 3 & A. Yes. \\
\hline 4 & Q. Okay. This document is depicting \\
\hline 5 & in part the occurrence of asbestos on Site 6; \\
\hline 6 & isn't that right? \\
\hline 7 & A. That's correct. \\
\hline 8 & Q. Okay. And look up in the top -- \\
\hline 9 & there's two parts of Greenwood on this document; \\
\hline 10 & correct? \\
\hline 11 & A. That's correct. \\
\hline 12 & Q. Okay. I'd like for you to look up \\
\hline & at the top part of Greenwood. \\
\hline 14 & A. Yes. \\
\hline 15 & Q. Okay. And do you see -- if you \\
\hline 16 & could write in there where -- if you could show \\
\hline 17 & everybody where Site 3 is, I'd appreciate it, \\
\hline & and then describe it for the record. \\
\hline 19 & MR. McGINLEY: I'm sorry. What \\
\hline 20 & page is this again? \\
\hline 21 & MS. BRICE: It's 63-86. \\
\hline 22 & MR. McGINLEY: Thank you. \\
\hline & BY THE WITNESS: \\
\hline 24 & A. Site 3-- so these are two plan \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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views of Site 6 . The top plan view would be the western half approximately of Site 6 and the lower plan would be the eastern half with a match line linking the two.

Site 6 is this hashed area to the bottom left corner of the top plan view and then that's...

BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Okay. What I'm particularly interested in is do you see where this sort of -it looks like a road curving around at the -in that top left portion of the document? Not really top, but mid left. I'm trying to delineate sort of the bottom of site 3 .
A. I'm not sure I'm following your question.

MS. BRICE: I'm sorry. Can

\section*{I approach?}

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, you may. BY MS. BRICE:
Q. So I'm assuming -- I assume that this road right here -- and I'll show everyone in a moment -- is part of site 3. Is that
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accurate or am I misreading that?
A. I'm not sure I would be able to characterize that necessarily as a road.
Q. Okay. Perfect. But this is the part -- so you can see in here you've got the borings at \(1 S-2,8 S\), correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And that's up at the very -the very top part of here, right (indicating)? So does that line up with the borings that we were talking about on Mr. Dorgan -- Mr. Gobelman's figure?
A. Yes.
Q. And look to the north there. You see some borings on the north side; right?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. And do those line up with the borings depicted on Mr. Dorgan's (sic.) figure?
A. Mr. Gobelman's figure?
Q. Mr. Gobelman's figure. I'm sorry.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, go back down to the south side of Greenwood and look to the east.
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Are there any other -- is there a break there?
Is there any other asbestos
to the east on the south side of Greenwood?
A. Not if it's represented on this
drawing.
Q. Okay. So then look directly at Mr. Gobelman's -- look at the north side and there is a break, is there not, after about seven in or eight in?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. What does that suggest to you, if anything?
A. Well, according to this figure, that would represent an area of soil that was not affected by asbestos-containing material.
Q. Okay. So what does that suggest to you with respect to whether IDOT placed asbestos-containing material on the north side Greenwood?
A. Well, this demonstrates the two areas that are identified as being -- having asbestos-containing material effects, both on the north side and the west end of Site 6 again immediately adjacent to the area of
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    1 Site 3.
    ```
Q. And those generally line up
with the IDOT right-of-ways; do they not?
    A. I believe so, yes.
    Q. Okay. You can set that to the side.
Thank you.
                    And in Mr. Gobelman's testimony,
you sort of blended site -- he sort of -- I'm sorry.
Strike that.
                    Mr. Gobelman treated Site 6 and
Site 3 as completely separate. Do you recollect
that?
    A. Yes.
            Q. Is that accurate?
            MR. McGINLEY: Objection, vague.
            HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He
        can answer if he is able. Overruled.
        BY THE WITNESS:
    A. I mean, I would agree that they
        are separate sites, but certainly the west end
        of Site 6 is adjacent to and abuts Site 3.
        BY MS. BRICE:
            Q. And turn to -- let me actually
        pull it out -- 21A-23, which we have a board of.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { L.A. Court Reporters, LLC } \\
& 312-419-9292
\end{aligned}
\]

You can just use this.
A. Sure.
Q. At the intersection of Detour Road
A and Greenwood, we just -- there was a fair
    amount of work done there, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And that work was done to the east of Station 7; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And Mr. Gobelman stated, did he not in his testimony, that there was no work done along Greenwood east of Station 7?
A. Yes. I believe that generally represents his testimony.
Q. Okay. And do you agree with that?
A. No.
Q. And why is that?
A. Because this figure out of the as-built drawings clearly shows that there was work being done to tie the detour road in with Greenwood Avenue past Station 7.
Q. Okay. And there's -- down at the profile, you see Stations 13, 14 and 15 along Detour Road A and those need fill material,
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correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Was ACM -- strike that. Thank you. That's all I have for that document.

I discussed with Mr. Gobelman
that unsuitable material at Stations 7 through
9 and the fact that the plans called for at least three feet of fill material or material, in his mind, to be placed in those locations. What is your opinion about that?
A. I would agree that fill material was needed and they had the obligation of removing the unsuitable material and then restoring it up to design grade and that would have all been some form of fill.
Q. Okay. Do you have an opinion on what was used given the sampling results that we found?
A. It would appear that the fill material used had asbestos in it.
Q. I'd like to stay with 21A-72 for a moment. This is something I brought up briefly with Mr. Gobelman. We were talking about digits. If you could -- and I think I might need 21A-72
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292
and 73 , but if you could look for me and let me know -- ditches and embankments.

From this document, 21A-72,
can you tell me how far the embankment extends to the south at Station 8?
A. It extends approximately 25 to 26 feet west of the center line of Greenwood Avenue.
Q. Okay. And is that then extending onto and towards Site 3?
A. Yes.
Q. And how far does the embankment extend to the south of Station 9?
A. Approximately 30 feet west of the -south of the center line. Excuse me.
Q. And how about Station 10? I think
that might be on the next page.
How far does the embankment
extend to the south of Station 10?
A. Approximately 40 -- 42 feet, 44 feet.
Q. And there's ditches noted, are there not, on those drawings?
A. Yes, there are.
Q. And how wide was the ditch at Station
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being located on the northern part of site 3 .
    Q. So that ditch is not -- you're
not representing the width of that ditch in
    your drawing; is that correct?
    A. That's correct.
    Q. Okay. Thank you. And how wide is
    the ditch at Station 10?
    A. Approximately 40 -- 40 to 42 feet
    roughly.
Q. Did you see any large ditches or wide ditches when you were on the site?
A. I did not.
Q. Okay. And it's your understanding
that IDOT was required to restore Site 3 to its
    original condition after completing its work?
    A. That's my understanding.
    Q. Okay. And in your opinion, what
        did they -- how did they restore the ditches?
            A. I'm assuming they would have been
        filled in.
            Q. Okay. And do you know what they were
        filled in with?
    A. Some form of fill material.
    Q. Okay. And has there been
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    1 asbestos-containing material found in or near
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    1 asbestos-containing material found in or near
    ```
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    those ditches taking into account their width?
    ```
    those ditches taking into account their width?
    A. Yes.
    Q. All right. Can you give us a couple
    of examples?
    A. Looking at the figures out of my
    original report, which would be Exhibits 6-25
    and 6-26, sample locations, B3-25, B3-16, B3-15
    would all be either within or proximal to those
        ditch locations.
    Q. Thank you. Let's turn to Detour
        Road A now and the rest of Site 3. Mr. Gobelman
        said the contractor wouldn't use concrete Transite
        pipe in the road or on Site 3 because he wanted
        to use it in the embankments. He said it was
        valuable material, correct?
            A. That's correct.
            Q. And that the contractor would
        not have wanted to waste that material; is that
        right?
            A. That's right.
            Q. Didn't the contractor obliterate
        Detour Road A?
            A. That's correct.
```
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    Q. And that would have included filling
in any ditches he dug alongside Detour Road A, right.

MR. McGINLEY: Objection,
calls for speculation.
HEARING OFEICER HALLORAN: He
can answer if he can. Overruled. BY THE WITNESS:
A. I would anticipate that. BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Okay. And so you have on your figures ditches drawn near Detour Road $A$, is that not right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And I believe we discussed on your direct also ditches were needed to install the culvert? I think that's Exhibit 31 that said that there was a culvert that needed to be installed.

Do you remember that?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. Do you have any idea how wide the ditches were that were installed alongside Detour Road A?
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A. I don't know specifically.
Q. But would that be in the record possibly?
A. It may.
Q. Has this been asbestos-containing material found near those ditches depicted on your figures along Detour Road A?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you give us some examples?
A. Examples would be Test Pit 11, B3-22, Hydro Test Pit 06A, I believe it is, Test Pit 16 ACT, it would all be either within or proximal to.
Q. The culvert that was located along Detour Road A; isn't that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And is there ACM in the area of that culvert?
A. Some of the same locations I just referenced are in the same general areas of the former culvert.
Q. And how about the road, Detour Road A itself, has there been ACM found along Detour Road A?
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A. Yes.
Q. And how would you describe the proximity of the ACM with respect to Detour Road A?
A. I think I've described it in previous testimony as generally aligned with Detour Road A.
Q. You said in your rebuttal report that Mr . Gobelman has no reasonable rebuttal to your opinion that IDOT crushed and buried the concrete Transite pipe. Can you elaborate, please?
A. I don't know that there's been a point where in his report that suggests an alternative to why we have Transite pipe that's been broken and crushed present in various locations at the site including along where Detour Road A had been in the embankment materials that were replaced. So I just haven't heard a plausible alternative offered at this point.
Q. Okay. You've limited your answer a moment ago to his report.

Does that also include the testimony that's been provided in this case?
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1 You have been here for the entire testimony.
A. I believe so.
Q. Okay. So does that include the
testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you. Mr. Gobelman talked about this aerial photograph from 1972, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you had opined that in that triangle area in particular that there was quite a bit of disturbance; is that accurate?
A. Yes.
Q. And he attributed that disturbance to the culvert work that was done and that was discussed in -- I think it was August, October and November in 1972.

Do you remember that testimony?
A. I do.
Q. Do you agree?
A. No.
Q. And why is that?
A. I believe the area of disturbance is much larger than what would have been needed to accommodate the installation of a culvert
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across Detour Road A.
Q. Okay. How much larger?
A. Significantly larger. Not being entirely sure exactly what the disturbed area was for the culvert, but this is a much larger area than would have been needed to just manage that particular effort.
Q. All right. Does it look to you that the area is disturbed almost inside of the entire triangle?
A. Not within the entire triangle, but it occupies a large part of it.
Q. Okay. What percent would you say?
A. Sixty.
Q. Thank you. If you could, look at Exhibit 35, please.
A. Okay.
Q. Mr. Gobelman claimed that this document supported his opinion that IDOT may not have removed unsuitable material at Stations 7 and 9 along Greenwood. He said the document indicates that instead of removing 44,000 cubic yards of unsuitable material, only 29,300 were removed, which he agreed was 67 percent. Let's

MR. McGINLEY: Objection, that
mischaracterizes the witness's testimony.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Rephrase, please, Ms. Brice.

MS. BRICE: Okay.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Let's just take a look at the document. If you could, look at 21A-72 again that we were just looking at.
A. Yes.
Q. 21A-72.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, how many cubic yards
is to be removed on that document at Station
7. 6?
A. 190 yards.
Q. Okay. And how many cubic yards is Station 8?
A. $\quad 140$.
Q. Okay. And how many cubic yards is Station 9?
A. $\quad 118$.
Q. And if you add those three up, I
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come to a total of 448 cubic yards. Does that sound about right?
A. Sounds right.
Q. Okay. And there was a total of 44,000 cubic yards scheduled to be removed, is that correct, based upon the 1975 document that Mr. Gobelman used?
A. I believe that's right.
Q. Okay. So that's about one percent of the total that was to be removed at Stations 7 to 9 ; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. So in order for Mr. Gobelman to be right, he would need to show that this one percent of unsuitable material located at Stations 7 to 9 was contained in that 32 percent that he says IDOT didn't remove; is that right?

MR. McGINLEY: Objection. This is misleading.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry?

MR. McGINLEY: Objection. This is misleading.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I know

1
you're trying to hurry it, but could you rephrase?

MS. BRICE: Sure.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. One percent, correct?

What would be your conclusion
about what you would have to do in order for that -- what you would have to determine in order for that one percent to have been part of the unsuitable material that was removed based upon Mr. Gobelman's opinion?
A. I mean, you know, there's a certain, $I$ suppose, probability that that could be calculated, but $I$ think the underlying issue to me is that there may have been a deduction because less was removed, but that deduction applied to the entire project and understanding exactly where and for what the reasons those deductions occurred.

You can't tell certainly
from this document and there aren't any notations made on the as-built drawings as to any changes to what had been proposed.

So again, it would be, in my
opinion, speculation that the materials from this area of Greenwood Avenue, it would have been materials that would have been subject to this deduction. I just don't -- I don't know how you can tell that off of this document.
Q. Okay. But you would agree with me that those add up to one percent of the total, right, approximately?
A. Approximately.

MR. McGINLEY: Objection,
leading.
MR. BRICE: You led your experts throughout.

BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And Mr. Gobelman testified that

32 percent of it was not removed.
Do you recall that?
A. I do.
Q. And he testified that 67 percent of it was actually removed.

Do you recall that?
A. I do.
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MS. BRICE: You know what, I saw your assistant put them in the book. You gave them to him on the first day.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah. This is the book that I have and I have 81-33.

MS. CAISMAN: Those are the ones that we handed to you right before the hearing started, but I also have another copy.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You have another copy?

MS. CAISMAN: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.

BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Mr. Dorgan, so just let's try and identify this for the record. $81-1$ is a cover page; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. For regulations?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And then $81-5$ is a Chapter 8 Solid Waste cover page, correct?
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A. That's correct.
Q. And then we jump over to 81-21
and it's talking about Chapter I, Solid Waste,
Part 1.
Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Then I would like to draw your
attention to Rule 102, "Repeals."
Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And you've read this before; have
you not?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Okay. What is your understanding
of this document right here and what this repeal
is doing?
A. This document superseded the regulations that had previously been in place, that had been in place since March of 1966.
Q. Okay. And these regulations are the 1973 regulations, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. So then let's back up and look at the 1966 regulations, which we were thankfully
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Page 228
able to find, and they are Exhibit $81-7$ through
81-17 -- 81-18. I'm sorry. It's double-sided.
Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. And this says, "Rules and
Regulations for Refuge Disposal Sites and
Facilities, April 1966."
Do you see that?
A. Exhibit 81-7?
Q. Correct.
A. Yes.
Q. And it says, "Chapter 7, Solid
Wastes"?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you. And then turn over to
the statutes, which starts at 81-33. We just
talked about that. It goes from 81-33 through
81-44.
Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Thank you. Now, you've reviewed
these three documents, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And what is your understanding
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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1 of -- let me back up. This case involves
2 allegations that IDOT violated Sections 21A, D
3 and $E$ of the Act, right?

4
A. Yes.

MR. McGINLEY: Mr. Halloran, I'm going to object to this because this could have brought out in their case-in-chief. They're doing it as rebuttal. I'm not sure why this is coming in as rebuttal at this point.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And Ms. Brice?

MS. BRICE: Because you've raised it as a defense.

MR. McGINLEY: But you struck
you it - I mean, you sought to strike and that defense had been struck, but it's also part of your case-in-chief.

MS. BRICE: I'm not sure that it's been struck exactly. I mean, that was a little bit unclear, but he offered an opinion about the violation of the Act and his initial opinion.

This is -- if any corollary L.A. Court Reporters, LLC

$$
312-419-9292
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { L.A. Court Reporters, LLC } \\
& 312-419-9292
\end{aligned}
$$

June 29, 2016

|  | Page 231 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | and $E$ as far as you know? |
| 2 | A. I believe so, yes. |
| 3 | Q. Okay. What is -- and if you can |
| 4 | turn to 81-39, please. |
| 5 | A. Sure. |
| 6 | Q. Are you there? |
| 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | Q. Okay. What is the corresponding |
| 9 | provision for Section 21A, the prohibition on |
| 10 | open dumping in the current Act? |
| 11 | A. I believe it's 21D in the current |
| 12 | Act. |
| 13 | Q. And what is the corresponding |
| 14 | provision for 21D of the current Act, the |
| 15 | provision requiring a permit? |
| 16 | MR. McGINLEY: Objection, |
| 17 | leading. |
| 18 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We |
| 19 | could be here all day. Sustained. |
| 20 | BY MS. BRICE: |
| 21 | Q. Okay. Look at 21A, if you will, |
| 22 | here in Exhibit 81-31. |
| 23 | A. I see it. |
| 24 | Q. Can you please identify for me the |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 |

provisions that correspond with 21A, D and E of the current Act?
A. Obviously, 21A of this Act, which prohibits open dumping, I believe, and 21D.
Q. I think it's both. Okay. Well, 21A under the current Act is cause or allow open dumping.
A. And that's --
Q. This is the old one.
A. Right. That's what I understand.
Q. Okay.
A. So you have the Act that was in place at the time of this particular exhibit, you have the Act that's in place today and there are several original sections of Section 21 of the Act that carry forward to the Act that's in place today and certainly the open dumping and discussion of requiring permits in order to manage the solid waste disposal facility and the prohibition on disposing of refuse in a manner that's not consistent with the regulations are all similar provisions that are in the current Act.
Q. Right. But the current Act is Section 21 and this prior Act is 10-21, right?
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A. Yes, although there's a notation of Section 21 just after 10-21.
Q. Well, you're right. There is a notation at Section 21. So just to clarify, because I think it's been a little confusing, this Act, the 1970 Act, has sections at 10-21; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And sections in 10-21 correspond with Section 21 of the current Act?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And you believe there are corresponding provisions for $A, B$ and $D$ that you just outlined?
A. Yes.
Q. In general --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm
sorry. Ms. Brice, how long do we have to go? We're coming up on an hour.

MS. BRICE: We are really close, really close. Five minutes.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Sure. That would be great. Thank you.
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BY MS. BRICE:
Q. In general, how do these 1970 Act provisions differ at all from the current Act?

MR. McGINLEY: Objection, calls
for speculation on the part of the witness. BY MS. BRICE:
Q. To the extent that you know.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: To
the extent that he knows, he can answer. BY THE WITNESS:
A. I believe they translate certain definitions such as refuse and solid waste that were in earlier versions that take on new definitions in later versions. BY MS. BRICE:
Q. And is that the primary difference as far as you are concerned?
A. I believe so.
Q. And so is it your opinion that -what is your opinion about whether or not IDOT violated Section 10-21 of the Act that was place in 1970s?

MR. McGINLEY: Objection. He
has never offered an opinion about this.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You |
| 2 | are going to have to speak up. |
| 3 | Lori can you please read |
| 4 | the question back, please? |
| 5 | (Whereupon, the requested |
| 6 | portion of the record was |
| 7 | read accordingly.) |
| 8 | BY MS. BRICE: |
| 9 | Q. You offered an opinion in your |
| 10 | original report about violations of Section 21 |
| 11 | of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act; |
| 12 | correct? |
| 13 | A. That's correct. |
| 14 | Q. And you testified that IDOT violated |
| 15 | Section 21 of the Illinois Environmental Protection |
| 16 | Act? |
| 17 | A. That's correct. |
| 18 | Q. And I want to know if that opinion |
| 19 | is the same with respect to the historic version |
| 20 | of the Act that you were just referring to? |
| 21 | A. It is. |
| 22 | MR. McGINLEY: Objection, calls |
| 23 | for speculation. There is no foundation |
| 24 | for the opinion. We don't know what the |
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A. My opinion was that presence of the crushed Transite pipe and the presence of that Transite pipe and the other affects of the crashing of that Transite pipe caused -has led to a more expansive remedy than what I believe would have been otherwise needed.
Q. Okay. Crushing of the Transite pipes and then let's look at 617 of the report quickly.

Do you see where it says,
"In the absence of buried and dispersed. Transite pipe on the site"?
A. I'm looking for that specific...
Q. I'm sorry. It's hard isn't it?

Let's just wrap it up. You just talked about the act of crushing, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And then I believe I pointed out to Mr. Gobelman that it was also the burial and dispersion of the concrete Transite pipe. Is that part of your opinion as well?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And so what are you saying here in this opinion about the scope of the remedy? What
is the actual opinion so that we are all clear?
A. As I think that I stated previously,

I believe that you have solid pieces of Transite pipe that have been a focus of EPA's attention relative to this remedy as a whole.

Of course, I've also previously
acknowledged that part of the remedy is being driven by the presence of asbestos fibers.

I've acknowledged that, however,
I believe at least some, if not most, of the asbestos fibers that has been detected may be attributable to that crushing process, which has been acknowledged in the record.
Q. How do you believe that other types of asbestos-containing material -- non-Transite pipe became buried on Site 3 and Site 6?
A. I don't really know other than at some point, they were mixed in with the fill materials that had been placed on the site.
Q. Placed on the on the site by who?
A. IDOT.
Q. Mr. Gobelman testified that the contractor would have just increased the cost of his bid to deal with concrete Transite pipe

1 he needed to remove.
A. I do.
Q. Do you agree with that?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. The contractor would have had an incentive to utilize as much material as they could in order to avoid the haul off and having to be responsible for paying for that haul off and if they didn't use the material, that would just lead to more material that would have had to have been brought on-site to make up for the volume that wasn't used. So there would have been two reasons why they would not have wanted to haul that material off instead of using it when they were authorized to use it.
Q. Mr. Gobelman also testified that he was mistaken about a typo in a 1973 change order that he relied upon as evidence for the fact that the parking lot was covered with asphalt left in place and then Detour Road A was built on top of it. What's your reaction to this?
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A. Well, I think he properly recognized that that evaluation of it being a typo probably was not the correct interpretation and I believe because of that, he did build -- sequence his opinions off of that particular premise, which in some case at some point was called into question, I would assume.
Q. Okay. So there's a whole section of his report that deals with that document, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. So would you now take the position that that section of his report is no longer valid?
A. I certainly believe it's called into question. Whether it's entirely invalid or not, I'd have to look at it more closely.

MS. BRICE: Thank you. No
further questions.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
you. Let's take a five-minute powder room break. I'm staying here just so you know. I'm not going anywhere. Thank you.
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(Whereupon, after a short
break was had, the following
proceedings were held
accordingly.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
right. Is everyone ready?
It's approximately 3:06 and
I think it's IDOT's witness. Mr. Dorgan is on the stand and this is rebuttal.

MR. MCGINLEY: I have no questions for Mr. Dorgan.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Come
again? I heard that.
MR. McGINLEY: This may be the first time. In the interest of brevity, I have no questions.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr. McGinley.

Mr. Dorgan, you may step
down. Thank you so much.
(Witness excused.)
MR. McGINLEY: I hope that wasn't
too great a shock to your system.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Do you
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Right.
MS. BRICE: Mr. Halloran, I would say that this sort of goes to the point of Mr. Stoddard's deposition.

I mean, if we're not allowed to use Mr. Stoddard's deposition, I don't know how they are allowed to use depositions that they haven't previously used.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, you know, it looks like Mr. Gobelman's --

MS. BRICE: His deposition was used extensively.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, regardless I'll take it upon Mr. McGinley's representation that there were questions asked about Mr. Dorgan's deposition testimony.

Again, we do have -- it's
already in evidence by JM, deposition of Steven Gobelman, deposition of John Blaczek, and Mr. Stumpner. So I'm going to allow it in.

I don't see why I can't allow it in. So $4 A$ and $4 B$ are moved into evidence over objection.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 I is the title commitment. |
| 2 | JM objects. You know, that was part of |
| 3 | Mr. Fortuato's report. |
| 4 | MS. CAISMAN: No. |
| 5 | HEARING Officer Halloran: Part |
| 6 | of an exhibit to his deposition? |
| 7 | MR. McGINLEY: Yes. |
| 8 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: That |
| 9 | was turned over. Regardless, it is a |
| 10 | title commitment and I'm going to take |
| 11. | it under 101.626(a). I believe any |
| 12 | reasonable person would use it in the |
| 13 | course of a serious affairs. So 4I is |
| 14 | admitted over objection. |
| 15 | There's a few here. I don't |
| 16 | know if they are already into evidence by |
| 17 | JM, but Exhibits 7, 8, 19, 20, 21A, 21B, |
| 18 | 25, 26, 29, 31, 32, 33, 34, and I know |
| 19 | 35 is already in, 37, all of those are |
| 20 | admitted into evidence. I don't believe |
| 21 | there are any objections. |
| 22 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I think 36 as |
| 23 | well. Did you say 36 ? |
| 24 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: That |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | was on your wish list? |
| 2 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I think so. |
| 3 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 4 | Job completion notice dated February 18, |
| 5 | 1976, any objection to that? |
| 6 | MS. BRICE: No. |
| 7 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 8 | Thank you. Exhibit 36 is also admitted |
| 9 | and 37, right? |
| 10 | Exhibit 38, JM objected to. |
| 11 | It was illegible. I agreed. I took it |
| 12 | as an offer of proof. We'll see what the |
| 13 | Board wants to do with it. So that is |
| 14 | denied into evidence, but $I$ will take it |
| 15 | as an offer of proof. |
| 16 | Exhibits 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, |
| 17 | 46, 49, 50, 52, 53B, K, L, N, as in Nancy, |
| 18 | P, as in Peter, there's no objections to |
| 19 | those. Those will be admitted into |
| 20 | evidence. |
| 21 | Going back, and I think |
| 22 | you were going to talk about this during |
| 23 | lunch hour, Exhibits 54A and is it C? |
| 24 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I think it's |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 |

1 E, as in Ellen.

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: JM
objected because there is no testimony.
I guess those are aerial photos and
topographic maps.
MS. BRICE: I don't think we
really have a big problems with those.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
So 54A and B are admitted. It looks like
JM withdrew its objection.
54Q, $R$ and $S$ are admitted
without objection.
T, I don't know, Ms. Brice,
were you going to -- I'm not sure what $T$
is. Let me see.
MS. BRICE: I think if that's
the 1970 aerial photo, that's fine.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Thank you. 54 T is admitted without
objection.
Let me get a drink of water.
Exhibits 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 62, 63, all
admitted without objection.
Exhibit 64, there was an
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | objection. There wasn't any testimony. |
| 2 | There was a modification letter dated |
| 3 | February 1, 2012. |
| 4 | MS. BRICE: We have no idea |
| 5 | what they want to do with that document |
| 6 | so we maintain our objection. |
| 7 | MR. McGINLEY: We will withdraw |
| 8 | it. |
| 9 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: We'll withdraw |
| 10 | it. |
| 11 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 12 | Thank you. Exhibit 64 is withdrawn. |
| 13 | Exhibits 65, 66, 67, and 74 |
| 14 | are all admitted without objection. |
| 15 | Exhibit 78, there is an |
| 16 | objection. No testimony elicited. That |
| 17 | is JM's response to 104(e) request dated |
| 18 | July 1, 1999. |
| 19 | MS. BRICE: Again, I don't know |
| 20 | why. They didn't ask a question about this. |
| 21 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I mean, it is |
| 22 | what it is. It's JM's response in this |
| 23 | matter dated July 1, 1999. I'm not sure |
| 24 | why they would object to it, but... |
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MS. BRICE: We don't know how you're trying to use it. That's the issue. I mean, if you used it, then we would have an idea.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Was this stipulated to as far as authenticity?

MS. BRICE: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You know, I'm going to allow it in. It goes to weight, not necessarily admissibility. So the Board will weigh it accordingly or at least $I$ ask them to.

Exhibits 80, 84, 92, 93, 94, 102, 107, all admitted without objection. Exhibits 108 and 109, there are objections.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: We will withdraw our request on that. The topographic maps are admitted with a cover email with Mr. Gobelman. They are actually better. We will withdraw as to 108 and 109.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
right. Thank you. Exhibits 108 and 109 are withdrawn.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Exhibit 120 is admitted |
| 2 | without objection. |
| 3 | Exhibits 123 and 132, JM |
| 4 | objected, no testimony accompanied. |
| 5 | MS. BRICE: And there was no |
| 6 | stipulation on 123 as to foundation or |
| 7 | admissibility. |
| 8 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'm sorry. |
| 9 | There is no stipulation as to 123? |
| 10 | MS. BRICE: Correct. |
| 11 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: The email from |
| 12 | Johns Manville. |
| 13 | MS. BRICE: Okay. We'll |
| 14 | withdraw it. |
| 15 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Exhibit |
| 16 | 123 is withdrawn. Thank you. |
| 17 | Exhibit 132, southwestern |
| 18 | site area, Sites 3 and 4 removal action |
| 19 | plan. |
| 20 | MR. McGINLEY: We will withdraw |
| 21 | it. |
| 22 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Withdraw it. |
| 23 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank |
| 24 | you, Mr. McGinley. Withdrawn. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Exhibit 141 is admitted |
| 2 | without objection. |
| 3 | Exhibit 161, objection, no |
| 4 | testimony elicited, February 18, 2008, |
| 5 | email to Mr. Tracy to various individuals, |
| 6 | D. Clinton. |
| 7 | MR. McGINLEY: We will withdraw |
| 8 | that. |
| 9 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 10 | Thank you. |
| 11 | Exhibits 162 and 163, I |
| 12 | addressed earlier. That's admitted with |
| 13 | objection. |
| 14 | Exhibit 164 is admitted |
| 15 | without objection. I think JM already |
| 16 | has that in. |
| 17 | Exhibit 166, there is an |
| 18 | objection. It was hard to read. I just |
| 19 | looked at it. You know, I will let it |
| 20 | in for what it is. It's hard to read, |
| 21 | but not as hard as Exhibit 38. |
| 22 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: You know, I'm |
| 23 | sorry, Mr. Halloran. We will withdraw |
| 24 | that. We looked at -- it's the same as |
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| 1 | Exhibit 40, which is more legible. So |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | let's take 166 off the list. |
| 3 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 4 | Exhibit 40 is in? |
| 5 | MS. BRICE: Yes. |
| 6 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I believe 40 is |
| 7 | in. |
| 8 | MS. CAISMAN: Yes, yes. |
| 9 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: So we will go with |
| 10 | 40 in lieu of 166. |
| 11 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So 166 |
| 12 | is withdrawn. |
| 13 | Exhibit 167, untimely |
| 14 | produced, objection from JM. You know, |
| 15 | it's only a form letter. Again, I think |
| 16 | it is what it is. I don't see anything |
| 17 | wrong with it except possibly untimely |
| 18 | produced. |
| 19 | Anything further on that? |
| 20 | MS. BRICE: We don't know how |
| 21 | it's even relevant because it's some form |
| 22 | letter that was sort of thrown in at the |
| 23 | last minute and it's not addressed to the |
| 24 | people relating to this site or this |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 |


|  | Page 252 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | property. |
| 2 | I would expect if there |
| 3 | is actually letter involving this property |
| 4 | that it would have been produced. Instead, |
| 5 | we get a form letter and we haven't even |
| 6 | been able to ask if they actually looked |
| 7 | for one that had a name on it. |
| 8 | I mean, that's part of the |
| 9 | problem with the ones that were produced. |
| 10 | We were never able to do discovery on these |
| 11 | documents. |
| 12 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Anything |
| 13 | further? |
| 14 | MR. McGINLEY: I recall that |
| 15 | they asked Mr. Blaczck about it and |
| 16 | Mr. Blaczck testified about statutory |
| 17 | authorities. I mean, the issue was |
| 18 | what Mr. Blaczck testified to was |
| 19 | statutory authorities that allow IDOT |
| 20 | to come onto property to undertake |
| 21 | certain kinds of surveying work, you |
| 22 | know, and it directly goes to the issue |
| 23 | that Johns Manville was trying to make |
| 24 | that, but for this right-of-way, when |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | this PESA was done, IDOT would not have |
| 2 | had the right to enter onto the property |
| 3 | and that's been, we think, addressed |
| 4 | through the testimony and this letter |
| 5 | simply amplifies how that statutory |
| 6 | authority would come into play. |
| 7 | MS. BRICE: Right, but that's |
| 8 | exactly why it should have been produced |
| 9 | with respect to all of our discovery |
| 10 | requests. |
| 11 | Clearly, this was within |
| 12 | the scope as well as a number of other |
| 13 | things that just kept being produced |
| 14 | late. |
| 15 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: That |
| 16 | kept being what? |
| 17 | MS. BRICE: Produced late. |
| 18 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, |
| 19 | there were time constraints and JM was |
| 20 | pushing for this thing to move. So I'm |
| 21 | going to let it in. It's only a form |
| 22 | letter. It is what it is. The Board |
| 23 | will weigh it accordingly. It could be |
| 24 | relevant. I don't know. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Exhibit 200, I don't see an |
| 2 | objection. That is admitted. |
| 3 | Exhibit 202, that's a Keller |
| 4 | map and JM objected. Was that the one |
| 5 | that Mr. Gobelman marked up a little? |
| 6 | MS. BRICE: That's the one that |
| 7 | he was being questioned about and he said, |
| 8 | oh, this is the wrong one. Then on the |
| 9 | stand, they brought back a new document |
| 10 | that had different changes to it that |
| 11 | we had never seen before. |
| 12 | HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Well, |
| 13 | I think he was thoroughly crossed on that, |
| 14 | as I recall. |
| 15 | MS. BRICE: He was crossed on |
| 16 | 164, which is the one that was not marked |
| 17 | up one. |
| 18 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: On |
| 19 | your cross, you didn't mention Respondent's |
| 20 | 202 at all? |
| 21 | MS. BRICE: I did not. |
| 22 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 23 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: In our direct |
| 24 | examination, we used Exhibit 202 to identify |
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1 should put it into the record and make our arguments on them.

I don't think there is any -- I mean, you know how they are different, which is minor. I mean, we used 202 or 164.

MS. BRICE: Okay. Why don't we do this, if you're okay with this? Why don't we stipulate to the fact that my questions about 164 relate to 202 as well relating to 164 .

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes.
MS. BRICE: And we will leave it at that.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes, yes.
MS. BRICE: Because I don't think your answers would have change, right, if $I$ was asking you questions
about 202 instead 164?
MR. GOBELMAN: Am I under oath?
MS. BRICE: You're on the record.
MR. GOBELMAN: No, I don't think they would.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
L.A. Court Reporters, LLC
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The record will so note. Thank you for your agreement. Respondent's 202 is admitted under are those conditions.

All right. We get to -- I
think I saw IDOT's, correct, your wish
list? You gave it to me before.
MR. McGINLEY: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Great. We get to JM. 4D, as in dog.
MS. BRICE: Right.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: What
is that?
MS. BRICE: Mr. Clinton's
deposition. It was used with Mr. Gobelman.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Where are we?
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 4D.
I think you were going to talk about this during lunch?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: When did you
identify 4D?
HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Right
before lunch.
MS. BRICE: We haven't gone
through the ones -- did you go through
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | the ones we wanted to -- |
| 2 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: You verbally |
| 3 | gave a list. |
| 4 | MS. CAISMAN: I gave a couple. |
| 5 | There just a couple after lunch. |
| 6 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So |
| 7 | 4D is Mr. Clinton's deposition. |
| 8 | MS. BRICE: I would say it should |
| 9 | be admitted for the same reasons Mr. Dorgan's |
| 10 | was admitted, I mean, if it was used in |
| 11 | the testimony. |
| 12 | Obviously, Mr. Clinton's -- |
| 13 | any other deposition that was used should |
| 14 | be admitted. Oh, and it was used with |
| 15 | Mr. Gobelman. |
| 16 | MR. McGINLEY: Can counsel make |
| 17 | a representation about Mr. Clinton's |
| 18 | deposition having been used in their |
| 19 | case-in-chief? |
| 20 | MS. BRICE: We used it with |
| 21 | Mr. Gobelman. I don't -- I don't remember |
| 22 | if we used it in our case-in-chief. We |
| 23 | certainly used it with Mr. Gobelman in |
| 24 | our -- today or yesterday in our -- in |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | your case -- in our defense. |
| 2 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Sort of one |
| 3 | issue, and it's just a clarification |
| 4 | issue, with a list of trial exhibits |
| 5 | that were moved in evidence May 23 rd |
| 6 | to May 25 th that was filed and served |
| 7 | on us recently on June 14th and given |
| 8 | the fact that -- the hearing officer's |
| 9 | order regarding the exhibits came, you |
| 10 | know, just a couple of two days ago, |
| 11 | our question is have you required |
| 12 | that testimony be connected to an exhibit |
| 13 | that we have wished to move into evidence? |
| 14 | We're asking you whether you're list of |
| 15 | trial exhibits that were served on us |
| 16 | on June 14th, if those all have a |
| 17 | reference in the case put on by JM? |
| 18 | MS. CAISMAN: Yes. The exhibits |
| 19 | that are on our June 14 th list were only |
| 20 | exhibits that we elicited testimony |
| 21 | about. The ones we're kind of talking |
| 22 | about now are the ones that we did in |
| 23 | the last -- elicited testimony about |
| 24 | in the last couple of days. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. So |
| 2 | to be clear, and I think you just said -- |
| 3 | MS. CAISMAN: Someone has |
| 4 | talked about every single one of these |
| 5 | documents. |
| 6 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: On the document |
| 7 | that was filed on June 14th? |
| 8 | MS. CAISMAN: Yes. |
| 9 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. Thank |
| 10 | you. |
| 11 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So |
| 12 | we're back to 4D. |
| 13 | MR. McGINLEY: That's fine. |
| 14 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank |
| 15 | you. Admitted without objection. |
| 16 | JM Exhibit 25, I think that |
| 17 | was mutually agreed to. Is that -- |
| 18 | MS. BRICE: Yes. Both sides |
| 19 | used that. |
| 20 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All |
| 21 | right. How about 25 ? |
| 22 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: No objection. |
| 23 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Admitted |
| 24 | into evidence without objection. |
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Exhibit 12?
MS. BRICE: That was used with Mr. Gobelman and with Mr. Stoddard, I believe.

MR. McGINLEY: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Okay. Exhibit 13?

MS. BRICE: Same. Used with
Mr. Gobelman.
MR. McGINLEY: We don't have any objection.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Exhibits
75 and 76? Let's just take 75. I'm sorry.
MS. BRICE: Those were both used with Mr. Gobelman.

MR. McGINLEY: No objection to both.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Both admitted into evidence, Exhibits 75 and 76.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And Ms. Caisman, you had a few extra that you used this afternoon?

MS. CAISMAN: Yes. I think, first, we mutually agreed that we were
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| 1 | going remove Exhibit 17. |
| 2 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes. |
| 3 | MS. CAISMAN: Which was, you |
| 4 | know, on our June 14th list. |
| 5 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes. |
| 6 | Let me -- I'm sorry. So Exhibit 17 is |
| 7 | withdrawn. |
| 8 | MS. CAISMAN: And then this |
| 9 | afternoon we used Exhibit 85. |
| 10 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: What |
| 11 | is that? |
| 12 | MS. CAISMAN: That is another |
| 13 | copy of the 1984 grant document that was |
| 14 | publicly recorded with an attached exhibit. |
| 15 | MR. McGINLEY: Admitted. |
| 16 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: No |
| 17 | objection. Okay. JM Exhibit 85 is |
| 18 | admitted with no objection. |
| 19 | MS. CAISMAN: Exhibit 47. |
| 20 | HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: What |
| 21 | is that? |
| 22 | MS. CAISMAN: That is the draft |
| 23 | disclosure of Mr. Stoddard. |
| 24 | MR. McGINLEY: I think I would |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | object to that because it went outside |
| 2 | the scope of why he was brought forth |
| 3 | in our case. |
| 4 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, |
| 5 | fortunately or unfortunately, I let it in. |
| 6 | It was some of his testimony. So I will |
| 7 | allow it over objection. |
| 8 | MS. CAISMAN: We also had Exhibit |
| 9 | 4H, which was Mr. Stoddard's deposition, |
| 10 | which we asked him about. |
| 11 | MR. McGINLEY: We object to that |
| 12 | as well. They had more than ample chance |
| 13 | to examine Mr. Stoddard today. They didn't |
| 14 | really get into any issues. I mean, he |
| 15 | didn't have any contradictions between |
| 16 | his prior testimony. |
| 17 | MS. BRICE: We did impeach him |
| 18 | with his deposition. |
| 19 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah. |
| 20 | And they're already -- and I think you |
| 21 | just got finished saying that you think |
| 22 | you asked questions regarding Mr. Dorgan's |
| 23 | testimony. I allowed that in. So over |
| 24 | objection, I will allow 4 H in, Stoddard's |

1 deposition.
deposition.
MS. CAISMAN: 3G, IDOT's revised
responses to the third set of interrogatories.
MR. McGINLEY: There was no
testimony allowed on that by the hearing
officer. So we would object to the
inclusion of that.
MS. CAISMAN: And if I may,
those were actually filed on the docket
in this case. So I think that would
fall onto your matter public record,
judicial notice.
MS. BRICE: I think that's part
of the case under the rules -- under the
administrative rules, any of the pleadings.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah.
I'll take administrative notice. It is
in the record and it will just go to the
weight and not the admissibility.

MS. CAISMAN: Then I think we
just had Exhibit 81, which were the
copies of the historical regulations
of the Act.
MR. McGINLEY: We can accept
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | those as being admitted. |
| 2 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 3 | That's Exhibit 81. |
| 4 | MS. BRICE: Could we take one |
| 5 | minute to just look through the list to |
| 6 | make sure we're not missing anything? |
| 7 | HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: So |
| 8 | Exhibit 81 is admitted. |
| 9 | Sure. Let's go off the |
| 10 | record. |
| 11 | (Whereupon, after a short |
| 12 | break was had, the following |
| 13 | proceedings were held |
| 14 | accordingly.) |
| 15 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All |
| 16 | right. Lori, we are back on the record. |
| 17 | We have been off record |
| 18 | discussing possible exhibits -- other |
| 19 | exhibits and the post-hearing briefing |
| 20 | schedule. |
| 21 | There are no more exhibits, |
| 22 | but we're going to -- the parties are going |
| 23 | to get together and then contact me regarding |
| 24 | blowups of exhibits. That will be sometime |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | next week, Tuesday or Wednesday. I assume |
| 2 | they will talk and figure something out and |
| 3 | then call me. |
| 4 | The post-hearing briefs -- |
| 5 | the transcript, we calculated, will be |
| 6 | finished by July 5th. With that in mind, |
| 7 | JM's post-hearing brief is due to be |
| 8 | filed by August 12th. |
| 9 | IDOT's post-hearing brief |
| 10 | is due to be filed by September 16 th. |
| 11 | JM's reply is due to be |
| 12 | filed by October 7th. |
| 13 | MR. McGINLEY: I was just going |
| 14 | to say so the August 12 th date will also |
| 15 | correspond to the date that IDOT will file |
| 16 | its brief in support of its affirmative |
| 17 | defenses? |
| 18 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I |
| 19 | hadn't mentioned that, but we were just |
| 20 | assuming, or I was, that you would file |
| 21 | it with your post-hearing brief and they |
| 22 | would file it and respond; am I correct? |
| 23 | MS. BRICE: Oh, I thought we |
| 24 | just talked about that. Sorry. |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Am |
| 2 | I incorrect? |
| 3 | MS. CAISMAN: Then we can just |
| 4 | address their affirmative defenses in the |
| 5 | reply brief. |
| 6 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Right. |
| 7 | That was my understanding. That's why I |
| 8 | was going to give you more time, if needed. |
| 9 | MS. BRICE: I understand. Okay. |
| 10 | I misunderstood. I apologize. |
| 11 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 12 | MS. BRICE: Okay. I think that |
| 13 | will work. That then just brings in my |
| 14 | head the question is there -- you know, |
| 15 | obviously there is a lot here and we're |
| 16 | going to try and streamline it as much |
| 17 | as we possibly can. Are there page limits |
| 18 | that apply to these types of briefs? |
| 19 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Fifty. |
| 20 | You can always file a motion if you think |
| 21 | you're going to exceed 50. |
| 22 | MS. BRICE: Right. Especially for |
| 23 | it to -- I think there's something like 14 |
| 24 | affirmative defenses or something along |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | those lines. So obviously we might need |
| 2 | some extra pages. I apologize. |
| 3 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: No, |
| 4 | no. You're thinking ahead. |
| 5 | So is there anything else |
| 6 | we need to talk about? |
| 7 | I do want to mention that |
| 8 | Member Burke has been here for all five |
| 9 | days of hearing. We have had various |
| 10 | interns and staff attorneys as well. |
| 11 | As far as I'm concerned, |
| 12 | this hearing is closed. There is no |
| 13 | more continuing on the record. Thank |
| 14 | you very much. |
| 15 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Thank you very |
| 16 | much for your time. |
| 17 | MR. McGINLEY: Thank you. |
| 18 | MS. BRICE: Thank you for all |
| 19 | of your time and attention, Member Burke |
| 20 | and Mr. Halloran. We really appreciate |
| 21 | it. Thank you. |
| 22 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank |
| 23 | you. |
| 24 |  |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, LLC 312-419-9292 |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (Whereupon, the proceedings were |
| 2 | adjourned in the above-entitled |
| 3 | cause.) |
| 4 |  |
| 5 |  |
| 6 |  |
| 7 |  |
| 8 |  |
| 9 |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
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| 157:10 158:2 | 251:11 252:12 | heard 142:23 | 96:17 97:6,18 | 180:2,6,9,16 |
| 159:8,11 160:5 | 253:15,18 | 165:23 217:19 | 97:20 98:10,18 | 181:5,9,12,23 |
| 161:10 162:4 | 254:12,18,22 | 241:13 | 98:23 99:12,20 | 182:8,14,17,24 |
| 162:17 163:11 | 256:24 257:8 | hearing 1:11 4:3 | 100:1,22 101:6 | 183:10,15 |
| 163:16,19 | 257:11,16,21 | 4:20 5:1,7 7:2 | 101:9,13,20,24 | 186:17 194:5 |
| 164:3,7,12,20 | 258:6 260:11 | 7:5,13 15:17 | 103:3 104:9,13 | 194:20 195:8 |
| 166:14 167:11 | 260:14,20,23 | 15:20,23 19:13 | 104:16,22 | 195:15 196:7 |
| 167:16 169:19 | 261:6,12,18,20 | 19:20,23 20:11 | 105:1,4,23 | 196:11 197:6 |
| 170:3 171:16 | 262:5,10,16,20 | 22:23 23:2,19 | 106:11,15 | 197:10 205:19 |
| 173:24 174:4 | 263:4,19 | 23:22 24:1,4 | 108:4,21 110:8 | 208:16 215:6 |
| 174:13,23 | 264:16 265:2,7 | 32:4,8,13,17 | 110:18 113:9 | 220:4 221:20 |
| 177:9 178:3,8 | 265:15 266:18 | 33:4,8,15,19 | 114:3,7,11,17 | 221:24 225:19 |
| 178:11,16 | 267:1,6,11,19 | 33:24 34:22 | 116:10,18 | 225:22,23 |
| 179:2,12,15,21 | 268:3,20,22 | 35:2,12,16,20 | 120:9,13 121:5 | 226:4,9,11,14 |
| 180:2,6,9,13 | hammer 148:1 | 36:8,11 37:2 | 121:10 129:18 | 229:11 230:6,9 |
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| 230:17 231:18 | highly 95:6 | Hunger 90:10 | 130:19 131:5 | 57:15,23 58:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 233:17,22 | highway 27:3 | hurry 147:22 | 131:24 134:2,9 | 58:22 59:3,17 |
| 234:8 235:1 | 35:9,10,18 | 222:1 | 134:15 140:5 | 59:20 60:9,12 |
| 236:9 240:20 | 74:10,16,18 | Hydro 216:11 | 147:1 150:20 | 65:4 80:13 |
| 241:5,12,17,24 | 80:12 84:19,21 |  | 155:5 157:2,3 | 115:7 123:20 |
| 242:5,13 243:9 | 115:13 118:18 | I | 157:18 158:22 | 168:5 224:3,6 |
| 243:13 244:5,8 | 118:19,24 | ice 58:21,21 60:7 | 164:24 171:2 | 225:10 235:11 |
| 244:24 245:3,7 | 119:5,7,8,16 | idea 36:18 | 175:9 182:21 | 235:15 270:1,9 |
| 246:2,8,18 | 119:19,24 | 113:17 215:22 | 184:17 189:2 | 270:18 |
| 247:11 248:5,8 | 121:21 122:1 | 247:4 248:4 | 189:22 190:15 | Illinois-Chicago |
| 248:22 249:15 | 122:11 123:23 | identified 27:15 | 190:17 192:13 | 57:14 |
| 249:23 250:9 | 124:13 127:20 | 58:7 59:7 | 194:16 196:1 | imagine 83:1,6 |
| 251:3,11 | 128:8,17 | 125:5 155:21 | 197:4 198:10 | immediate 55:9 |
| 252:12 253:15 | 129:21 131:4 | 188:1 191:7,8 | 199:6,9 200:2 | immediately |
| 253:18 254:12 | 132:17,20 | 197:21 198:3 | 201:11 203:24 | 57:15,19 |
| 254:18,22 | 147:2,3 150:6 | 199:16 207:21 | 207:17 208:3 | 207:24 |
| 256:24 257:8 | 158:23 | 225:5 255:7,21 | 213:14 217:10 | imminent 54:21 |
| 257:11,16,21 | highways 11:7 | identifies 79:1 | 219:19 221:17 | impact 78:18 |
| 258:6 259:8 | 12:2 37:7 | identify 27:20 | 229:2 234:20 | impacts 134:4 |
| 260:11,14,20 | 83:13 147:7 | 61:15 226:18 | 235:14 238:21 | impeach 173:21 |
| 260:23 261:6 | 154:12 | 231:24 254:24 | 252:19 253:1 | 263:17 |
| 261:12,18,20 | historic 230:4 | 257:20 | 266:15 | impeaching 19:2 |
| 262:5,10,16,20 | 235:19 | IDOT 3:4 31:9 | IDOT's 5:15 | 19:4 |
| 263:4,19 264:5 | historical 6:19 | 31:13 33:20 | 35:23 37:11 | impeachment |
| 264:16 265:2,7 | 26:7 28:6 66:6 | 35:18 37:17 | 63:6 95:4 | 20:2 |
| 265:15 266:18 | 66:12 264:22 | 43:16 44:18,23 | 101:4,11 103:8 | implemented |
| 267:1,6,11,19 | history $48: 18$ | 45:23 46:1,21 | 121:13 122:2 | 185:6 |
| 268:3,9,12,22 | 49:3 67:1 | 59:13 73:24 | 123:24 124:5 | important |
| hearings 43:17 | hold 37:21 51:11 | 74:3 75:23 | 127:8,18 | 185:13 212:13 |
| hearsay 104:8 | 91:7,8 93:11 | 76:17 89:18 | 129:20 133:2 | improper |
| 104:15 | 143:15 153:10 | 94:20 98:24 | 134:2,5,16 | 152:17 |
| held 85:21 139:5 | holder 119:4 | 99:14,23 102:2 | 146:20 158:19 | inappropriate |
| 241:3 265:13 | honestly 135:16 | 103:24 105:19 | 162:7 164:5,13 | 96:15 |
| 270:10 | 230:15 | 108:11 109:4 | 172:2 177:6 | incentive 239:8 |
| help 5:21 38:22 | hope 21:17 | 109:10 110:5 | 194:8 224:2 | include 24:18 |
| 53:14 71:17 | 164:8 241:22 | 110:14 113:18 | 241:8 242:6 | 48:18 119:8,11 |
| 74:13 81:4 | hour 151:8 | 115:12,24 | 257:5 264:2 | 217:23 218:3 |
| 102:9 149:8 | 182:7,15 | 118:11,19,24 | 266:9 | included 16:20 |
| 169:8 183:22 | 233:19 245:23 | 119:4,15,18,23 | ILCS 39:6 54:4 | 56:11 64:14 |
| helpful 176:10 | hours 33:10,12 | 120:2,22 | 115:6 | 65:9,11 70:13 |
| 201:18 | 33:16 40:14 | 121:22 122:11 | illegible 245:11 | 70:15 118:20 |
| Hi 5:1 102:17 | 97:21 | 124:19 126:21 | Illinois 1:1,6,14 | 138:1 199:14 |
| highlighted | How's 88:12 | 127:21 128:8 | 1:15 2:2,3,6,10 | 215:1 |
| 118:7 172:7,8 | Huff 3:5 | 128:12,17 | 2:16,17 5:4 | includes 199:1 |
| 172:13 | human 55:10 | 129:2,22 130:7 | 39:7 54:5 55:2 | including 54:24 |
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| 67:11 83:17 | 215:16 | 28:8 | item 48:8,10 | 72:21 75:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 217:17 | installation | investigation | 78:22,23 79:9 | 77:1,13 102:19 |
| inclusion 264:7 | 218:24 | 41:24 42:3 | 79:16 | 102:21 108:9 |
| inconsistency | installed 202:5 | 50:7 56:6 | items 57:7 | 108:12 109:2 |
| 20:1 147:24 | 215:19,23 | 191:7 197:21 |  | 110:12 111:7 |
| incorrect 128:24 | instance 86:22 | investigations | J | 111:12,17,18 |
| 129:13 267:2 | intent 122:16 | 28:5 187:20,24 | J 2:12,19 39:4 | 112:10,15 |
| increased | 160:4 196:24 | 188:16 192:2 | 54:2 184:3 | 190:18 195:9 |
| 238:23 | intention 164:6 | investigators | James 1:14 | 195:19 249:12 |
| indicated 56:7 | 255:12 | 107:21,23 | January 80:10 | 252:23 |
| 107:8 181:21 | interest 10:23 | 108:1 111:6 | 80:15 | Jonathan 3:5 |
| indicates 122:14 | 120:23 124:5 | involved 26:12 | Jennifer 2:6 | Jr 3:3 |
| 178:1 202:6 | 131:1 134:2 | 26:15 31:12,16 | JM 40:11 47:21 | judicial 34:13 |
| 219:22 | 135:16 156:23 | 56:2 110:2 | 47:22 48:9 | 35:8 36:5,10 |
| indicating 206:9 | 157:4,7,18 | 111:2 112:6 | 51:24 92:9 | 150:9 264:12 |
| individual 188:5 | 241:15 | involvement | 100:1 102:3,4 | JULIE 40:13 |
| individuals | interested | 103:8 | 103:7,23 106:4 | July 17:16 52:5 |
| 250:5 | 205:10 | involves 229:1 | 114:16 163:12 | 247:18,23 |
| infers 147:24 | internal 74:19 | involving 252:3 | 164:21 195:23 | 266:6 |
| information | interns 268:10 | ISGS 25:21 26:4 | 242:13 243:18 | jump 90:15 |
| 30:19 31:10 | interpret 13:18 | 26:6,11,13 | 244:2,17 | 227:2 |
| 48:18 49:2,7 | interpretation | issue 36:15 37:7 | 245:10 246:2 | June 1:16 5:2,8 |
| 49:10,13 69:3 | 77:6 240:3 | 37:12 43:23 | 246:10 249:3 | 80:17 94:20 |
| 75:15 78:8 | interrogatories | 49:11 59:7 | 250:15 251:14 | 139:10 259:7 |
| 82:23 106:21 | 133:4 143:20 | 81:4 84:24 | 253:19 254:4 | 259:16,19 |
| 107:10,12 | 152:8 153:13 | 107:11 108:18 | 257:9 259:17 | 260:7 262:4 |
| 108:2,10 | 264:3 | 109:3 110:4,13 | 260:16 262:17 | jurisdiction |
| 111:18 112:15 | interrogatory | 113:17 117:18 | JM's 86:13 | 35:10,18 37:6 |
| 113:20,22 | 133:12 134:13 | 131:19 144:6 | 103:13 194:7 | 37:9 139:21 |
| 143:4 175:9 | 134:15 146:19 | 146:3 155:12 | 247:17,22 | 140:1,6,8,10 |
| 200:12,16 | 146:21 | 171:13 222:14 | 266:7,11 | 141:11,13,23 |
| 201:18 | intersection | 236:5 248:2 | JM/IDOT 34:10 | 142:4,9,17 |
| informational | 171:2 175:16 | 252:17,22 | 35:4 | 144:6 145:4,7 |
| 75:6 | 186:24 198:15 | 259:3,4 | job 29:15 120:17 | 145:10 146:2 |
| initial 42:8 | 198:17 209:3 | issued 31:17 | 245:4 | 150:13 153:15 |
| 73:22 128:20 | intimately | 55:12 108:11 | John 243:19 | 154:16 155:5,8 |
| 191:2,4 203:8 | 109:19 | 109:10 113:4 | Johns 1:2 3:6 | 156:9,19 |
| 229:23 | Introduction 4:3 | issues 43:20 | 5:3 6:9,24 8:23 | 157:17 177:14 |
| initially 133:13 | invalid 240:16 | 57:14 77:17 | 9:1 34:16 36:6 | jurisdictional |
| 134:24 176:5 | invasive 40:15 | 86:20 99:18 | 40:3,22 43:6 | 150:7 156:24 |
| inside 219:9 | investigate 28:2 | 136:18 139:16 | 43:23 49:10,13 | 160:15 161:3 |
| insisted 153:5 | 107:21 | 160:16 184:23 | 49:14 52:4 |  |
| insofar 152:2 | investigated | 263:14 | 57:16 58:1 | K |
| inspection 56:3 | 27:14,22 | issuing 107:9 | 65:4 66:7 68:2 | K 72:2 115:4 |
| install 119:18 | investigating | 113:22 | 68:22 71:8 | 245:17 270:3 |
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| keep 22:24 | 162:10 166:4 | 155:3 | 84:20 85:2,4,5 | 153:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103:4 105:24 | 168:2 169:12 | land 74:5,8 | 116:22 117:1 | limit 175:12 |
| 106:12 168:12 | 176:11 177:3 | 79:23 147:2 | 122:16 | limitations |
| Keller 254:3 | 177:13 178:5 | landfill 67:5,10 | legible 95:14 | 124:4 131:7 |
| kept 14:9 253:13 | 181:20,20 | 67:12,15 68:7 | 251:1 | 132:8 |
| 253:16 | 182:10,18 | language 128:10 | length 145:4 | limited 56:11 |
| kind 19:15 69:4 | 186:3 187:6,8 | 132:3 148:9,10 | length-wise | 81:20,22 |
| 87:23 88:3 | 194:6 196:2 | 153:23 154:5 | 202:19 | 132:15 135:9 |
| 94:8 164:17 | 201:16 202:15 | large 213:10 | lesser 65:18 | 152:11 172:23 |
| 169:1 198:12 | 211:2 213:21 | 219:12 | let's 14:10 16:4 | 217:21 |
| 259:21 | 216:1 217:13 | larger 27:3 | 17:8 26:23 | limits 190:15 |
| kinds 252:21 | 221:24 222:12 | 218:23 219:2,3 | 40:785:18 | 267:17 |
| knew 103:10,11 | 223:4 226:1 | 219:5 | 99:10,13 114:7 | line 6:20 12:24 |
| know 5:10 6:20 | 230:2 231:1 | late 253:14,17 | 138:24 155:13 | 17:13,18,19,21 |
| 7:9 10:23 | 234:7 235:18 | latitude 230:19 | 183:12,15,17 | 25:21 80:8 |
| 11:14 12:9 | 235:24 238:17 | launch 181:16 | 190:4 192:15 | 168:13,13 |
| 14:9 18:16 | 240:22 243:7 | LAUREN 2:12 | 192:16 198:6 | 173:15 175:3 |
| 19:14 23:12 | 243:10 244:2 | lauren.caisma... | 199:8 200:1 | 200:19,23 |
| 25:11,17 26:10 | 244:16,18 | 2:11 | 202:23 212:13 | 201:10,15 |
| 26:13 27:20 | 246:13 247:19 | Law 115:15,18 | 214:11 219:24 | 202:8,17 203:2 |
| 29:8,9 31:8,13 | 248:1,9 250:19 | 115:21 116:2 | 220:8 225:17 | 203:8 205:4 |
| 36:15,16,19,22 | 250:22 251:14 | lawsuit 77:18 | 226:17 227:23 | 206:10,17 |
| 37:14 42:18 | 251:20 252:22 | lay 92:11 | 237:8,15 | 208:2 211:7,14 |
| 45:2 47:13 | 253:24 256:4 | layer 189:20 | 240:21 251:2 | 212:9,10,18,22 |
| 50:4 52:3 | 259:10 262:4 | lead 67:11 | 261:13 265:9 | liner 62:19 |
| 62:11,12 63:10 | 267:14 | 239:12 | letter 47:20 48:9 | liners 62:13,14 |
| 70:14 73:18 | knowledge | leading 223:11 | 48:24 50:16 | lines 18:15,16 |
| 77:4 81:11 | 76:18 82:5 | 231:17 | 109:10 110:5 | 56:13 79:9 |
| 83:3 87:3 89:1 | 96:13 107:20 | learn 107:24 | 110:14 247:2 | 136:19 188:19 |
| 89:16 94:20 | 112:23 113:1,2 | leave 90:12 | 251:15,22 | 190:20 202:21 |
| 96:19 97:4 | 113:3 147:17 | 256:13 | 252:3,5 253:4 | 268:1 |
| 98:2,8,19 99:7 | known 80:8 | led 69:4 185:3 | 253:22 | linking 205:4 |
| 99:13 100:5 | 108:1 | 223:12 237:5 | letting 148:23 | list 7:8,12 43:13 |
| 103:7 109:24 | knows 234:9 | leeway 230:18 | 148:24 156:1 | 44:16,20 45:7 |
| 124:18 128:9 |  | 236:10 | level 6:17 8:4 | 45:8,9,12,13 |
| 129:5,7,8,10 | L | left 14:17 24:17 | 59:7,8 60:4 | 76:9 86:6,16 |
| 135:7 137:22 | L 6:2 54:2 184:3 | 59:15 150:10 | levels 59:9 | 91:6 92:13 |
| 138:23 142:3,8 | 245:17 | 162:24 178:14 | LFR 12:23 | 100:16,18 |
| 142:14 143:14 | labeled 162:5 | 181:17 205:6 | 14:18,21 15:9 | 101:4,12 |
| 144:16 145:16 | lack 95:3 | 205:12,13 | 16:21 17:5,16 | 162:15,21 |
| 146:13 148:14 | lacks 140:13 | 239:22 | 19:3,12 | 242:7245:1 |
| 149:23 154:18 | 147:10 148:15 | legal 74:11,13 | liable 102:22 | 251:2 257:6 |
| 154:21 156:6 | 167:9 | 78:12 79:19 | lieu 251:10 | 258:3 259:4,14 |
| 159:4 160:14 | lake 55:1 59:7,8 | 83:14 84:9,10 | light 56:3 | 259:19 262:4 |
| 160:21 161:16 | 59:10 60:4 | 84:11,14,17,18 | liking 24:7 | 265:5 |
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| listed 65:16,20 | 75:8 81:1,9 | 8:20,24 9:8,11 | management | mass 51:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65:23 68:1 | 82:21 83:16,18 | 9:18 12:6,15 | 66:6,12,15 | match 85:1 |
| 100:15 197:3 | 92:7,16 95:21 | 103:2 190:18 | 68:21 | 205:4 |
| literal 58:14 | 96:20 97:9 | 239:21 255:14 | manner 232:20 | material 6:21 |
| little 20:6 66:17 | 99:11,11,13 | 267:15 | Mansfield 71:8 | 9:16 14:5 |
| 74:6 96:8 | 107:9 146:16 | loud 105:5 | Manville 1:2 3:6 | 48:20 49:5 |
| 133:17 189:13 | 170:17 177:5 | low 6:20 | 5:3 6:9,24 8:23 | 50:8 68:12 |
| 194:10 201:18 | 188:18 190:4,6 | lower 103:21 | 9:1 34:16 40:4 | 69:14 102:22 |
| 225:17 229:21 | 190:7192:15 | 205:3 | 40:22 43:24 | 103:14 187:3 |
| 230:18,18 | 196:17 198:6 | luck 14:3 | 49:10,13,14 | 188:1,20,24 |
| 233:5 254:5 | 204:8,12 | lunch 86:12 | 52:4 57:16 | 189:10,20,23 |
| LLP 2:8 | 206:14,24 | 87:24 88:1 | 58:1 65:4 66:7 | 189:24 190:3 |
| locate 29:3 31:9 | 207:6,7 211:1 | 99:14 138:17 | 68:3,22 72:22 | 192:1,12 |
| located 16:16 | 219:8,15 220:8 | 145:16 146:9 | 75:24 77:1,14 | 198:22 199:11 |
| 40:16 67:15 | 220:9 224:10 | 151:8,12 156:6 | 102:19,21 | 200:20 201:2,4 |
| 131:2,18 147:4 | 227:23 231:21 | 162:24 245:23 | 108:10 109:2 | 201:11 202:7 |
| 190:3 203:20 | 237:8 240:17 | 257:18,22 | 111:7,12,17,19 | 203:13,14,23 |
| 203:23 213:1 | 265:5 | 258:5 | 112:11,16 | 207:15,18,22 |
| 216:14 221:15 | looked 65:15 | lying 80:4,7,11 | 190:18 195:10 | 209:24 210:6,8 |
| location 13:6 | 75:16 83:4,6 |  | 195:19 249:12 | 210:8,11,13,19 |
| 14:22 15:8,10 | 83:12 104:17 | M | 252:23 | 213:23 214:1 |
| 16:24 19:8 | 250:19,24 | M 6:2,5 16:2 | Manville's 36:7 | 214:16,19 |
| 41:8 132:6 | 252:6 | $39: 950: 154: 7$ | 43:6108:12 | 216:6 219:20 |
| 190:17,19,22 | looking 7:23 | 61:1 65:1 70:6 | 110:13 | 219:23 221:15 |
| 198:12 200:9 | 16:5 26:9 | 72:5 82:1 | map 17:1 $26: 8$ | 222:10 238:15 |
| 201:7203:4 | 44:15 65:18 | 102:15 108: | 96:21 124:17 | 239:8,11,12,16 |
| locations 16:8 | 74:21 111:6 | 113:14 115:9 | 125:11 254:4 | materials 12:11 |
| 41:6,7 62:17 | 117:9,14 | 184:6 | Mapes 11:19 | 12:13 56:12,17 |
| 187:23 188:5,7 | 127:16 130:13 | machine 270:10 | maps 6:19 75:7 | 56:22 61:16 |
| 188:13 189:19 | 130:16 140:24 | maintain 116:1 | 93:23 97:13,16 | 74:15 189:11 |
| 191:6,23 | 141:6 146:20 | 119:5 247:6 | 97:22 98:15 | 202:1 203:16 |
| 197:20,22 | 169:13,14 | maintained | 99:2 193:24 | 217:18 223:1,3 |
| 198:10 210:9 | 175:22 202:19 | 18:17 20:21 | 246:5 248:18 | 238:19 |
| 214:8,10 | 214:6 220:10 | 158:22 | March 35:11,18 | matrix 188:7 |
| 216:19 217:17 | 237:13 242:17 | maintaining | 127:4 170:15 | matter 1:2 5:3 |
| Lockner 124:22 | looks 27:5 191:1 | 119:9,11 | 227:19 | 34:8 109:21 |
| 126:21 | 194:7 205:11 | 158:21 | mark 3:4 16:11 | 134:19 247:23 |
| lodge 63:9 | 243:10 246:9 | maintenance | 184:12 | 264:11 |
| logs 191:12 | Lori 1:12 5:16 | 158:9 | marked 16:19 | Matthew 171:5 |
| long 121:24 | 38:13 53:10 | majority 74:17 | 66:20 118:6 | McGINLEY |
| 132:19,21 | 114:11 174:16 | making 63:18 | 185:8 194:7 | 2:19 33:21 |
| 182:13 233:18 | 235:3 265:16 | 90:8 132:4 | 254:5,16 | 34:2,21,24 |
| longer 240:13 | 270:6,17 | 236:21 | markings 95:5 | 35:6,13,14,17 |
| look 7:21 17:8 | $\operatorname{lot} 6: 10,12,17$ | manage 219:6 | 95:10 | 35:21 37:4,20 |
| 61:3 66:23 | 7:20,22,24 | 232:18 | marks 194:9 | 37:23 38:2,8 |
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| 39:10,14,16,19 | 171:14 173:18 | 173:21 177:14 | mischaracteri... | 101:18 149:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43:2,19 44:10 | 174:6,22,24 | 181:20 191:10 | 110:17 220:3 | 153:20 162:7 |
| 45:17,18 46:3 | 177:9 179:23 | 195:2,3,18,19 | mislabeled | 164:14 184:13 |
| 46:9,16,23 | 179:24 180:4 | 208:19 222:12 | 64:10 | 242:1,9 253:20 |
| 47:4,5,9 49:18 | 180:13 181:6,7 | 229:16,20 | misleading | 259:13 |
| 50:21,23 51:3 | 181:11,15 | 236:1 243:5 | 221:19,23 | moved 34:12 |
| 51:6,13 52:19 | 183:6 195:1,9 | 247:21 248:3 | misreading | 43:10 44:16,21 |
| 53:5,18,19 | 197:5 204:19 | 252:8,17 256:4 | 206:1 | 44:23 51:11,15 |
| 54:8 60:19 | 204:22 208:15 | 256:5 258:10 | misrepresenta... | 72:13 88:11 |
| 61:11 63:3,4 | 215:4 220:2 | 263:14 | 134:18 | 89:16 90:17 |
| 63:14 64:13,24 | 221:18,22 | meaning 183:3 | missed 144:1 | 101:5,10 |
| 65:2 68:18 | 223:10 224:13 | means 58:15 | missing 265:6 | 135:19 163:12 |
| 69:18 70:22,23 | 225:4 229:5,15 | 270:9 | mistaken 21:5 | 243:23 259:5 |
| 71:4,22 72:6 | 231:16 234:4 | meant 11:4 | 239:19 | movement 58:16 |
| 72:11,16,18,21 | 234:23 235:22 | meet 12:17 | misunderstood | moves 45:23 |
| 73:4,9 76:20 | 241:10,14,18 | Member 2:6,7 | 267:10 | moving 34:23 |
| 76:22,23 78:1 | 241:22 242:12 | 268:8,19 | Mit 25:11 | 45:13 50:24 |
| 78:2 79:11,12 | 242:16 244:7 | MEMBERS 2:6 | mixed 238:18 | 51:4 53:2 |
| 79:17 80:22 | 247:7 249:20 | memorandum | modification | multiple 28:15 |
| 81:3,14,19 | 249:24 250:7 | 54:20 55:11 | 247:2 | mutually 101:4 |
| 85:11,12 86:2 | 252:14 257:7 | mention 76:13 | modifications | 260:17 261:24 |
| 86:4 87:9,12 | 258:16 260:13 | 254:19 268:7 | 255:24 |  |
| 90:2,8 99:9 | 261:5,10,16 | mentioned | moment 25:8 | N |
| 108:6,8 109:1 | 262:15,24 | 94:20 111:5 | 47:12 127:2 | N 2:1 3:1 4:1 6:2 |
| 110:10 111:1 | 263:11 264:4 | 266:19 | 205:24 210:22 | 6:2,5,5 7:2,4 |
| 113:7 116:4 | 264:24 266:13 | mere 236:20 | 217:22 | 16:2,2 39:9,9 |
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| 139:1 142:23 | 24:5 34:4 42:2 | 264:13,20 | 147:21 154:14 | 97:22 98:15 |
| 143:17 156:17 | 42:15,22 43:9 | 267:12,20,23 | 159:6 162:3 | 99:2 246:5 |
| 165:1 174:23 | 50:3 62:7 | thinking 86:11 | 171:19 173:2 | 248:18 |
| 178:11 179:21 | 63:13 64:16 | 160:20 268:4 | 175:21 181:17 | topographical |
| 180:6,7,17,19 | 73:776:18 | third 48:9 80:4 | 232:13 241:15 | 93:22 |
| 180:20 181:13 | 77:20 81:8 | 127:22 131:6 | 253:19 267:8 | total 20:1 221:1 |
| 181:13 188:9 | 85:12 87:5,22 | 133:3 264:3 | 268:16,19 | 221:4,10 223:7 |
| 189:3 190:4,24 | 88:3,8,21 | thirdly 179:8 | timely 94:19 | totally 183:5 |
| 196:14 199:20 | 89:10,19 90:3 | Thompson 1:14 | 96:4 178:19 | touched 50:3 |
| 202:23 204:22 | 95:13 96:11 | thorium 67:11 | times 23:17 | Township 80:3 |
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| 228:21 230:20 | 145:15,17 | 134:9 136:7,16 | 78:6,9,10,13 | 114:5 180:24 |
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| 240:20,23 | 149:16,20 | 172:19 174:5 | 79:5 80:17 | traffic 119:11 |
| 241:17,20 | 152:16 155:11 | 182:1,9 255:12 | 82:3,7,11,12 | transcript 1:10 |
| 245:8 246:19 | 159:9,21 | 266:23 | 82:16,21,23 | 43:15 242:18 |
| 247:12 248:23 | 160:15 161:15 | threat 54:22 | 83:4,5,10,11 | 242:22 266:5 |

L.A. Court Reporters, LLC

312-419-9292

| 270:12 | 89:12 143:17 | 231:4 | understand | 221:15 222:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| transcripts | 159:22 196:9 | turned 179:6 | 18:13 21:1 | untimely 95:2 |
| 92:20 242:11 | 226:17 242:2 | 244:9 | 22:22 23:7,15 | 251:13,17 |
| transfer 160:15 | 267:16 | turning 10:17 | 25:12 30:14 | updated 255:3,4 |
| 161:3 | trying 20:24 | 10:20 13:12 | 36:20 37:19 | 255:7 |
| Transite 13:21 | 22:22 23:7,14 | 166:23 | 44:9 55:9 | use 9:21 36:16 |
| 48:16 50:10 | 25:12 29:3,18 | Twelve 101:7 | 117:10,17,19 | 74:17,20,23,24 |
| 56:11 191:6,8 | 36:17 43:7 | twice 17:4 | 118:1 120:2,22 | 75:2,11 97:18 |
| 191:10 192:4 | 52:1 116:13 | two 21:1 22:5 | 137:9 148:20 | 98:17,21 |
| 214:13 217:11 | 136:13 151:23 | 35:778:11 | 162:8 169:24 | 107:22 118:19 |
| 217:15 236:21 | 169:23 170:6 | 85:6 141:3 | 232:10 267:9 | 123:23,24 |
| 237:2,3,4,7,11 | 173:20 178:2 | 178:15 179:19 | understanding | 127:21 128:18 |
| 237:20 238:3 | 183:7 188:20 | 188:3 191:23 | 14:20 15:6 | 131:5 138:7,8 |
| 238:24 | 196:24 205:13 | 196:6 204:9,24 | 40:21 49:12 | 147:1 149:13 |
| translate 234:11 | 222:1 230:2 | 205:4 207:20 | 50:8 55:5 | 150:24 151:19 |
| transmission | 248:2 252:23 | 224:22 239:15 | 89:24 102:21 | 155:16 164:6 |
| 56:4 | tube 103:19 | 259:10 | 107:3,14,17 | 195:21 197:1 |
| transportation | Tuesday 195:7 | type 125:9 | 109:12,13 | 209:1 212:14 |
| 1:6 5:5 58:15 | 266:1 | 193:11 | 120:12 121:1,8 | 214:13,15 |
| traveling 168:12 | turn 7:1 8:6 | types 56:22 | 121:9 122:22 | 239:11,17 |
| treated 68:2 | 12:20 13:2 | 61:16 74:15 | 123:2,16 124:3 | 243:6,7 244:12 |
| 123:9 126:8,12 | 20:9 24:11 | 115:24 191:24 | 126:8,11 | 248:2 |
| 208:10 | 28:3,24 30:1 | 192:11 238:14 | 129:14 132:16 | uses 37:17 |
| treatment 67:4,9 | 39:12 40:6,7 | 267:18 | 157:2 167:5 | usually 182:18 |
| trial 44:16 50:5 | 46:24 48:8 | typo 20:21 21:4 | 175:21 186:22 | utilities 27:21 |
| 137:6,9,9 | 54:9 55:13 | 239:19 240:2 | 213:13,16 | 40:9,11,16 |
| 259:4,15 | 57:2,10 66:16 |  | 222:17 227:14 | utility $\mathbf{1 8 : 1 5 , 1 6}$ |
| triangle 218:10 | 66:19 72:19 | U | 228:24 267:7 | 202:5 |
| 219:10,11 | 73:14 117:4 | U 39:4 54:2 | understood | utilize 239:8 |
| trickles 12:5 | 118:14 122:7 | 184:3 | 23:12 97:2 | utilized 6:22,23 |
| tried 30:18 31:4 | 124:8,15 | Uh-huh 149:10 | 123:5 183:2 | 22:10,16 48:16 |
| 77:5 | 126:24 127:15 | UIC 60:10 | undertake |  |
| true 29:10 31:23 | 130:11 132:23 | ultimately $10: 11$ | 252:20 | V |
| 60:9 119:2 | 140:17,19,22 | 67:9 68:7 | unfamiliar 66:5 | V 6:2 |
| 154:20 157:21 | 143:7 155:23 | 106:10,22 | unfortunately | vaccination 5:11 |
| 170:23 270:11 | 158:12 159:7 | 202:3 | 263:5 | vacuum 36:24 |
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| 38:21,21,22 | 167:14,19 | uncalled 135:1 | University 57:13 | 208:15 |
| 53:13,13,14 | 169:11 170:2,8 | unclear 92:8 | unknown 60:11 | Vaguely 20:19 |
| 71:15,16,16 | 173:3 187:15 | 229:21 | unsuitable | valid 240:14 |
| 102:8,8,9 | 188:9 189:3 | underlying | 187:3 189:21 | valuable 214:16 |
| 151:23 173:11 | 190:24 191:13 | 34:14 82:15,18 | 189:23 198:22 | variable 56:7 |
| 173:13 183:21 | 199:8 201:19 | 197:18 222:14 | 201:2,4 202:2 | various 217:16 |
| 183:21,22 | 204:1 208:23 | underneath | $202: 7 \text { 210:6,13 }$ | $250: 5268: 9$ vary 59.8 |
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| vested 130:8 | wanted 96:20 | waves $58: 14,17$ | week 195:7 | withdrawn |
| 131:1 | 127:19,24 | way 18:20 21:6 | 224:21 266:1 | 247:12 248:24 |
| view 205:1,6 | 155:21 214:14 | 21:23 22:13 | weigh 248:11 | 249:16,24 |
| viewing 13:20 | 214:19 239:15 | 59:16 79:3 | 253:23 | 251:12 262:7 |
| views 205:1 | 242:9 258:1 | 87:10 121:16 | weight 77:22 | withdrew |
| violated 229:2 | wanting 162:7 | 136:14 159:15 | 80:24 248:10 | 246:10 |
| 234:21 235:14 | wants 245:13 | 168:2 181:3,3 | 264:19 | witness 5:23 6:3 |
| violation 224:2 | warming 60:3 | 187:7 189:14 | welcome 180:21 | 10:5 20:14 |
| 229:22 | Warren 170:12 | 191:11 195:22 | welfare 54:22 | 33:11,14,18 |
| violations 230:4 | 170:19 173:1 | we'll 44:10 | went 10:14 20:7 | 38:24 39:5 |
| 235:10 236:2 | 175:15 176:1 | 51:11 88:10,11 | 31:4 82:15 | 44:6 49:19 |
| Visible 56:10 | Washington | 95:21 196:4 | 133:18 138:3 | 52:23,24 53:16 |
| visual 56:2 | 2:16 | 245:12 247:9 | 162:24 255:15 | 54:3 64:21 |
| 187:24 188:15 | wasn't 63:11 | 249:13 | 263:1 | 70:20 71:3,23 |
| voice 22:24 | 66:14 70:9,12 | we're 17:21 19:2 | weren't 50:13 | 72:3 73:176:7 |
| 105:24 106:12 | 94:21 133:9 | 28:1 34:20,24 | 66:10 140:4 | 76:16 77:9 |
| voices 103:4 | 144:19 145:8 | 42:21 76:6 | 154:1 | 79:15 85:13 |
| volume 239:14 | 150:4 181:24 | 77:14 85:24 | west 1:15 2:2,16 | 86:20 96:15 |
| vs $1: 5$ | 230:12 239:14 | 86:9 87:14,20 | 15:3 25:10,11 | 102:10,13 |
|  | 241:22 247:1 | 87:20 88:2 | 29:14 52:12 | 106:13,17 |
| W | waste 56:12 62:1 | 90:8 91:5,24 | 80:2 167:23 | 108:22 110:20 |
| wait 69:20,20,23 | 62:3,4,5,11 | 98:24 117:9 | 168:2 207:23 | 114:6,14,21 |
| 69:23 87:8 | 66:6,12,15 | 118:2,3 130:1 | 208:20 211:7 | 115:5,12 116:6 |
| 93:24 104:14 | 68:2,8,20 | 134:7 135:12 | 211:13 | 120:15 131:15 |
| wall $14: 256: 12$ | 214:19 226:24 | 136:5,10,12,13 | western 29:22 | 135:3,21 |
| wallboard 61:19 want 53:2 63:10 | 227:3 232:19 | 137:18 139:2 | 29:23 190:13 | 136:21 137:2 |
| want 53:2 63:10 | 234:12 | 143:19 144:10 | 205:2 | 137:15,23 |
| 64:17 69:13 | Wastes 228:13 | 146:3 150:10 | white 47:2,10 | 139:12 147:18 |
| 71:5 80:19 | wastewater 67:4 | 151:22 161:23 | 172:11,13 | 150:1 151:20 |
| 86:7,14 87:6,7 | 67:9 | 163:1,5,22,24 | wide 211:24 | 157:12 158:4 |
| 87:21 92:10 | watching 138:17 | 164:1 182:22 | 213:6,11 | 166:16 169:21 |
| 97:18 100:4,5 | water 59:8,9 | 190:5 193:20 | 215:23 | 171:18 180:8 |
| 100:23 105:2 | 246:21 | 233:19 243:5 | widened 80:12 | 183:24 184:4 |
| $108: 24 ~ 113: 23$ $117.8123: 11$ | Waukegan 40:4 | 259:14,21 | width 212:5 | 186:19 193:16 |
| 117:8 123:11 | 41:19,22 42:6 | 260:12 265:6 | 213:3 214:2 | 193:19 196:20 |
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| 204:23 208:18 | wrote 127:18 | 22:2,21 23:6 | 1:00 138:23,24 | 261:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 215:8 234:5,10 | 128:3,16 | 24:13 30:9 | 1:08 139:9 | 120 47:1,6 50:22 |
| 236:16 241:8 |  | 92:24 100:13 | 10 8:14 211:15 | 51:12 89:7,9 |
| 241:21 242:15 | $\mathbf{X}$ | 100:19 165:21 | 211:18 213:7 | 89:18 91:21 |
| witness's 220:3 | X 4:1 6:5 16:2 | 255:22 258:24 | 10-21 232:24 | 249:1 |
| witnesses 77:8 | 39:9 50:1 54:7 |  | 233:2,6,9 | 123 91:21 94:6 |
| word 32:14 | 61:1 65:1 70:6 | Z | 234:21 | 249:3,6,9,16 |
| 181:1 | 72:5 82:1 | Zalewski 2:7 | 10:00 53:3 | 12th 266:8,14 |
| words 30:20 | 102:15 108:7 | Zayez 3:6 | 10:45 86:1 | 13 101:7 166:12 |
| 166:5 | 113:14 115:9 | zone 190:3 | 100 1:15 2:2 | 167:3,6,21 |
| work 12:10 | 184:6 |  | 166:15,17,20 | 168:20 209:23 |
| 28:11,15,18,20 | Y | \% 0 | 101 4:13 | 261:7 |
| 28:22 40:15,17 | Y 102.12 | $0.2556: 9$ 00144647 | 101.626 179:8 | 132 91:22 94:10 |
| 40:23 41:3,13 | Y 102:12 | 001446 47:22 | 101.626(a) | 249:3,17 |
| 41:15,23 42:3 | yards 67:6 219:23 220:14 | $\mathbf{0 0 1 4 4 8} 48: 9$ $\mathbf{0 1 2 0 8 2}$ 199:9 | 244:11 | 133 91:22,23 |
| 42:6 50:14 | $219: 23$ 220:14 $220: 17.18,21$ | 012082 $\mathbf{0 1 2 0 8 3} 209: 9$ | 1024:14 63:6 | 92:2 |
| 73:23 120:23 | $220: 17,18,21$ $221: 1,5$ | $012083200: 2$ $012084197 \cdot 4$ | 64:22 91:20 | 14 209:23 |
| 158:19 177:6 | $221: 1,5$ yeah $14: 15$ $24: 1$ | $012084197: 4$ | 227:8 248:14 | 267:23 |
| 186:23 198:10 | yeah 14:15 24:1 | 0393 84:23 85:2 | 104(e) 30:4,10 | 14-3 1:5 5:5 |
| 198:12 209:5,7 | 24:24 45:1,4 49:6 69:1 | $117: 10,17,20$ $118: 2,12,21$ | 30:15 31:11,17 | 140 220:20 |
| 209:11,20 | 49:6 69:1 86:10 94:24 | $118: 2,12,21$ $119: 4,15$ | 108:18 109:3 | 140-1 165:12 |
| 213:15 218:14 | 86:10 94:24 98:12 101:24 | $119: 4,15,19,23$ $120: 3,23$ | 109:10 110:4 | 14191:22 92:3 |
| 252:21 267:13 | 98:12 101:24 $104: 16105 \cdot 5$ | 120:3,23 | 110:14 111:12 | 250:1 |
| worked 48:3 | $104: 16105: 5$ 113.19 146:10 | 121:14 122:1,2 | 181:2 247:17 | 14th 259:7,16,19 |
| 49:14 73:24 | 113:19 146:10 | 123:9,12,23 | 105,000 52:15 | 260:7 262:4 |
| 195:22 | 148:22 156:5 | 124:1,5 125:4 | 1074:14 91:20 | $154: 422: 11$ |
| working 50:19 | $163: 22164: 1$ $178: 18179.2$ | 125:11 126:8 | 248:14 | 80:3 117:4,6,7 |
| 109:20 194:22 | 178:18 179:2 181:23 194:18 | $126: 12129: 3$ $130 \cdot 8131 \cdot 10$ | 108 4:15 91:20 | 118:9 209:23 |
| worried 162:2 | 181:23 194:18 195.14,16 | $130: 8131: 10$ $131 \cdot 17,20$ | 93:21 94:1 | 15-1 117:13 |
| wouldn't 46:10 | 195:14,16 225:23 226:4 | 131:17,20 $132: 4,9,10,19$ | 248:15,21,23 | 150-acre 67:5 |
| 57:17 58:9 | $225: 23 ~ 226: 4$ $230 \cdot 17263 \cdot 19$ | $132: 4,9,10,19$ $157 \cdot 4,7,19$ | 109 91:21 93:21 | 1517501 80:14 |
| 110:11 115:23 | 230:17 263:19 264:16 | 157:4,7,19 $167: 6171: 13$ | 94:1 248:15,21 | 157222 80:11 |
| 150:20 155:19 | $264: 16$ | 167:6 171:13 | 248:23 | $164: 5$ 80:15 |
| 187:2 214:13 | year 7:1828:15 | 171:21 172:6 | 11 216:10 | 184:11 190:6 |
| wrap 237:15 | years 32:23 | 176:2,19 | 11-500 2:3 | 216:12 |
| wrapped 147:22 | $73: 2474: 1$ | 06A 216:11 | 11-by-17 196:23 | 16-17 190:7 |
| 236:13 | 98:5 100:24 109:21 |  | 11:15 114:13 | 16-18 212:14 |
| write 204:16 | yellow 118:7 | $166: 1874: 5$ | 11:40 145:15 | 160 47:1 |
| written 18:10 | yellow 118:7 $172: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 1874: 5 \\ & 111: 14,15 \end{aligned}$ | 112 4:15 | 161 2:9 92:3 |
| 26:14,21 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 14,15 \\ & 177: 7,20 \end{aligned}$ | 113 4:16 | 94:13 250:3 |
| 129:11,21 | Yep 48:5 <br> yesterday $5: 8,8$ | $\text { 192:16 } 196: 17$ | 1144:16 | 162 92:3 94:17 |
| 136:4,23,24 | yesterday 5:8,8 6:9 8:22 10:22 | 197:2,16 227:4 | 115 4:17 | 179:18,18 |
| wrong 29:1 |  | $247: 3,18,23$ | 118 220:23 | 250:11 |
| 170:16 181:1 | $16: 7,1620: 4,8$ $20: 1821: 8,11$ | $\begin{gathered} 247: 3,18,23 \\ \text { 1-A } 84: 11,16,18 \end{gathered}$ | 12 40:3 80:3,14 | 163 92:4 94:17 |
| 251:17 254:8 | 20:18 21:8,11 | $85: 1$ | 170:9,10,14 | 179:18,18 |
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| 250:11 | 227:24 228:7 | 170:19 244:17 | 168:16 | 52:6 55:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 164 14:8,11 16:9 | $1970224: 8$ | 200 92:5 254:1 | 2288725 80:19 | 56:23 59:13,22 |
| 92:4 96:23 | 230:24 233:6 | 2000 31:17,18 | 23 5:8 100:11 | 60:1,16 61:20 |
| 191:14 192:16 | 234:2 246:17 | 31:19,23 32:23 | 2308 25:21 26:4 | 61:23 62:2,14 |
| 193:7 194:2 | 1970s 157:22 | 112:3,4,8 | 26:11 | 62:22 63:17 |
| 196:17,19,24 | 234:22 | 2006 35:11,18 | 234 17:9,12 | 66:20 67:6,18 |
| 197:14 250:14 | 1971 80:13,14 | 37:11 | 239 4:18 | 67:21,24 80:13 |
| 254:16 255:8 | 83:13 84:12 | 2007 106:6 | 23rd 44:17 66:4 | 102:23 103:2,8 |
| 255:13,15,16 | 85:2 118:17 | 2008 17:16 40:3 | 242:18 259:5 | 111:10,14,15 |
| 255:19,23 | 119:14 122:13 | 250:4 | 24 5:2 | 166:9 173:15 |
| 256:6,10,11,19 | 225:12 | 2010 49:1 | 241 4:19 | 175:3 184:19 |
| 1649408 80:15 | 1972 218:7,16 | 2012 247:3 | 24th 1:16 139:10 | 187:21,23 |
| 16692:4 95:12 | 1973 227:21 | 2013 26:11 52:5 | 2531:22 32:22 | 188:6 190:13 |
| 95:17,20 | 239:19 | 2015 170:13,19 | 90:22 100:11 | 190:14 200:2 |
| 250:17 251:2 | 1974 24:22 | 176:22 | 101:3,4,10 | 201:13 202:24 |
| 251:10,11 | 31:20 32:23 | 2016 1:16 5:3,8 | 171:5 211:6 | 203:19 204:17 |
| 167 92:5 96:3 | 80:15,17 83:13 | 127:5 170:15 | 212:6 244:18 | 204:24 205:14 |
| 251:13 | 84:12 85:3 | 171:5 | 260:16,21 | 205:24 208:1 |
| 16th 266:10 | 122:16 | 202 14:7,9,11 | 25th 44:17 | 208:11,21 |
| 17 17:13,18 | 1975 221:6 | 16:9 92:5 | 170:15 259:6 | 211:9 213:1,14 |
| 100:23 130:11 | 1976 245:5 | 96:18 97:3 | 26 90:22 211:6 | 214:12,14 |
| 138:6 161:11 | 1984 80:16,18 | 254:3,20,24 | 244:18 | 238:16 249:18 |
| 162:14 164:6 | 83:13 84:12 | 255:1,6,14,17 | 264 4:19,20 | 3:06 241:7 |
| 164:22 165:1 | 85:3 122:10,19 | 255:21 256:6 | 269 4:20 | 30 211:13 |
| 186:6 262:1,6 | 123:5,22 124:6 | 256:10,19 | 27 100:23 | 31 90:23 127:4 |
| 17-3 130:15 | 124:12 262:13 | 257:2 | 270 4:21,21 | 166:23 168:9 |
| 17D 161:7 | 1991 161:3 | 20th 172:24 | $28117: 5$ | 215:17244:18 |
| 18 17:19,21 80:6 | 1998 103:18 | 21 224:3 232:15 | 286 73:17,19,20 | 31-1 21:1 |
| 162:6 245:4 | 1999 247:18,23 | 232:24 233:2,4 | 96:10 | 31-2 21:2 |
| 250:4 | 1A 79:24 | 233:10 235:10 | 289 73:19 | 312 2:4,10,18 |
| 180 4:17 | 1A-3 165:18 | 235:15 236:2 | 29 90:22 176:22 | 32 20:10,12 |
| 1800 2:17 | 1S-2 206:6 | 21A 90:21 229:2 | 244:18 | 90:23 221:16 |
| 184 4:18 |  | 230:24 231:9 | 29,300 219:23 | 223:18 244:18 |
| 1895 80:7 95:7 | 2 | 231:21 232:1,3 | 2B 127:16 130:1 | 32-1 22:3 |
| 19 10:17 80:10 | 2 111:14,15 | 232:6 244:17 | 130:16 | 32-2 22:4,12 |
| 90:20 162:6 | 187:15 191:1 | 21A-23 208:24 | 2D 140:24 | 326 96:10 |
| 244:17 | 199:8,10,22 | 21A-72 201:19 | 2E 158:15,17 | 33 4:5 25:13 |
| 19-12 10:20 | 200:19 203:3 | 210:21,24 |  | 90:23 224:19 |
| $190220: 17$ | 212:14 224:17 | 211:3 220:9,12 | ${ }^{3}$ | 244:18 |
| 1915 80:10 95:8 | 2-025 1:14 | 21B 90:21 | 3 9:22 10:3,6,7,8 | 33C 134:19 |
| 19547:19,22 8:1 | 2-25 5:10 | 244:17 | 10:16 13:11 | $3424: 12$ 90:24 |
| 8:2 | 2:00 181:21 | 21D 231:11,14 | 15:13 19:10 | 244:18 |
| 1965 92:22 | 20 90:21 166:12 | 232:4 | 25:3 29:24 | 35 25:6 29:2 |
| 1966 165:6 | 167:3,7,21 | 21st 94:20 | 41:10,15 48:7 | 90:24 219:16 |
| 225:6 227:19 | 168:20 170:13 | 22 166:22 | 48:14,17 49:1 | 244:19 |
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| $350166: 22$ | 118:17 119:15 | 90:19 96:10 | 64:4 9:23 10:3,9 | 654:10 54:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 90:24 133:6 | 245:16 | 244:1,13 | 10:16 15:4,11 | 91:17 247:13 |
| 244:22,23 | 42 91:2 211:19 | 4I-286 75:22 | 19:10 29:24 | 65-1 54:16 |
| 245:8 | 213:8 245:16 | 4I-289 78:4,21 | 41:11,12,15 | 65-3 55:14 56:19 |
| 37244:19 245:9 | 421 168:5 | 79:12 | 48:16,22 52:11 | 61:3,7 |
| 3891:1 92:22 | 43 91:2 122:8 | 4L 72:20 73:2 | 52:13 55:1 | $6680: 591: 17$ |
| 93:2 245:10 | 245:16 |  | 56:23 59:13,22 | 225:2 247:13 |
| 250:21 | 43-9 122:14 | 5 | 60:1,16 61:20 | 6746:5,19,21 |
| 39 4:6 | 4300 2:9 | 54:3,3 48:22 | 61:23 62:2,6 | 51:11 89:9 |
| 391,000 52:9 | 44 35:9,15 91:2 | 55:1,19 56:18 | 62:14,22 63:17 | 91:17 219:24 |
| 3G 132:23,24 | 211:19 245:16 | 78:22,23 189:4 | 67:18,21,23 | 223:21 247:13 |
| 143:7,10,13 | 44,000 219:22 | 5/2-1102 39:6 | 68:12 80:16 | 69 2:16 4:10 |
| 146:16 153:24 | 221:5 | 54:4 115:6 | 102:23 103:9 | 6S 188:18 |
| 264:2 | 448 221:1 | 50 4:7 91:3 | 111:10 184:19 |  |
| 3G-3 146:17 | 45 80:3 | 168:16 245:17 | 190:13,14 | 7 |
| 3G-4 146:21 | 4672:8,10 91:3 | 267:21 | 197:23,24 | $78: 6,990: 20$ |
| 3G-6 133:7 | 245:17 | $5131: 2232: 23$ | 198:2,3,7 | 189:16,17 |
|  | 47 126:24 127:3 | $5291: 4245: 17$ | 199:2 204:5 | 201:24 209:8 |
| 4 | 140:17,18 | $534: 7162: 8$ | 205:1,2,5 | 209:12,21 |
| 4 10:7,8 39:6 | 141:4 154:4 | 53B 91:4 245:17 | 207:23 208:10 | 210:6 219:21 |
| 40:8 48:22 | 158:12 262:19 | 53K 91:4 | 208:21 238:16 | 221:11,16 |
| 54:4 55:1,18 | 47-2 127:16 | 53L 91:5 | 6-25 187:16 | 228:12 244:17 |
| 56:18 115:6 | 141:3 | 53N 7:1 91:6 | 214:7 | $7.6220: 16$ |
| 175:7 188:10 | 47-3 130:2 | 53P 91:6,8 | 6-26 187:22 | 704:11 |
| 189:7 249:18 | 140:22 158:14 | 54 4:8 8:20 9:11 | 214:8 | 71 4:11 11:13 |
| 4/5 56:6 | 49 4:6 39:12,16 | 91:11 | 6-27 188:10 | 72 4:12 40:14 |
| 4:30 181:22 | 39:20,24 43:12 | 54A 91:6 93:7 | 60 4:8 30:2 | 73 211:1 |
| 182:22 | 44:18 45:23 | 245:23 246:9 | 91:16 201:24 | 735 39:6 54:4 |
| 40 91:1 95:14,17 | 46:1 51:11 | 54E 91:12 93:7 | 246:22 | 115:6 |
| 159:7 165:4 | 89:7,9,14 91:3 | 54Q 91:12 | 602-5079 2:10 | $7412: 2017: 5$ |
| 166:10 167:1 | 245:17 | 246:11 | 60601 2:3 | 91:17 247:13 |
| 169:15 170:2 | 49-2 40:8 | 54R 91:12 | 60601-3315 2:10 | 74-4 13:3,5 |
| 211:19 213:8,8 | 4A 90:19 92:18 | 54S 91:13 | 60602 2:17 | 74-8 13:13 |
| 245:16 251:1,4 | 242:10 243:23 | 54T 91:16 93:7 | 61 4:9 9:10,11 | 75 101:7 261:13 |
| 251:6,10 | 4B 90:19 92:18 | 246:19 | 611(c) 39:6 54:4 | 261:13,19 |
| 40-12 168:4 | 242:10 243:23 | 5625:15 91:16 | 115:6 | 76 101:8 261:13 |
| 169:14 | 4C 17:11,13 | 117:5 246:22 | 61658 80:7 | 261:19 |
| 40-13 167:19 | 4D 101:3 257:9 | 57 91:16 246:22 | 617237:8 | 78 91:18 93:17 |
| 168:14,20,24 | 257:16,20 | 58 91:16 246:22 | $6234: 1035: 3,4$ | 247:15 |
| 40-14 168:24 | 258:7 260:12 | 585 203:11 | 91:16 246:22 | 7S 198:7,13 |
| $169: 23$ $40-2165.14$ | 4H 173:3 263:9 | 59 91:16 246:22 | 63 91:16 246:22 | 199:18 |
| 40-2 165:14 | 263:24 | 5S 188:18 | 63-86 204:2,21 | 7th 266:12 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 40-3 165:16 } \\ 166: 9 \end{gathered}$ | 4H-36 173:4 | 5th 266:6 | 64 4:9 91:16 |  |
| 166:9 $4191: 118: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4I 73:8,10,15,17 } \\ & 73: 1985: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 13246: 24 \\ & 247: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 8 $88: 6,7,9,10,11$ |
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